



East Devon District Council
Draft East Devon Playing Pitch Strategy

Consultation Statement

June 2015

Introduction

The East Devon Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) 2015 has been developed by a steering group comprising relevant Council officers and elected Members and representatives of Sport England, the FA, ECB, RFU, England Hockey and Active Devon with other representatives brought in at relevant times. There has been a constant dialogue from the start of the process through to adoption and this will continue into the future with the steering group to meet at least annually to review progress.

Early stages

In September 2013 all town and parish councils were consulted as part of the Open Space Study Review. In terms of the PPS, this consultation was used to ensure that officers were aware of all of the playing pitches in the district that would need to be assessed.

Parish Councils were contacted with a list of open spaces (including pitches) requesting that they confirm that we had all of the open spaces within their areas listed. An example of the Parish Councils survey can be seen below.

Example survey (Budleigh Salterton)

East Devon Open Space Study Review and Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS)

East Devon District Council is embarking on a review of the **Open Space Study 2012**. This is a review of the facilities that make up the open space provision within the district. The point of reviewing the supply data is to ensure that new/updated/removed facilities are taken account of.

EEDC is also producing a new **Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS)** in partnership with Exeter City Council to replace the currently adopted 2003 PPS which was prepared jointly with Mid Devon and West Devon which is now out of date. Sport England have recently updated their methodology for preparing a PPS and so it seems appropriate to now update. Before sending surveys to individual clubs about their teams and pitches and undertaking non-technical pitch assessments, we need to be sure that we have all of the playing pitches within the District covered and so checking this as part of the Open Space Study Review is the best way to do this.

Over page are two lists of facilities in **Budleigh Salterton**. The first list contains facilities that were audited as part of the Open Space Study in November 2011. If these facilities have been updated it will say so in the "comments" column. If facilities have been removed they will be shown as struck out. The second list contains new facilities that have been created since November 2011 or which were missed out by the original audit. Please review these lists.

For the Open Space Study Review we need to know:

1. Do we have the full range of facilities within your area listed?
2. Have we marked down where facilities have been updated/refurbished since November 2011?
3. Are there any facilities listed that are no longer in use?

Please send responses by Friday 20 September 2013 to G.Thompson@eastdevon.gov.uk or Graeme Thompson, Planning Policy, East Devon District Council, Knowle, Sidmouth, Devon, EX10 8HL.

Table 1 – Facilities previously audited for Open Space Study 2012 in November 2011

Reference	Site Name	Typology	Area (Ha)	Comments
BU001	Jubilee Field	Parks and Recreation Grounds	2.58	
BU002	The Green	Parks and Recreation Grounds	3.40	
BU003	Disused Railway Line	Amenity Open Space	2.92	
BU006_C1	Greenway Lane Play Area	Play Space - Children	0.12	
BU006_P	Greenway Lane Playing Field	Outdoor Sport - Pitches	0.48	Re-classify as Parks and Recreation Grounds?
BU009	Budleigh Salterton FC	Outdoor Sport - Pitches (FA)	1.48	
BU013_C1	St Peter's School Playground	Education (Play)	0.24	
BU013_P	St Peter's C of E Primary School Playing Field	Education (Sport)	0.67	
BU016	Norman's Crescent Play Area	Play Space - Children	0.12	
BU017	Line 4th Skate Park	Play Space - Youth	0.07	
BU019_C1	Knowle Playground	Play Space - Children	0.08	
BU019_P	Knowle Playground football pitch	Outdoor Sport - Pitches	0.18	Re-classify as Parks and Recreation Grounds? These allotments are due to be moved slightly during development of houses.
BU020	Greenway Lane Allotments	Allotments	0.36	
BU021	Shortwood Close Allotments	Allotments	0.29	
BU022	St Peter's Burial Ground	Cemeteries and Churchyards	1.42	
BU023	West Terrace Allotments	Allotments	0.17	

Table 2 – New facilities created since November 2011 audit / not previously audited

Site Name	Typology	Comments
BU_NEW1 – Deepways Play Area	Play Space - Children	Mapped but not included in quality audit originally
BU_NEW – The Lawn	Amenity Open Space	Mapped but not included in quality audit originally
BU010 – Lawn Tennis and Croquet Club - Croquet/Netts	Outdoor Sport – Field (FA)	Mapped but not included in quality audit originally
BU010 – Lawn Tennis and Croquet Club – Tennis	Outdoor Sport – Field (FA)	Mapped but not included in quality audit originally

45 out of 65 Parishes responded, giving a response rate of 69%. This was considered to be a reasonable rate of response and so it was assumed that all sites containing pitches had been identified. The list of pitches for the PPS to assess was then compiled based on the results of this survey and consulting the Active Places Power database.

Once the full set of playing pitch sites had been identified, pitch users were sent a survey to ascertain certain details relating to the pitches and pitch users. This survey was based on the survey questions recommended by each of the National Governing Bodies (NGBs) and designed using SNAP surveys software which meant that they looked professional, flowed well and collation and interrogation of the data was easy. This also enabled the surveys to be sent out and responded to electronically and/or by paper.

With the help of the relevant NGB and Devon County Council, surveys were sent out to all football, rugby and hockey clubs as well as schools. The ECB chose to conduct their own survey. The email below was sent to all relevant clubs and schools on 2 October 2013:

Dear Sir/Madam,

East Devon and Exeter Playing Pitch Strategy survey

East Devon District Council and Exeter City Council are producing a new Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS). As part of the process in developing the PPS, football, rugby, cricket and hockey clubs and educational establishments across East Devon and Exeter are being contacted to take part in a survey. This survey is designed to get a good idea of pitches and facilities at clubs; plans for the future; demand for new / improved pitches and facilities; and to provide evidence for future investment.

In order to respond to the survey please visit www.eastdevon.gov.uk/playingpitchstrategy and choose the appropriate survey. Please note that the deadline for responses is **Friday 8 November 2013**.

It is important to respond to the survey as a robust evidence base can be the difference between being able to get funding or not for future projects. Sport England and the governing bodies for sport often require an up to date PPS to be in place before releasing funding for projects to confirm that there is an evidenced need for the investment.

Responses to the surveys will be collated and analysed to give a clear picture of the areas, specific pitches and clubs that require investment / improved provision. Pitch assessments will also feed into the analysis. Initial non-technical pitch assessments will be carried out on all pitches over the Autumn by way as to highlight the pitches in most need of investment and key sites. Technical assessments of these pitches will then be carried out in early 2014.

For further information please contact either [East Devon officer's contact details] or [Exeter City officer's contact details].

Survey responses were circulated to the NGBs for check and challenge so that they were aware of club responses and able to suggest if any major issues had been missed or they could provide additional information. Responses relating to the quality of pitches and ancillary facilities was used to supplement information gathered through site audits.

Response rates varied significantly. 100% of rugby, hockey and cricket clubs responded to surveys. However just 41 out of 110 football clubs across East Devon and Exeter responded (equating to 37%), and just 25 out of 109 schools across East Devon and Exeter responded (equating to 23%), however 23 of these responses were from schools in East Devon of which there are 65 meaning 35% of East Devon schools responded.

Multiple attempts were made by Council officers, the Devon FA, Devon County Council and BeLAP to improve response rates by re-sending surveys, asking local Councillors to

encourage schools and by directly contacting clubs and schools however this did not provide many more responses. Unfortunately, this seems to have been the best response rate possible.

Responses received varied in terms of their depth. Some responses went into plenty of detail, describing issues and aspirations well and answering all or most of the questions, whilst others responded with minimal explanation and only answered a percentage of the questions. Overall it was felt that whilst response rates could have done with being a little higher, there were enough responses of a reasonable standard to be able to draw conclusions.

First Draft PPS (October 2014)

A first draft of the PPS was presented to East Devon's Cabinet for consideration in October 2014. It was proposed that this draft be consulted on, however, Members felt it needed further work including further consultation directly with clubs in Honiton as certain key issues were not fully addressed.

This being the case, a public meeting was held in Honiton on 20 November 2014 to discuss these issues with local club representatives. The meeting was attended by representatives of Honiton Town Football Club, Honiton Youth Football Club, Honiton Rugby Football Club, Honiton Cricket Club, Honiton Hornets Hockey Club, Dunkeswell Rovers Football Club, Offwell Rangers Football, Awliscombe United Football Club, Feniton Football Club, Honiton Running Club, Honiton Tennis Club, Honiton Community College, Honiton Town Council, the Football Association, England Netball, the Midweek Herald, local District Councillors and officers from East Devon District Council so potentially all of the key players were there to discuss issues.

At the meeting and in correspondence with attendees following it, the planning policy officer requested that all clubs that were in attendance took the time to respond to the pitch users survey which was still available on the Council's website even if they had done so previously to ensure that all of the correct information was gathered. They were encouraged to read through the relevant sections of the Draft PPS and provide comment if any specific things needed amending. New responses were received from a number of clubs and these were used to inform the second draft of the PPS which then included a Honiton specific appendix.

Second Draft PPS (February 2015) – consultation draft

A second Draft PPS was considered and endorsed for consultation by the Council's Cabinet in February 2015. At the Cabinet meeting a statements were read out by representatives from Withycombe RFC and Exmouth United FC regarding their desperate need for pitches in Exmouth. Cabinet resolved that officers should consider look at a temporary/interim solution for pitches in the town whilst the strategic work is ongoing. This was not reflected in the draft that went to consultation, however, it was actioned by officers and is reflected in the latest draft.

The Draft PPS was subject to consultation from Friday 13 February 2015 until 12 Noon on Monday 16 March 2015. Relevant consultees were emailed on Friday 13 February 2015 with the following text inviting them to read the draft and submit comments either electronically or by post.

Dear Sir/Madam,

Draft East Devon Playing Pitch Strategy consultation

The Draft East Devon Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) is now available for consultation from Friday 13th February 2015 **until 12 Noon on Monday 16th March 2015**. Key stakeholders including local sports clubs, Sport England, the FA, RFU, ECB, England Hockey, Active Devon, LED, Devon County Council, town and parish councils, local schools and neighbouring authorities are being invited to make comments at this stage. Comments received will be considered and feed into the final PPS in due course.

A PPS is a document designed to act as evidence in responding to planning applications impacting on existing or needing to provide new sports pitches and to help guide investment in sports facilities from a range of bodies. Action plans contained within the PPS are prioritised preferred approaches to resolving key findings and issues of the strategy.

The PPS and it's supporting appendices (1-4) can be found on the [new East Devon website](#). Please email any comments to [officer's email address] or post them to [officer's name], East Devon District Council, Knowle, Sidmouth, EX10 8HL before the consultation end date.

Relevant consultees for the purposes of this consultation were taken to be:

Sport England
National Governing Bodies for football, cricket, rugby and hockey (and their county bodies where relevant)
Active Devon
Devon County Council
East Devon parish councils and parish meetings
Exeter City Council
Teignbridge District Council
Mid Devon District Council
Taunton Deane District Council
South Somerset District Council
West Dorset District Council
LED Leisure
East Devon AONB
Blackdown Hills AONB
Local schools (via Active Devon)
Local football clubs (via Devon FA)
Local cricket clubs (via Devon Cricket Board)
Local rugby clubs
Local hockey clubs (via England Hockey)
Anyone who had responded to earlier surveys or registered an interest in the PPS

Comments were received from a total of 26 respondents. These comments are summarised in the following table:

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
Chris Salter (Axmouth United FC)	Boshill Cross ground is susceptible to waterlogging and currently being used to capacity. There is no spare capacity as suggested. Appendix 1 has error in marking capacity at 3 rather than 2 games per week. Ground considered in Seaton and Axminster sub-areas in various parts of both the main document and Appendix 1.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below). Needs Assessment is not amended as that is a snapshot in time, however the final strategy itself should now reflect the reality at the ground.
Liz Berry (Colyton Parish Council)	The cricket square at the Peacefield Memorial Ground should not be removed.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Nick Freer (David Lock Associates on behalf of the East Devon New Community Partners)	The strategy should not require provision above that required by the Local Plan. It is not appropriate for Cranbrook to have to meet the needs of Exeter or the wider West End. Pitches at the Education Campus should be taken into account in meeting Cranbrook's needs. The expansion of Cranbrook should only be required to provide what 4,000 dwellings would create demand for and it should not be based on the needs of 7,500. Strategy is too prescriptive. The AGP has been provided at the education campus and as such discussion over the type of surface should be removed. Co-location of facilities proposed by hub development is welcomed but location of them should be guided by the masterplan so inappropriate to show them here and so should be deleted. Pitch sizes required should be subject to discussion.	The PPS is a strategic document that needs to consider issues strategically. In this vein it is imperative that sufficient and suitable sports pitches and ancillary facilities are provided at Cranbrook to serve the overall population. For this reason the PPS considers the full suite of pitch requirements for a settlement of 7,500 homes. This is quite appropriate. The separate "Sports Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report" considers the detail and the fact that roughly 3,500 dwellings already have permission and states that the remaining circa 4,000 dwellings will not in themselves have to make up for any actual or perceived shortfall from the original permission. It is however important that the balance is picked up somehow and so that report suggests how the shortfall in pitches might be able to be delivered. The Cranbrook Plan will be the vehicle for identifying where such provision would be most appropriate across the settlement as a whole. The maps shown in the PPS are purely indicative. The PPS discusses the existing needs in the West End generated by both teams in East Devon and Exeter, however the requirements for Cranbrook are not inflated to meet these needs. Rather the point is recognised that Cranbrook facilities may be able to help with existing issues. Cranbrook is already required to provide an AGP (surface to be determined through agreement of the specification). The PPS takes a strategic view that considering existing demand in the immediate area and in Exeter it would be

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
		useful if this had a 3G surface as opposed to sand-based. This is reasonable. The existing S106 requires the AGP for Cranbrook to not be at the educational campus and for the specification to be agreed. Neither of these have been complied with. We are informed that it is a 3G surface though not of the size or quality required by the FA and there can be no guarantee of secured community use in perpetuity which is the reason for not locating on the educational campus. If these two points can be resolved then potentially the education campus AGP can be counted as meeting the S106 requirement.
Julian Tagg (Exeter City FC)	PPS should be updated to reflect the actual usage of the Cat and Fiddle and lack of security due to lease expiring.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below). PPS does not assume any community use currently. Future plans are supported on the basis that they might increase/allow for community use on the site.
Lisa Bowman (Exmouth Town Council)	Support the PPS and agree with the findings and recommendations. EDDC should be more flexible with leases for sports clubs. Clubs must have a clubhouse adjacent to the pitch to be able to be more self-sufficient. Support for shared use pitches but EDDC needs to play strong facilitating role. Knapps Cross should be considered by the PPS. The former Rolle College playing fields should be protected and compulsory purchased.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Mike Court (Feniton Playing Fields)	Details pitches on site currently, highlights artificial wicket exists on cricket ground, and gives details of plans for multi-sport facility.	Different number of mini pitches on site according to response from club. Number and type of pitches provided on this site changes according to need and it is not necessary to update the PPS every time things change. Needs Assessment is not amended as that is a snapshot in time.
Lee Rider (The FA)	Comments and clarification on individual sites. Request that the need for FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP) visits are referred to on certain key sites. Request for sport by sport overviews and an executive summary. Request for copy of the final database of site assessments.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below). Final database of site assessments will be available on request and sent to Sport England and all NGBs accordingly.
Peter	Quality score does not reflect state of pitch at	Needs Assessment is not amended as that is a snapshot in time. Need for

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
Matravers (Honiton Cricket Club)	start of season. Club do have practice nets but in poor repair.	practice nets is already highlighted as an issue with an action plan.
Margaret Williams (Honiton Hornets Hockey Club)	There is a desperate need for a sand based AGP in Honiton suitable for hockey and the community college seems to be the most appropriate location so it is well used, however the needs of the school (to be able to access grass pitches) must be recognised and so further grass pitches must also be provided in Honiton. Tower Cross is not a preferable or viable option and whilst other options including the current and old showgrounds have been looked at in the past they should be considered again along with others.	Sites mentioned will be considered in forthcoming work.
Andrew Horn (Honiton Youth FC)	Lease on St Rita's is due to expire next year. Perimeter fencing has holes that need repairing. Track to pitches needs resurfacing. PPS proposals for St Rita's would not allow the club to expand number of teams. No changing facilities at St Rita's and no shelter for watching parents. Lack of car parking a problem potentially worsened by development at the old dairy site.	Not updated team demand as number of teams in assessment is based on club response from December 2014. Change made to Honiton appendix to explain that club wishes to significantly increase number of teams but lack of capacity is impeding this.
Steve Phillips (Offwell FC)	Concerned that plans for permanent village shop on part of the recreation ground could compromise training areas and the ability to reinstate the cricket pitch in the future. The permanent shop should replace the existing temporary shop's footprint. Honiton should have all weather training facility suitable for Honiton hockey club to use as well.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Judith Reynolds	The report inaccurately suggests that facilities in Ottery St Mary are sufficient. They are of poor	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below). Clear action plans for improvement of existing and provision of

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
(Ottery St Mary Town Council)	quality and in need of updating/replacement. Provision of sports pitches and ancillary facilities in West Hill should be a key action plan. West Hill Juniors are split across three sites not two as suggested. Finding WHJFC a home should not refer to action points OT.18 and OT.19.	additional football and cricket pitches in the Ottery St Mary area are already promoted.
Duncan McConachie (Pinhoe AFC)	Playing surface at Station Road ground in Pinhoe (Exeter) has deteriorated so much that cannot play for 3 months every year for last three seasons. Now playing at King George V so Exeter City Council can improve it. Youth sides also used to play here and have been forced to move away. The club and Pinhoe Spartans would be interested in accessing the two pitches secured through the 'Westclyst developments'.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Christopher Drake (Seaton Town Council)	The Town Council has identified two possible sites for new football pitches to be located.	Sites mentioned will be considered in forthcoming work.
Matt Searle (Seaton Town FC)	Action plans should be time bound. Floodlights would be desirable but not essential. Club happy to move to another site but needs to be a single site rather than multiple. Potential for redeveloping Seaton Cricket Club/Tennis Club and the Bowls Club to allow for pitches/3G training facility in the town. Improvements to the boundaries of Elizabeth Rd and opening up the primary school swimming pool changing rooms to the YFC could make a big difference to that site. Staying at and expanding Colyford Road by relocating the caravan park would be ideal.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Steve Ellison (Sidmouth)	The ownership, maintenance and procurement details should be published for all pitches as not	It would be inappropriate and impossible to publish the ownership, maintenance and procurement details for all sites in the PPS.

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
and Ottery Hockey Club)	being clear on these can be a barrier to progress.	
Lester Willmington (Sidmouth RFC)	Support the PPS and specifically plans for floodlighting and additional all weather training facilities suitable for rugby. Rabbit infestation at Sidford is an ongoing problem. The club would like to support the Council in deliving the strategy and applications for funding.	EDDC will work with local clubs in identifying specific demand and locations for 3G training pad.
Chris Holland (Sidmouth Town Council)	Tennis courts, croquet lawns, putting greens and golf courses in Sidmouth should also be included. The Ham, The Lawn at the end of The Byes and Salcombe Regis Recreational field should also be included along with school facilities.	The PPS deals solely with formally laid out football, rugby, cricket and hockey pitches. Appropriate school facilities are included.
Ian Peacock (Sidmouth Town Junior Vikings FC)	Sidmouth and the surrounding area has a massive need for all weather floodlit training facilities for football (above rugby)	"World Rugby 22 compliant" simply means that it is a surface that full contact rugby can be played on. Such a surface would also be suitable for football.
Stuart Saunders (Sidmouth Town Junior Vikings FC)	The PPS does not take account of all Sidmouth Vikings teams playing at Newton Poppleford. The pitches here are used more than accounted for by the assessment. Pitches cannot be rearranged due to increased cricket square size. Adult pitch is at capacity and source of conention between adults and juniors which use it. Drainage is the main issue but only affects adult pitch. Maintaining the pitch is fruitless without drainage as jut gets ruined again.	Capacity calculations not amended as Needs Assessment was a specific point in time. Action plan SI.2 is clear about the need for further youth/mini football pitches in the Sidmouth sub-area. Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Gary Parsons (Sport England)	Assessed compliance with Stage D and E checklists from the methodology.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below). Final database of site assessments will be available on request and sent to Sport England and all NGBs accordingly. Changes to the Active Places Power database will be fed back asap.
Margaret Hall (West Hill	The PPS should make provision for football pitches in West Hill for West Hill Juniors.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).

Respondent	Summary of comments	EDDC response
Residents Association)		
Ben Silk (Whimple Cricket Club)	Football and cricket pitches require drainage. Now have fixed nets. Now have U17s team.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Dave Josey (Withycombe RFC)	Incorrect details of teams for WRFC.	No need to amend Needs Assessment as is a snapshot in time. Recognised in text though.
Cllr Howard West	The artificial cricket wicket at Colyton Peace Memorial should be retained. Colyford currently has no publicly accessible open space at all and would like a recreation ground or similar.	Relevant changes made to the strategy (see reference in table of changes below).
Cllr Jill Elson	Raleigh Park is the most appropriate location for a 3G in the Exmouth area as it can help resolve issues for the school as well. Additional grass sports pitches are required in the town as well.	Identified action plan to work through options and provide a solution at earliest opportunity.

Current Draft PPS (June 2015)

Following receipt of the above comments through the consultation and to ensure the strategy is up to date the following changes were made from the second Draft PPS (consultation draft) to the current Draft PPS being considered by Cabinet in June 2015.

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
2-3		Added executive summary including summaries of key issues by sub-area and key actions by sport.	In response to comments by Sport England requesting an executive summary and sport by sport overviews.
9	A.5	Amended dates of Draft Local Plan to 2013-31.	Accuracy.
12	A.16	Amended dates of Draft Local Plan to 2031.	Accuracy.
12	A.16	Amended review to be on a three yearly basis as per guidance.	In response to comments by Sport England and to align with guidance. The steering group will continue to meet and

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
			review performance against action plans on an annual basis but full review of data will be every three years.
14	A.22	Updated timescale to reflect fact that strategy took 2 years from conception to adoption.	Accuracy.
23	C.3	Added text to direct readers to section 8B of the Needs Assessment for sport by sport summaries.	In response to comments by Sport England and the FA requesting sport by sport overviews.
23	C.4	Added text to explain that the consultation has in some cases resulted in additional or amended issues beyond those set out in the Needs Assessment.	Accuracy.
23 and App.2	C.5	Removed site-by-site summaries from Stage C of main strategy and placed in new Appendix 2. Expanded these summaries to also include Action Plans and action plan overview for each site.	In response to comments by Sport England. To make the main PPS document more readable and navigable and to provide an easy to understand summary of all information, issues and actions for each site in one place.
26-27	D.9	Referred to comments by STJVFC regarding drainage of pitches at Back Lane, Newton Poppleford.	In response to comments by Sidmouth Town Junior Vikings FC.
31	D.31	Amended text to refer to planning permission rather than application (14/1572/MFUL).	Accuracy
32	D.32	Amended text to ensure that recommendations reflect/consider the specific conditions of the existing planning permission for the site relating to use by other clubs.	Accuracy
34	D.36	Added further information on number of teams WRFC currently run and expect to run in the future. Remove “probably” when referring to need for additional grass pitches required above and beyond a 3G if sited at Raleigh Park.	In response to comments by WRFC. To ensure the full picture of demand arising from WRFC is portrayed.
38-39	D.57 – D.59	Amended recommended action to more accurately reflect the preferred option for Winslade Park. Added text recognising the plans of the Clyst St Mary Sports and Recreation Club.	It is important to recognise the latest situation with regards to the future of the pitches at Winslade Park and support and encourage a community organisation

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
			ensuring the long term availability of the facilities.
39-40	D.60 – D.62	Updated information regarding Exmouth United FC and current Council invitations to tender for use of St John’s Road and Knapp Cross playing fields on a temporary/interim basis.	To ensure most up to date position is reflected in the strategy.
41	D.67	Added text stating that Action Plans are not guaranteed to be granted planning permission.	To ensure that readers are aware that just because a project is identified as potentially the favoured solution it is not the same as it being granted planning permission. Some Action Plans may have planning issues that will need to be considered through the pre-application / application process.
42	D.68	Added text to explain the general timeframes for delivery against different priorities of action plan.	In response to comments by Sport England and Seaton Town FC that action plans should be time bound where possible.
42-88	D.69 and all action plans	Added text to specifically refer to Sport England Guidance headings of PROTECT , ENHANCE and PROVIDE , and explicitly outline that all sites are protected unless otherwise stated.	In response to Sport England comments and to be clear on how sites will be protected, enhanced or provided.
42-88	D.70 and all action plans	Added text and sport indicators to identify the sports to which Action Plans specifically relate.	In response to comments by Sport England and the FA requesting sport by sport overviews comments and to improve the readability of the document.
43 to 88	All action plans	Action Plans re-organised and re-numbered.	Following changes in this table plus general tidying up.
49	EF.3	Add text to action to support pitches being provided by major West End developments potentially being able to home some of the Exeter based clubs playing on over-capacity sites in the fringe area such as Broadclyst Recreation Ground.	In response to comments by Pinhoe AFC.
49	EF.4	Amend action plan to consider alternative location for Newtown FC if necessary. Also linking in to action plan EF.10 relating to replacement	In response to comments by Sport England. This is a more workable solution.

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
		facility for Waterslade Park.	
50	EF.5	Ensured issue refers to impending expiry of current lease.	In response to comments by Exeter City Football Club.
50	EF.5	Amended text to state that club's proposed projects would enable the academy to reach EPPP category 2 standard facilities.	In response to comments by the FA and for accuracy.
52	EF.12	Amended text to more accurately reflect the preferred option at Winslade Park. Added text to support the proposals of Clyst St Mary Sports and Recreation Club to take on the ownership and management of sports facilities at Winslade Park so long as they align with the priorities of the PPS.	In response to latest plans of Clyst St Mary Sports & Recreation Club and to secure the long term protection and success of facilities at Winslade Park.
53	EF.14	Removed reference to use of pitches by other clubs.	To reflect conditions relating to use of the site in existing planning permission.
58	EX.1 – EX.3	Added ECB and RFU to the potential delivery partners.	In response to comments by the FA. Accidentally missed off previously.
64	EX.18	Added text to recognise parking issues for Raleigh Park.	In response to comments by Sport England.
64	EX.19	Added text to recommend that club arrange an FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP) visit.	In response to comments by the FA.
65	EX20	Added text to recommend that club arrange an FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP) visit.	In response to comments by the FA.
66	EX.28	New action plan added specifically supporting and encouraging the use of St John's Road and Knapp Cross playing fields in Exmouth for formal pitches on a temporary, interim basis.	In response to previous Cabinet recommendations and current work towards achieving this aim.
71	HO.10	Additional issue relating to permanent village shop proposals on Offwell Recreation Ground and suitable action plan to retain the playing field, the ability for football club to expand and the potential for reinstatement of the cricket square.	In response to comments by Offwell Rangers FC and recent planning application (now withdrawn).
72	HO.12	Issue and action updated to specifically reference track needing resurfacing and lack of car parking.	In response to specific comments by Honiton Town Youth FC.
76	OT.9	Now shown as project completed.	As per comments by Whimple Cricket Club.
76	OT.10	New action plan relating to need for drainage.	As per comments by Whimple Cricket

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
			Club.
79	OT.19	Remove reference to youth football pitch being 11v11	Youth 11v11 will not fit on this site. The maximum size pitch that could be accommodated here would be a youth 9v9.
79	OT.21	Amended action plan to be more proactively worded.	In response to comments by West Hill Residents Association and Ottery St Mary Town Council.
80	SE.4	Add reference to ensure that Seaton Town FC pitches are all provided on one site.	As per comments by Seaton Town FC to ensure ongoing viability and maintenance on any future site.
80	SE.1 and SE.2	Moved Boshill Cross, Axmouth to Seaton sub-area in action plan.	To ensure consistency. In response to comments by Axmouth United FC.
80	SE.1	Amended issue to fully explain drainage issue and changed action plan to support club if they want to set up new teams and need further capacity on the site.	To adequately address the drainage issue on site. In response to comments by Axmouth United FC.
80	SE.1	Added text to recommend that club arrange an FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP) visit.	In response to comments by the FA.
82	SE.11	No longer refer to removing artificial cricket wicket, instead retain for future use.	In response to comments by Colyton Parish Council. Reasonable to retain facility for future use (though would require investment to bring back into use).
83	SI.1	Added in drainage of adult pitch as a main issue pulling quality of site down.	In response to comments by Sidmouth Town Junior Vikings FC.
83	SI.1	Added text to recommend that club arrange an FA Pitch Improvement Programme (PIP) visit.	In response to comments by the FA.
89	E.1	Amended review to be on a three yearly basis as per guidance.	In response to comments by Sport England and to align with guidance. The steering group will continue to meet and review performance against action plans on an annual basis but full review of data will be every three years.

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
89	E.2	Added text to explain that the PPS will be used for protecting existing stock of pitches, requiring adequate replacement provision where this is suitable.	In response to comments by the FA.
App.3 15		Added text to explain Honiton Town Youth FC's aspirations to significantly increase number of teams but lack of capacity impedes this.	In response to comments by Honiton Town Youth FC.
App.3 38-44		Replaced the Action Plans table for the updated version found in the main document.	To reflect changes in the main document.
App. 4 2	1.1	Amended extent of future expansion of Cranbrook from 4,000 to "c.4,000".	Accuracy.
App. 4 2	1.1	Amended text to reflect the fact that planning applications at Cranbrook are no longer invalid.	Accuracy.
App. 4 10	5.3	Added text to state that "The time for a cricket wicket to "bed in" should be considered and as such work on laying the cricket ground should begin an appropriate length of time prior to the facility needing to be open for use".	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4 13	8.3	Added text "meeting at least FIFA 1* performance standard".	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4 13	8.4	Added text to state that the "Location of the 3G AGP at the leisure centre would potentially provide the most obvious way of ensuring ongoing management of such a facility, although it would perhaps be more appropriately located at one of the sports pitch hubs. This could help with the ongoing sustainability of that site, although, suitable ongoing management arrangements would need to be fully understood. Provision of the facility at/within the education campus would not be suitable due to the lack of security for community use in perpetuity. Whilst providing a facility at a school often works in other existing towns, this is a compromise position with limited alternative locations for their development. Cranbrook is a brand new town with the ability to ensure separate, more appropriate provision and this should be the aim."	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4 14	9.1	Amended text to state that "Sports pitches need to be provided to NGB performance standards and according to the following specifications".	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4	Table 9.1	Added text to state that any 3G AGP at Cranbrook should be "to at least	To align with the now adopted Sports,

Page	Para / Action Plan	Change	Why change made?
14		FIFA 1* performance standard”.	Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4 20	9.7	Added text to state that “Appropriate changing facilities should be designed in consultation with relevant NGBs.”	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.
App. 4 20	9.10	Added text to state that “All sports pitches must be available for community use. Pitches provided at the education campus and at school sites around the town will be in addition to the pitches required through this assessment and cannot be relied upon as meeting community sporting needs. This is principally because community use cannot be guaranteed in perpetuity and educational use of the pitches takes up the vast majority of pitch capacity. Any pitch has a finite capacity and exceeding that can make a significant difference to the quality and usability of the pitches”.	To align with the now adopted Sports, Leisure and Recreation at Cranbrook Report.