

East Devon District Council

Feedback Report on Village Plan Consultation

Background Information

The Council's Local Development Scheme advises of the production of a Villages Plan and as part of the work on this plan we undertook consultation on a draft document in spring 2014. Consultation ran between 17th January 2014 and 10th March 2014. On our database we have logged 579 representations from 280 representors.

This report provides an officer summary of the feedback received. It is stressed that to gain a complete picture of all comments made original representations should be viewed. These can be viewed via the Villages library at:

<http://www.eastdevon.gov.uk/lpvillagedocumentlibrary.pdf>

Appendix 1 to this report contains data exported from the planning policy database that provides our summary record of comments received.

This report uses the same chapter/headings numbers as was used in the consultation document to summarise comments received. The plan can be viewed at:

<http://www.eastdevon.gov.uk/draftvillagedpd.pdf>

In the draft plan we have placed RefPoints. If viewing an electronic draft of the plan and connected to the internet you can click on these to see details of comments that have been submitted and logged specifically against that RefPoint.

For ease of reference the numbers of the following paragraphs correspond to the relevant chapter number of the draft villages plan.

1. Setting the Context

Many respondents felt that growth levels should be higher in the villages and that the 5% approach was not supported by evidence. There was concern that consultation on the Villages Plan was premature when Policy Strategy 27 was being examined as part of the Local Plan, but also support for the villages plan as a means of clarifying Strategy 27. The East Devon AONB Partnership supported landscape character based assessment, although it was highlighted that there should be consistent reference to where sites have already gained planning permission (by including planning ref) in the policy

sections. Devon County Council commented that the time period of the plan should be set out more clearly in the introduction to the plan. It also stated that it was willing to work with local communities to develop appropriate proposals, but financial constraints limit the timing and ability of projects to progress. Other comments include brownfield first and SHLAA sites should not be shown on maps.

2. Introduction

There was support for the approach of involving parish councils and communities in the process, but also concern about different standards of consultation undertaken by different parishes. The view was put forward that higher growth rates were needed in some villages and that parish councils should have been advised of why and where higher growth rates were justified (and that parishes should have been given the tools to show if more development was needed). Several respondents queried the quality of the Sustainability Appraisal, whether it paid sufficient regard to the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and issues such as flooding. English Nature considered that further work was required under the Habitat Regulations. The landscape appraisal approach was both supported and criticised for not including local groups with local knowledge. Some of the library documents were criticised for being misleading or difficult to understand. There was support for the principal of Built-up Area Boundaries.

3. Recent Permissions

There was concern that in some villages the number of planning permissions granted already exceeded the number planned. Devon County Council sought clarification of why some planning permissions were included and not others.

4. Villages and other locations

There was some criticism that the 5% approach was not justified, there should have been individual analysis of village needs, more development was needed in villages and there should not be an upper limit on development levels. There was criticism that the SA does not take account of proximity to larger towns with greater facilities and one respondent called for a mechanism to bring forward allocations around villages if strategic allocations were not delivered. Various SHLAA sites unrelated to settlements with Built-up Area Boundaries were promoted for development and Farringdon Parish Council objected to the lack of BUAB as small clusters of residential development were wanted.

5. Built-up Area Boundaries

Devon County Council considered that acceptable developments outside of BUAB's should be defined in accordance with the emerging Local Plan as neighbourhood planning will potentially result in developments outside. Various sites were proposed for inclusion within a BUAB. There were calls for clearer wording of policy and for all allocations to be included within a BUAB.

6. Settlement Allocations

Devon Senior Voice requested clarification of wording and a statement on each map of what Built-up Area Boundaries are and do.

7. Alfington

Concerns were expressed about accuracy of the Sustainability Appraisal, but there was support for the proposed allocation. Natural England did not consider that the proposed allocation would undermine the special qualities of the AONB as it comprises derelict farm buildings.

8. Awliscombe

Sport England noted aspirations for playing pitches and Natural England considered the proposed allocation most appropriate when considered against alternatives shown. There was limited criticism of the proposed allocation site from local residents but the merits of alternative sites were promoted by others.

9. Axmouth

Axmouth Parish Council and Natural England supported the decision not to propose the site allocation but there were objections (on behalf of landowning interests) to the lack of an allocation.

10. Aylesbeare

Parish Council requested some amendments to the text but no objections were raised to the proposed allocation. Devon County Council commented on the very limited bus service.

11. Beer

Beer Parish Council objected to the failure to allocate site E320 as it is supported locally, is the choice of the Parish Council and landscape evidence has now been put forward. One respondent objected to Policy 5 (village

centre vitality) as it could stifle natural change and the lack of charity and empty shops indicates strong vitality. Natural England supported not allocating a site due to potential adverse impacts.

12. Brampford Speke

Parish Council supported the approach of not allocating specific sites but wished to bring forward an exceptions site for small scale affordable housing and to retain the existing village design statement. One respondent objected to development due to impact on infrastructure, services and facilities.

13. Branscombe

Natural England objected to the proposed housing allocation which it considered could have adverse environmental impacts. There were objections to the failure to allocate various alternative sites for housing and an objection to the proposed allocation on the grounds of surface water flooding.

14. Broadclyst

There was support for including the proposed allocation within the BUAB and views expressed by development interests that the potential capacity of the site should not be unduly constrained as this could affect viability. The National Trust referred to the setting of Killerton Park and suggested alternative wording. There was an objection to an extension to Broadclyst on the grounds that it is not needed, but if additional land is required Broadclyst Station should be considered as an alternative.

15. Broadhembury

Parish Council and one other representor supported the proposed allocation but would like 10-15 houses built, not the five proposed. One representor objected to the proposed allocation on grounds of parking, danger to pedestrians and visual impact. Devon County Council expressed concern that site C319 was proposed for housing allocation despite previous concerns.

16. Chardstock

Parish Council requested changes to the plan text. There were calls for higher levels of development and interested parties promoted alternative sites.

17. Clyst Hydon

Parish Council requested changes to the description of the village and the allocation of site X002 for two dwellings. There were objections from developer/land owner interests to a failure to propose a housing allocation.

18. Clyst St George

A substantial number of representations were received objecting to an allocation of 25 houses for the village and requesting an allocation of no more than 5. Concerns were also expressed about sewage capacity, flooding and access to the proposed allocation. The Parish Council also request that the allocation is reduced to 5. Natural England pointed out that the close proximity to the Exe Estuary will require mitigation of development under the Habitat Regulations.

19. Clyst St Mary

Bishop Clyst Parish Council strongly supported the proposed allocation, but objected to including sports facilities in SHLAA site W344. Objections were received on behalf of landowner interests to not allocating alternative sites.

20. Colaton Raleigh

Parish Council supported the plan. Natural England supported the allocation in principle. Clinton Devon Estates supported the allocated site, but objected to the wording of the site evaluation as they consider the site to be well located and close to facilities.

21. Colyford

There were several calls from developer/landowner interests to allocate more housing for Colyford and various sites were proposed for allocation. There was support for not allocating E087 for development and comments that the boundary of the County Wildlife Site shown on the plan is incorrect.

22. Colyton

There were several calls from developer/landowner interests to allocate more housing for Colyton and various sites proposed for allocation. Natural England noted that a proposed allocation, E142, faces the AONB and further work on landscape/visual appraisal was recommended. Devon County Council questioned the allocation of E142 given previously expressed highway concerns. There were calls for the Built-up Area Boundary to be amended to include residential and employment areas to allow for future infill.

23. Dunkeswell

The Parish Council objected to the lack of infrastructure for previous developments in the village, particularly lack of sewers. They also expressed concern about potential over development, required the plan to make clear that no development should be allowed south of High Dunnscott and requested that E207 be kept as a site for a school. Natural England encouraged further work on landscape and visual impact to help assimilate the proposed development. One representor thought it best to build on existing concrete rather than green fields and another wanted site E207 to be kept available for dog walking as there was no other dog walking facilities.

24. East Budleigh

The Parish Council objected to the allocation of site C059 for residential development on the grounds of landscape impact, highways/pedestrian safety and lack of community support and suggested site C307 (known as Frank's Patch) as an alternative. Natural England recommended further landscape and visual appraisal of the proposed allocation to ensure any adverse impacts are mitigated. There was some concern about the level of housing development proposed, but a substantial number of representations were received objecting to the proposed allocation, with some support for the development of alternative sites.

25. Ebford

There were significant levels of objection to the scale of development proposed and its focus on one area of the village with associated strains on infrastructure. There were some objections to the failure to allocate W012 for 1 to 3 homes and to remove an existing building (none of this site is allocated). There was an objection from developer interests to the failure to allocate the whole of site W049. There were objections from residents to any development of W049. There was an objection from developer interests to the failure to allocate the whole of site W018. There were objections to the allocation of part of W018, particularly to increase housing numbers from 7 to 12. There was an objection to the allocation of W045 for 6 homes, which is considered to be excessive and contrary to the interests of highway safety and sustainability. There were objections from residents to the failure to allocate W137, which is seen as preferable to development within the village. There was criticism of the Sustainability Appraisal and a view that any development should be infill. Natural England commented that development would need to meet the Habitat Regulations given the close proximity of the Exe Estuary.

26. Feniton

The Parish Council considered that no further development should be allowed until projects to relieve flooding have been resolved. There were general objections from developer interests that the plan should make provision for additional growth in Feniton. There were objections from land owner/developer interests to the failure to allocate various sites for development. Significant levels of objection were received to additional development on the grounds of sustainability, flooding and poor infrastructure.

27. Greendale Business Park

Comments were supplied on behalf of the landowner that the business park provides 1,070 jobs with good connections to Exeter and it is well located to 'feeder' villages and suitable for employment use.

28. Hawkchurch

Devon County Council expressed concern about the proposed allocation as the site is in private ownership and unsuitable for the level of development proposed. Natural England noted that the site is within 1km of the Dorset AONB and any development would need to have regard to potential impacts on the setting of the AONB.

29. Hill Barton Business Park

No comments were recorded.

30. Kilmington

There was an objection to the failure to allocate E084, which is considered to be less visually intrusive and have better highway access than the proposed allocation at E332.

31. Lympstone

Devon County Council considered that the provision of passing loops on the Avocet line, to allow a fifteen minute frequency, may be rather ambitious in the context of the amount of proposed development. Natural England comment that the proximity of Lympstone to protected European sites means that proposed developments need to meet the requirements of the Habitats Regulations. One respondent supported the allocation of site W307 but expressed concerns about how community sports pitches might be provided. One responded queried lack of provision for elderly people and suggested

moving the Built-up Area Boundary to allow local people to build homes. The expansion of the coastal preservation area was queried and site W337 promoted for development.

32. Membury

Membury Parish Council endorse the Villages Development Plan Document and stress the importance of Policy 27, but also stress the importance of the AONB landscape and topographical and flood risk constraints, they also comment that there is no bus service, only a ring and ride. Natural England agreed with the reasoning that no sites have been identified within Membury because of the impact on the high quality AONB landscape and flood risk. There was an objection to the failure to allocate site E303, 75% of which would be outside the floodplain.

33. Musbury

Natural England was satisfied in principal that the proposed allocation could be build without damage to the AONB landscape. Devon County Council Farm Estates support the proposed allocation. There was an objection to new development because of traffic congestion, pollution, noise, untidiness and one objection to the failure to allocate site E128.

34. Newton Poppleford

The Parish Council requested amendments to the text in the Summary of Site Evaluation table to state that, whilst initial support was given for Site C305 by the Parish Council, the Parish Council did not support a subsequent planning application at this site. Natural England advised that the village falls wholly within the East Devon AONB and the design of the proposed housing should be compatible with the special qualities of the AONB. A substantial number of representations expressed concern about the consultation process and the proposed allocation. There were some calls to allocate more housing for the village and specific sites were put forward.

35. Offwell

Offwell Parish Council objected to the allocation of site E022 on the grounds of highway safety, flooding, sewerage and permanent despoliation of an environmentally sensitive AONB site and stated that Offwell villagers recommended E406. There was general concern about allowing any additional housing in the village and a view that decisions should be based on local knowledge and experience. A substantial number of objections were made to the proposed allocation of E022 on grounds including highway safety,

flooding, proximity to historic buildings and lack of sewage capacity. There was support for the allocation of E406 from many of those objecting to E022, but also objections to E406 on the grounds of adverse traffic impacts, loss of Greenfield and agricultural site and adverse impact on the landscape setting of the village. The merits of several alternative sites were put forward by/on behalf of developers/landowners.

36. Otterton

Natural England advised that, as the proposed allocation is in the AONB, the design of the housing must be compatible with the special qualities of the AONB, incorporate green infrastructure and comply with habitat regulation requirements.

37. Payhembury

The Parish Council objected on the basis that the part of C021 that has been allocated is the greenfield part of the site, whereas most respondents suggested that if only part of the site was to be developed it should be the brownfield part. There were some objections to additional development on the grounds of lack of infrastructure and traffic congestion. There were also objections to the proposed allocation on the grounds of loss of employment and an alternative site at Glebe Field proposed. There was some support for additional development in the village.

38. Plymtree

There was some support (from developer interests) for additional development in the village and alternative sites were put forward.

39. Rockbeare

There were objections to the failure to allocate alternative sites.

40. Sidbury

Sidmouth Town Council supported the planned development at Sidbury. Natural England concluded that the site could not be developed without harm to the AONB, despite the limited housing proposed. Natural England considered that the allocation was neither exceptional nor in the national interest given the additional housing proposed at other larger settlements nearby. There was a representation in support of the proposed residential allocation but objecting to the failure to plan for additional growth and allocate an additional site.

41. Smallridge

All Saints Parish Council objected to the plan because it failed to recognise that All Saints Parish consists of seven hamlets - All Saints, Smallridge, Alston, Churchill, Waggs Plot, Colston & South Common and that All Saints has most facilities while Smallridge has been developed to capacity. The Parish Council supported development of part of the proposed allocation (E003) but wished to see the 'bottom field' left outside of the BUAB and objected to the development of five or more houses in the Parish, preferring individual dwellings. Natural England commented that the proposed allocation was within an AONB so the design of the proposed housing should be compatible with the special qualities of the AONB and incorporate green infrastructure links to countryside. There was general support for not allocating alternative sites and restricting the allocation to that part with planning permission.

42. Stockland

Natural England commented that Stockland falls wholly within the Blackdown Hills AONB and, whilst the allocation E157 for 5 dwellings is well related to existing built development, it recommended consultation with the AONB management team.

43. Talaton

There were some concerns about additional development in the village due to poor road access and a lack of facilities in the village. There was some feeling that the parish council views were not representative of villagers. There were queries over the viability of the proposed allocation and alternative sites (and higher development levels) were put forward by/on behalf of landowner/developer interests.

44. Tipton St. John

Ottery St Mary Town Council agreed with the proposed policy for Tipton St John, but made detailed comments on both the landscape and sustainability appraisals. Tipton St John Parish Residents Association wholly supported the modified plan for Tipton St John submitted by Ottery St Mary Town Council. There were objections on behalf of developer interests to a failure to allocate competing sites.

45. Uplyme

Dorset County Council objected to the failure of the plan to specify policy that applies to denoted 'recreation areas' and to refer to the potential need and appropriateness for Woodruffe School to expand onto playing fields in Uplyme. West Dorset District Council sought recognition in the EDLP of the relationship between Lyme Regis and Uplyme, asked to work jointly on examining options and considered the plan not to be positively prepared as currently drafted. Natural England supported the decision not to allocate any development within Uplyme due to its location with East Devon AONB. There were objections on behalf of developer/landowner interests to the failure to allocate competing sites.

46. Upottery

Natural England noted that the village is wholly within the Blackdown Hills AONB so the design of development is crucial and should be informed by further landscape and visual impact assessment and in consultation with AONB management team. Landowner/developer interest supported the proposed allocation.

47. West Hill

Ottery St. Mary made detailed comments on the sustainability assessment and wished to see the identification of local green spaces in the plan together with changes to the text (including preservation of existing trees and planting). A local Councillor wished to strongly resist development of more than 35 new homes. The residents association wished to see policies restricting plot subdivision, preserving existing character and identifying special green spaces. It also wished to see a summary of the village design statement in the plan and highlighted inaccuracies in the plan. Alternative sites were proposed for residential allocation by/on behalf of landowner/developer interests.

48. Whimble

The Parish Council agreed with the content of the plan. There were some objections to the proposed allocation and some (more limited) support for the allocation.

49. Woodbury

Natural England was satisfied that the allocated site E157 could be located without harm to the setting of the AONB and was well related to existing houses, but would need to meet the requirements of the Habitat Regs. Various alternative sites were put forward for allocation by/on behalf of developer/landowner interests.

50. Woodbury Salterton

Developer/land owning interests were keen to see additional development and proposed various sites to accommodate it.

51. Smaller Villages and Hamlets

Axmouth Town Council accepted the grouping of Axmouth Parish with those of Combyne Rousdon and Uplyme for the purposes of assessing housing need. Chardstock Parish Council queried the implications of grouping Chardstock with All Saints, Membury and Yarcombe. Ottery St. Mary Town Council could see no reason for Ottery to be linked with Aylesbeare for the purposes of assessing the need for affordable housing and objected to a policy that would allow 15 homes to be added to the smallest settlements. The Community Council of Devon considered that individual sustainability appraisals are a more balanced approach than counting facilities as some villages may not have a school or shop, but may have access to home delivery of groceries and clubs & activities for young people. East Devon Group CPRE supported Strategy 35 as an exception policy to provide for affordable housing where there is a proven local need. Further comments from a range of respondents included a request for greater help from East Devon District Council on the localism agenda, criticism of the wording of policy on mixed market affordable homes and a call for explicit policies for smaller villages and hamlets.

Appendix 1 – Planning Policy Database Record on Comments Received – As at 28 August 2014

The Planning Policy team record comments made on policy documents on a dedicated database. Set out below is information exported from the database on 28 August 2014 that summarises comments received. It is stressed that this is an officer interpretation of information and records are subject to amendment and refinement and may change over time. We encourage all respondent to review our records for accuracy of recording.

In the table that follows the following column headings are used

Repn Number – on our database each representor is given a unique reference number and we use this for recording representations on all documents we consult on. This unique number precedes the full stop. After the full stop is a ‘V’ which denotes that comments are made on the Villages Plan and then a follow on number which denotes the specific issue or point that is being commented on.

Representor Name – This is the name of the individual/organisation that has commented.

Agent If Used – If an agent submitted comment on behalf of a client this is recorded.

Type – We catalogue comments on the basis of whether they are:

- ‘O’ – Objecting to a part of the plan;
- ‘S’ – Supporting a part of the plan;
- ‘C’ – Neither supporting or objecting but making a general comment;

Ref Point Number – these reference numbers are uses to record comments against. They relate to sections or parts or specific policies of the plan.

Chapter – this is the relevant chapter in the plan.

Page No – this is the relevant page number in the plan.

Site – where a representation clearly relates to a specific site or area of land that can be defined on a map we give the representation a unique site number and description. Appendix 2 to this report shows plans for each site recorded.

Officer Summary of Representation – This is an officer summary of the representation received.

The representations are listed in plan (RefPoint) order.

Schedule of Representations

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
97.V1	Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership		O	1	8. Awliscombe	9		Some of the descriptions/background information in some chapters needs checking for correctness, e.g. Awliscombe is on boundary of Blackdown Hills AONB, not East Devon
7114.V1	Blue Cedar Homes		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		The Villages DPD is considered premature as the total housing numbers for the district have not yet been established. The figure of 5% growth per a village does not appear to be based on evidence of need, but an arbitrary total.
6341.V1	Design Council		C	1	1. Setting the Context	9		The comment sets out advice for a good core strategy and offers guidance through various web links. Specific comment is, however, not provided on plan content.
595.V1	Devon Countryside Access Forum		O	1	2. Introduction	9		EDDC should maximise opportunities for walking and cycling, recognise rights of ways and transport links, identify access land for recreation and ensure liaison and consultation takes place with landowners when new routes are being explored.
6737.V1	Devon County Council		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		The period of time to which this plan relates should be set out more clearly in the introduction to the plan (section 1).
6737.V8	Devon County Council		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Observation that the term 'Footpath' is used in the wrong sense.
6737.V10	Devon County Council		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		County Council are willing to work with communities in developing proposals which are appropriate but point out that progress is not quick, or even at all in some cases due to financial constraints.
2007.V1	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	1	44. Tipton St John	9		Objection that the Villages Plan consultation is premature in respect of consultation ahead of the main local plan passing through examination and that should any amendments be needed to Strategy 27 this would have impacts on the Villages Plan overall.
3472.V1	East Devon AONB Partnership		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Landscape character based assessment is supported though it is highlighted that there should consistent reference to where sites have already gained planning permission (by including planning ref) in the policy sections.
7127.V1	Eastley, Mrs Carol		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection to plan as inward looking and restrictive. It prevents a natural and healthy sustainable growth of the village and its community and consultation has not been extensive enough.
7046.V1	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection that it is premature, ahead of local plan adoption, to be presenting a document for consultation which seeks to agree how housing will be provided across the villages of East Devon.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
		David Seaton						
7046.V2	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection that there is insufficient provision of residential devt in East Devon and therefore for villages esp those close to Exeter. Not considered that a blanket 5% growth provision at villages is underpinned by robust evidence.
7046.V3	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection that the plan is not drawn up over an appropriate time period and should cover a 15 year plus period which it does not do at present.
1491.V1	Girlings Retirement Options Ltd		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objects to the lack of reference to the need to build more retirement homes to cater for the ever increasing numbers in that age group.
6338.V1	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection that the plan has been put out for consultation ahead of local plan adoption. Failure of the local plan to be adopted or Strategy 27 to change will require changes to the villages plan.
6947.V1	Mills, Maureen		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Concern that there are no figures for empty dwellings. Emphasis should be made that any new build must be quality made. Brownfield sites should always be considered first for development.
7007.V1	Network Rail		O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Emphasises the importance of consulting Network Rail if plans will result in an increase in volume of traffic. Suggest wording for a new Policy to contain this.
3250.V1	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection that the total housing requirement figures and their proposed distribution across the District has not been properly evaluated and provision should be higher. It is premature to be presenting a document for consultation at this stage.
6751.V3	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL - Agents)	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	1	26. Feniton	9		Given that the total housing requirement figures and their proposed distribution across the district have not been reported upon it is, premature to be presenting a document for consultation which seeks to agree how housing will be provided.
6751.V4	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection raised to the underprovision of housing in the plan and a blanket 5% approach to additional housing development. Concerns also raised about the plan not running for long enough and no mechanism to allow site on the edges of town.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Seaton PCL - Agents)							
3654.V1	Taylor Wimpey Exeter Ltd	Origin3: Chris Cox Senior Planner	S	1	1. Setting the Context	9	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Support for production of Villages DPD as a means of providing clarity to Strategy 27.
3207.V2	Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Limited	Emery Planning Partnership (S Harris, Macclesfield):	O	1	1. Setting the Context	9		Objection raised to consulting on the villages plan ahead of receipt of Inspector's Report in to the main local Plan with the need seen for greater growth noting clients interests in Ebford and Feniton.
7046.V6	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	4	2. Introduction	11		Objection that early consultation work on the plan was flawed and that Parish Council should have been advised to understand why and where higher growth was appropriate and to plan for this.
97.V2	Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership		S	6	2. Introduction	11		Welcomes positive approach of involving parish councils and communities in the site allocation process.
7040.V3	Devon Senior Voice		S	6	2. Introduction	11		Devon Senior Voice express appreciation to Planning Authority in consulting Parish Councils regarding Built Up Area Boundaries in emerging Local Plan
28.V1	East Devon Group CPRE		O	6	2. Introduction	11		Objects to the fact that in some village's members of the public have not been aware of the consultation process and that differing Parish Councils have applied differing approaches to consultation.
6242.V1	Lympstone Local Land Owners and Employers Association		O	6	2. Introduction	11		Objection that have not been consulted during the process of the EDLP and Lympstone Neighbourhood Plan and also the ED Villages Development Plan as owners of majority of the land in the parish.
3250.V2	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	6	1. Setting the Context	11		Objection that the consultation with Parish Council's to inform housing allocation numbers is flawed and provision is not based on evidence and overall levels of village development are not high enough.
6751.V5	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL -	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	6	2. Introduction	11		It is our opinion that the Council housing figures are insufficient and that a 5% further growth on existing housing numbers across the villages is not high enough. Parish Council's not given the tools to establish if a more than 5% growth is reqd.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Agents)							
7040.V4	Devon Senior Voice		S	7	2. Introduction	13		Neighbourhood Plans: Strongly supports clear information explanations of purpose and opportunities that Neighbourhood Plans give to local communities
6966.V4	Chardstock Parish Council		O	8	2. Introduction	13		Village Plan Documents: I wish to comment on some of the library documents which, as they stand, either present misleading information or are very difficult to understand and therefore be at all meaningful or useful.
6123.V2	Bond, Mrs Susie		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Grave concerns about sustainability and flood risk in Feniton and the accuracy of the sustainability appraisal regarding this and also in reporting on other facilities. The village is not appropriate for substantial development.
6966.V5	Chardstock Parish Council		O	9	2. Introduction	13		The Villages Plan Sustainability Appraisal: Incomprehensible codes suggest 1-10 with 1 being least sustainable and 10 most sustainable.
2007.V4	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	9	2. Introduction	13		Villages Plan Sustainability Appraisal is based on the DPD as it stands and, in particular, the objectives of draft Strategy 27. If Strategy 27 changes then appraisal will need to be amended.
28.V2	East Devon Group CPRE		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Objection to the robustness of the Sustainability Appraisal. The objectives are taken from the SA for the Local Plan "to provide a robust and consistent approach". However, the robustness has not been achieved
6338.V4	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director	O	9	1. Setting the Context	13		Villages Plan Sustainability Appraisal is based on the DPD. If the requirements of the Strategy change then it is assumed that the Appraisal will have to be re-written.
6943.V2	Nancekievill, Mr Stuart		O	9	2. Introduction	13	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Information regarding site C305 in the Villages Sustainability Appraisal is seriously flawed.
3743.V1	Natural England		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Concern that many of the village allocations are either wholly or partly in AONB's and the Sustainability Appraisal has been too crudely applied in respect of recording potential adverse impacts.
3743.V47	Natural England		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Sustainability Appraisal needs updating. Sections 5.7 and 5.8 of SA could provide more detail on how mitigation effects will be managed. Non-tech summary for the SA DPD is required and be included with final SA report.
1545.V1	West Hill		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Objection that the Sustainability Appraisal is very poor; the village assessment

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Residents Association							is factually incorrect and the detailed assessments of sites in West Hill are not soundly based and are inaccurate
1545.V11	West Hill Residents Association		O	9	2. Introduction	13		Objection to inaccuracies in the information used in the Sustainability Appraisal for West Hill including in respect of numbers of facilities, weighting of public transport & environmental designations, flood risk and overall scoring system
3743.V2	Natural England		O	10	2. Introduction	14		Objection raised that further work is req'd in respect of the Habitat Regulations Assessment to ensure that no adverse effect on integrity of designated sites occurs, inc River Axe and water quality and impacts on Exe, Dawlish Warren and Pebblebeds.
97.V3	Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership		S	11	2. Introduction	14		Welcomes use of a Landscape Appraisal approach to the village allocations.
6966.V6	Chardstock Parish Council		O	11	2. Introduction	14		Landscape Assessment: Page 8 onwards. Site E093 land west of Tytherleigh does not appear on maps and wasn't SHLAA site under consideration. Reference to this site needs to be removed.
28.V3	East Devon Group CPRE		O	11	2. Introduction	14		Concerns expressed over accuracy of landscape and sustainability appraisals, would like EDDC to acknowledge input from Parish Councils that reflect their local knowledge and other interested parties.
28.V4	East Devon Group CPRE		O	12	2. Introduction	15		Concerns expressed over accuracy of landscape and sustainability appraisals, would like EDDC to acknowledge input from Parish Councils that reflect their local knowledge and other interested parties.
28.V5	East Devon Group CPRE		O	13	2. Introduction	15		Concerns that not all sites were not included in initial consultation work (prior to the villages plan consultation), only 'developable' ones from the SHLAA process. And the villages plan could have excluded some possible sites on 'undevelopable' grounds
8.V1	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	13	2. Introduction	15		Objection to the way the plan has been produced and concern that the sites have been introduced without prior consideration of the town council and around quality of sustainability Appraisal.
8.V2	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	13	4. Villages and other locations	15		Objection that the plan should recognise constraints on development in villages and in Ottery St Mary Parish appropriate sites have already been developed and onus should rest on sustainable devt in other parts of East Devon.
8.V3	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	13	6. Settlement Allocations	15		A specific policy reference should be included in the plan that ensures that windfall developments are counted in assessment of housing provision and large numbers would be unsustainable.
8.V4	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	13	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	15		Objection to the failure of the plan to include restrictions on development in East Devon due to the presence of two major gas transmission pipe-lines. Paragraph 172 of the NPPF flags up the general point.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
6737.V2	Devon County Council		O	14	3. Recent Permissions	17		Greater clarification is needed as to why some planning permissions have been included and not others. Concerns that policies will not be set in stone, as amendments could be made before the Local Plan is finally adopted
6737.V9	Devon County Council		O	14	3. Recent Permissions	17		It would be useful to have the planning applications that are relevant, summarised here rather than having to research online
6947.V3	Mills, Maureen		O	14	3. Recent Permissions	17		Awareness of bulldozing through previous Plans has not directly been made, but this consideration should be uppermost in Planners' minds when making these Plans as it is highly likely that future development appeals against building will be overturned.
1545.V2	West Hill Residents Association		O	14	3. Recent Permissions	17		Objects to any more housing as allocation has already been exceeded. Note that the recent planning permissions granted in West Hill on the sites included in the allocation (39) exceed the target number of dwellings (35). We see no need to allocate more.
1545.V3	West Hill Residents Association		S	14	3. Recent Permissions	17		Respondent advises that "We strongly support the retention of Built-up Area Boundaries".
396.V4	Brampford Speke Parish Council		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Only accepts target of 5 homes if EDDC accepts their 'exception site development' as counting toward that target.
396.V5	Brampford Speke Parish Council		S	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		We would like to record our support for the strong stance being taken by EDDC of opposing a prospective Government proposal to exempt sites of less than 10 homes from having to provide any affordable housing.
6889.V1	Dennis, V J C		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19	E053 E053 - land fronting Kilmington Road, Whitford	Objection to the failure of the Plan to allocate Site E053 in Whitford for residential development. Site forms a natural expansion of the village. Surrounding settlements provide facilities.
7040.V1	Devon Senior Voice		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Broad support expressed for the production of the villages plan and potential for help offered in respect of identifying local needs for over 50s housing. Need seen for a policy statement advocating "houses fit for purpose" in villages.
2007.V2	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection that there been no independent analysis of the villages identified in the draft DPD in respect of understanding their individual development needs over the lifetime of the Plan & specified allocations should not be an upper limit on development.
2043.V1	F & C REIT Asset	Jones Lang LaSalle	O	16	4. Villages and other	19		Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate sufficient development sites in rural villages and specifically to allocate sufficient growth at Clyst St Mary.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Management Plc c/o Friends Provident	(Kevin Hunt): Mr Kevin Hunt			locations			
266.V1	Farringdon Parish Council		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection to omission of Farringdon under Development at Smaller Villages and Hamlets of East Devon where there is a desire for small clusters of residential development.
7046.V4	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection to the use of retrospective allocations in respect of matching plan allocation numbers against sites that already have secured a planning permission.
7046.V5	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection that the plan does not contain any mechanism for bringing forward smaller sites on the edge of the larger settlements which may be required if delivery on larger strategic allocations does not come forward
7046.V7	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection that sustainability assessment, compounded by housing numbers being too low, has resulted in failure to direct appropriate levels of development to relevant villages.
3215.V1	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection that the Local Plan has not allocated sufficient residential land to meet identified need for housing and that an arbitrary 5% increase target is not planning.
6338.V2	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director	O	16	1. Setting the Context	19		Objection that there appears to be no independent analysis of the villages identified in the draft DPD in respect of understanding their needs for lifespan of the plan.
7029.V1	Palfrey, Mr Kevin		O	16	1. Setting the Context	19	C087 C087 - Land south of Old Post Office, Fairmile	Objection that Site C087 at Fairmile has not been included in the Draft Villages Plan and felt it should be, as a small number of new houses in hamlets is desirable compared to large scale mass housing developments.
3250.V8	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objection the sustainability assessment produced by the Council is flawed and it does not take into account the function of and proximity of larger towns and the facilities they offer and therefore inform the spatial distribution strategy.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
6751.V6	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL - Agents)	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19		Objector considers housing target figures are too low and there are discrepancies within the emerging plan as to the exact level of growth planned within villages. Sustainability work fails to recognise the relationships of villages to main centres.
7138.V2	Stuart Partners Ltd	Bell Cornwell LLP (G Bell, Hook): Mr Graham Bell Partner	O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19	014 014 - Hill Barton Business Park, Clyst St Mary	Objection that at para 4.4 the plan should make it clear that the boundary for Hill Barton shows the area within which employment policies will apply and under plan policy the business park will be allowed to expand.
6828.V1	Turner, Mr and Mrs A C		O	16	4. Villages and other locations	19	E325 E325 - Land Fronting Dalwood Lae adj Tinkers Hatch	Confirmation that Site E325 is still available should requirements change in the future. Assumed to be objection to failure of plan to identify site for development.
8.V5	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	17	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	20		The table at 4.2 should be checked for accuracy. For instance, it suggests 35 dwellings for West Hill. Policy 42 lists 39 applications which have already been submitted.
7138.V3	Stuart Partners Ltd	Bell Cornwell LLP (G Bell, Hook): Mr Graham Bell Partner	O	17	4. Villages and other locations	20		Object to the omission of a settlement boundary to West Clyst/Old Park.
40.V1	Axmouth Parish Council		O	18	9. Axmouth	21		Agree to Axmouth being a key village that should have a BUAB but wishes omission of reference to allocation of land to accommodate residential development.
40.V2	Axmouth Parish Council		S	18	9. Axmouth	21		Axmouth Parish Council support for the definitions of the 'three primary functions' of a BUAB and would wish those definitions to be rigorously defended.
7040.V5	Devon Senior Voice		S	18	5. BUABs	21		DSV consider this explanatory paragraph of great importance because it provides local communities with the opportunities to expand the size of their communities if and when the need arises by the production of a Neighbourhood Plan.
2007.V3	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	18	5. BUABs	21		Objection that the 2nd bullet point should refer specifically to preventing 'unregulated development outside the limits' and that greater clarity is required in respect of establishing principles of sustainable devt within limits.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
28.V6	East Devon Group CPRE		S	18	2. Introduction	21		CPRE supports the policy for BUABs as an essential tool to determine areas and locations that are appropriate, suitable and acceptable for development, and to define clearly the open countryside where development is resisted.
16.V1	Gaywood, Mr Neville Clive		O	18	5. BUABs	21	C015 C015 - Knapp Cottage, West Hill	Objection to the failure of the plan to include Site C015 in the Built-up Area Boundary of West Hill.
6338.V3	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director	O	18	1. Setting the Context	21		Objection that the role of Built-up Area Boundaries is unclear and should be that "They prevent unregulated development outside the limits of settlements as defined by the BUB's and into open countryside
3654.V2	Taylor Wimpey Exeter Ltd	Origin3: Chris Cox Senior Planner	O	18	5. BUABs	21	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Wish to see proposed allocations identified within the DPD to be incorporated within the BUAB and plan text should be amended to clarify this point.
6737.V3	Devon County Council		O	19	5. BUABs	21		Would like to see types of development that would be acceptable, outlined here, outside of these boundaries in accordance with the emerging Local Plan. Concern about development being accepted outside of the BUAB's through Neighbourhood Plan process.
562.V1	Brown, Ms Miriam		O	20	6. Settlement Allocations	23		My comment is that in every situation and case, the Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty should always be kept intact and never developed or built on. They are designated as AONB precisely to protect them from this kind of development.
7040.V6	Devon Senior Voice		O	20	6. Settlement Allocations	23		Settlement Allocations: Suggest that third sentence of para 6.3 needs clarification. "It is considered that sites... For residential development".
2007.V5	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	20	2. Introduction	23		Objection to inclusion of SHLAA sites on inset maps they have no status in the context of an adopted DPD and their inclusion could, in fact, prejudice the determination of applications.
28.V7	East Devon Group CPRE		O	20	6. Settlement Allocations	23		Where a Village Design Statement or Parish Plan exists it would be appropriate to refer to it in plan. CPRE supports the "one page overview". Where a Village Design Statement or Parish Plan exists, it would be appropriate to make formal reference to them.
6338.V5	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E	O	20	1. Setting the Context	23		In respect of the Inset Maps for each settlement we see little merit in identifying SHLAA sites. They have no status in the context of an adopted

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
		Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director						DPD.
8.V6	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	20	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	23		The text of paragraph 6.3 is dangerously ambiguous and needs to be redrafted. As drafted, the text suggests that all SHLAA sites are suitable for development as they have all been assessed
164.V2	Sport England South West		O	20	6. Settlement Allocations	23		Sport England objects to any proposed allocation that adversely impacts an existing or proposed sports facility including land last used as playing fields.
7040.V7	Devon Senior Voice		O	21	6. Settlement Allocations	23		Settlement Allocations: Suggest that a reminder is made to meaning of BUAB and SHLAA on each map.
8.V9	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	22	7. Alfington	25		Detailed site specific comments have been provided on Sustainability Appraisal of Alfington sites - these are not summarised in the database and the original submission of Ottery St Mary Town Council should be viewed.
124.V1	South West Water		O	22	7. Alfington	25		Alfington has no public drainage and therefore any development will need to provide their own sewage treatment facilities.
3743.V3	Natural England		S	23	7. Alfington	25	C014 C014 - Devon Mushroom Farm	Support for site C014 relates well to the existing village of Alfington and is currently occupied by derelict farm buildings. We therefore do not consider that this scale of development would impact on the special qualities of the AONB.
1002.V1	Wright, Cllr Claire		O	24	7. Alfington	27	C014 C014 - Devon Mushroom Farm	Supports selected site C014 but objects to sustainability appraisal as information is incorrect.
7004.V1	Alfington Stores and Service Station		O	25	7. Alfington	27		Alfington: Concerned that Sustainability Appraisal Report 2013 Appendix1 for Alfington, appended to villages' dpd document, contains numerous inaccuracies/errors giving the reader a misleading impression of the nature & character of the sites involved
7016.V1	Davies Holdings (Somerton) Ltd	WYG (Alex Bullock) Wellington:	O	26	8. Awliscombe	29	C004 C004 - Hillcrest, Awliscombe	Objection to allocation of site C004, suggest site C095 land at Marles as an alternative which performs better as a development option.
1732.V1	Fortescue, S E D		O	26	8. Awliscombe	29		Objection to maps being so faint and having no road names. Main objection is to creation of football pitch, car parking and new housing in Awliscombe.
164.V1	Sport England South West		C	26	8. Awliscombe	29		Awliscombe (page 29) Sport England notes the aspiration for a new playing field.
7016.V2	Davies Holdings (Somerton) Ltd	WYG (Alex Bullock) Wellington:	O	27	8. Awliscombe	29	C095 C095 - Land adj Marles Close, Awliscombe	Davies Holdings objects to the proposed allocation at Awliscombe as the suggested site is not in accordance with Paragraph 182 of the NPPF (neither justified nor consistent) and land at Marles Close Site C095 should instead be allocated

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
3743.V4	Natural England		S	27	8. Awliscombe	29	C004 C004 - Hillcrest, Awliscombe	We consider site C004 to be the most appropriate in this location when considered against the alternatives discussed in the DPD.
7030.V1	Toms, Mr & Mrs	Jillings Hutton Planning (N Jillings Exeter): Mr Neal Jillings	O	27	8. Awliscombe	29	C401 The Oaks, Weston Lane, Awliscombe	Site C401 should form part of the solution to deliver the 20 dwellings in conjunction with at least one other site in the village.
7023.V1	Bindon Manor Estate	RPS Group (Chris Simkins) Abingdon: Christopher Simkins Director Planning and Strategy	O	30	9. Axmouth	33	E319 E319 - Axmouth Campsite, Rear of Church St	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site E319 for devt. It has community support and performs well in sustainability terms and AONB landscape objection cannot be sustained. Do not wish to allocate through a Neighbourhood Plan.
6737.V11	Devon County Council		O	30	9. Axmouth	33		There are only 3-4 bus journeys per day to Seaton, so any significant development may require an increased provision.
40.V3	Axmouth Parish Council		O	31	9. Axmouth	34		Agree with conclusion reached but believe this section should state clearly that for landscape and environmental considerations there are no sites suitable for housing development in the Parish.
7040.V8	Devon Senior Voice		S	31	9. Axmouth	34		Axmouth: DSV supports the Planning Authority's initiative in suggesting that the local communities of Axmouth, Beer and Colyford may wish to allocate housing land through the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan.
3743.V5	Natural England		S	31	9. Axmouth	34		Support for the assessment undertaken and consequent policy decision that there are no suitable sites at the current time for residential allocation in Axmouth
232.V1	Aylesbeare Parish Council		O	33	10. Aylesbeare	37		Para. 10.4 - delete reference to tennis courts as there are none with public access.
232.V2	Aylesbeare Parish Council		O	33	10. Aylesbeare	37		There is another 'recreation area' shown on the map on part of the Bendarroch SHLAA site W338. This should be removed as it is now privately owned.
232.V3	Aylesbeare Parish Council		O	33	10. Aylesbeare	37		Paragraph 10.4 final sentence referring to the Aylesbeare Inn - change to 'The village also has a public house which is currently closed. There are community aspirations to see this re-open.'
6737.V15	Devon County Council		O	33	10. Aylesbeare	37		Aylesbeare has one bus to Exeter and two return journeys, so again any significant development may require an increased provision.
1002.V4	Wright, Cllr		O	33	10.	37	W087 W087	Support for site W087 but objects to any other development over and above

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Claire				Aylesbeare		- Rr Aylesbeare Inn, Withen Lane, Aylesbeare	this allocation and also supports Aylesbeare PC's comments re. inaccuracies within EDDC's submission.
3743.V6	Natural England		S	34	10. Aylesbeare	37		Concur with assessment in the DPD that the development of 5 houses would have a negligible effect on these designations.
7040.V9	Devon Senior Voice		S	38	11. Beer	42		Beer: DSV strongly support policy 5 which explains benefits derived at Beer from unusually wide range of services, tourist and community facilities and the need to ensure that the village centre is retained and where appropriate improved.
6944.V1	Green, Mr Mike		O	38	11. Beer	42		Objection as would like to see flint included in plan text in its own right not just as part of flint rubble - you have included chert separately, which is good, but both deserve a mention.
6944.V2	Green, Mr Mike		O	38	11. Beer	42	E320 E320 - land Off Mare Lane, Beer	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E320 for residential development. The site has local support, is the best option for the village and close to roads leading out of Beer so will not place extra traffic pressure on the village centre.
6944.V3	Green, Mr Mike		O	38	11. Beer	42		Objection to Policy 5 as it would stifle development and change in the village. The viability of Beer is reflected in the fact that changes has been allowed in the past and should continue. The absence of charity and empty shops indicates viability.
3743.V7	Natural England		S	39	11. Beer	43		Support given for the plan in not allocating further additional housing in Beer noting that devt could result in adverse impacts including at Beer Caves SAC and that insufficient evidence exists at present of impacts from devt that could arise
77.V1	Beer Parish Council		O	40	11. Beer	45	E320 E320 - land Off Mare Lane, Beer	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site E320 for residential development as it remains the preferred site of Beer Parish Council.
6916.V3	Clinton Devon Estates (I John Bell Cornwell)	Bell Cornwell LLP (I John, Exeter): Mr I John Associate	O	40	11. Beer	45	E320 E320 - land Off Mare Lane, Beer	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E320 for residential development. Beer is seen as appropriate and the site acceptably to accommodate devt. Landscape evidence submitted with the representation shows ability for a scheme to proceed.
6737.V16	Devon County Council		O	40	37. Payhembury	45		Payhembury – there is no way within the present schedules that additional calls at Feniton can be incorporated in Exeter – Waterloo trains, as all the spare time has been used to introduce the Cranbrook call.
396.V2	Brampford Speke Parish		S	42	12. Brampford Speke	47		Support for bringing forward an exception site acceptable to the village for small affordable housing led development.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Council							
396.V3	Brampford Speke Parish Council		O	42	12. Brampford Speke	47		Express wish for EDDC to retain their VDS in full and not scale down to two sides of A4 as suggested.
396.V1	Brampford Speke Parish Council		S	43	12. Brampford Speke	47		Support proposal not to allocate any specific sites in village for housing development.
3743.V8	Natural England		C	43	12. Brampford Speke	47		Confirmation that no sites have been allocated at present.
6965.V1	Birmingham, Mr Alan		O	44	12. Brampford Speke	49		Objects to new devt in Brampford Speke impacts on existing issues re traffic, school, sewerage/drainage + existing infrastructure. Public transport is very limited, there is no public tennis court and local employment opportunities are severely limited.
82.V1	Branscombe Parish Council		O	46	11. Beer	51		Objection as numbering of two alternative sites do not match description or comments made by Highways and Archaeologist on page 55 and incorrect reference to sites on page 56.
3743.V9	Natural England		O	46	13. Branscombe	51	E327 E327 - Berry Hill, Branscombe	Objection to housing allocation in Branscombe which could have adverse environmental impacts and do not consider that the additional housing as proposed in Branscombe is exceptional nor in the public interest.
3263.V1	Brimson, Messrs K & N	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster): Andrew Wilkinson	O	47	13. Branscombe	52	E035 E035 - Cottmead, Branscombe	Objection to the failure of Policy 8 to allocate site E035 Land to the west of Cott Mead to accommodate 8 - 12 new dwellings (allocation could replace E327 Land behind the Fountain Head).
3263.V2	Brimson, Messrs K & N	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster): Andrew Wilkinson	O	47	13. Branscombe	52	E083 E083 - Land at Street, Branscombe	Objection to the failure of Policy 8 to allocate site E083 Land to the west of Cott Mead to accommodate 8 - 12 new dwellings (allocation could replace E327 Land behind the Fountain Head).
7112.V3	Crowe, Mr Ian		O	47	13. Branscombe	52	E327 E327 - Berry Hill, Branscombe	Objects to allocation of Fountain Head site because of the existing problems in this area with surface water run-off causing flooding of properties below the site. Development here will increase the risk of flooding.
3712.V1	Environment Agency		O	47	13. Branscombe	52	E327 E327 - Berry Hill, Branscombe	Whilst the EA are generally satisfied with village sites proposed for development objection is raised to E327 in Branscombe which is liable to flood.
7108.V1	Bulstone Springs Farm		O	48	13. Branscombe	54	E333 E333 - Gays Farm,	Objection to the plan not allocating site E333 for development. This site is close to with better pedestrian access to all the current amenities (shop,

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Branscombe	school, village hall) than others options and could provide affordable homes and live-work provision
6952.V1	Johnson, Mr Anthony		O	48	13. Branscombe	54	E035 E035 - Cottmead, Branscombe	The comments on sites E035 and E333 seem to have become juxtaposed if you go back and check the Landscape Assessment (BRN002) for these two sites specifically in the Feedback from other bodies box and the Proposed Allocation justification box.
7113.V1	Lonnberg, Ms Jenny		O	48	13. Branscombe	54	E333 E333 - Gays Farm, Branscombe	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E333 for development in Branscombe. It is by far the most suitable site for new affordable housing in Branscombe and it is close to facilities.
7112.V1	Crowe, Mr Ian		O	49	13. Branscombe	56	E333 E333 - Gays Farm, Branscombe	I think the proposed allocation justification has two sites mixed up. E333 would not require road widening or hedgerow removal as there is sufficient room to create a feeder road accessed from either end behind the hedge.
7112.V2	Crowe, Mr Ian		O	49	13. Branscombe	56	E327 E327 - Berry Hill, Branscombe	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site E333 for development in Branscombe. It is closer to facilities than E337. E333 is 0.6 Kilometres from the village hall and 0.8 kilometres from the school and shop.
178.V1	Lewis, Mr E		O	50	14. Broadclyst	57	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Request for the BUAB to be extended to include SHLAA Site W060 (Heathfield Site) this would be the logical progression of the village, and offers excellent access, and a sensible sized plot for suitable development. Broadclyst.
3654.V3	Taylor Wimpey Exeter Ltd	Origin3: Chris Cox Senior Planner	O	50	14. Broadclyst	57	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Objection to the failure of the plan to incorporate site W060 into the BUAB for Broadclyst.
421.V2	Lateral Design Projects Ltd		O	51	14. Broadclyst	57		In objecting to site W060 the view is expressed that additional development is not needed but if is required then alternative locations should be looked at which could include at Broadclyst Station.
3743.V10	Natural England		C	51	14. Broadclyst	57	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Policy 7 - No comment.
521.V1	National Trust - Devon and Cornwall Regional Office		O	52	14. Broadclyst	59	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Objection to the failure of the plan to take into account Killerton setting study in respect of site assessment work for Broadclyst and subsequent allocation of land for residential development.
3654.V4	Taylor Wimpey	Origin3: Chris	O	52	14. Broadclyst	59	W060 W060	Proposed allocation of Site W060 is supported but additional work could be

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Exeter Ltd	Cox Senior Planner					- Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	undertaken to inform site capacity and therefore determine scale of allocation.
3654.V5	Taylor Wimpey Exeter Ltd	Origin3: Chris Cox Senior Planner	O	52	14. Broadclyst	59	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Suggests rewording as it appears to be limiting the development of this site, also would like to see the reference to 18 dwellings being developed on this site removed, subject to for further assessment work.
421.V1	Lateral Design Projects Ltd		O	53	14. Broadclyst	60	W060 W060 - Heathfield Site, Dog Village, Broadclyst	Objection to the unnecessary expansion of Broadclyst to accommodate 18 additional dwellings, the 18 additional dwellings can be within the current built up boundary as Brownfield / infill development.
6957.V1	Broadhembury Parish Council		O	55	15. Broadhembury	62	C319 C319 - Land opp Village Hall, Broadhembury	Support principle of development of site C310. However consider that 10-15 houses should be built on preferred site subject to qualifications about design & development of affordable housing for local people.
6737.V4	Devon County Council		O	55	15. Broadhembury	62	C319 C319 - Land opp Village Hall, Broadhembury	Concern that site C319 is identified for development despite concerns raised by County Council.
6973.V1	JHB Grundy Will Trust		O	55	15. Broadhembury	62	C319 C319 - Land opp Village Hall, Broadhembury	Objection that the plan shows an area that should be excluded from C319 and that the proposed allocation should provide for more than 5 houses and should cover all of C319 as supported by the Parish Council.
7009.V1	Jones, Miss Rebeccah		O	55	15. Broadhembury	62	C319 C319 - Land opp Village Hall, Broadhembury	Objection to site C319 due to parking problems, danger to pedestrians and urbanising main access to the village.
3743.V11	Natural England		S	55	15. Broadhembury	62	C319 C319 - Land opp Village Hall, Broadhembury	The site preferred by the Council is C319 which falls just beyond the AONB boundary and we are satisfied that the Landscape Appraisal has fully considered the impact of this site.
6966.V1	Chardstock Parish Council		O	58	16. Chardstock	67		Chardstock: Suggested amendments to text in paras 16.1, 16.5 and 16.7 in respect of distance to Axminster, presence of pub and to refer to recent residential planning permissions granted.
6737.V12	Devon County Council		O	58	16. Chardstock	67		Chardstock has a Fare Car to Axminster on Tuesday and Thursday and to Chard on Wednesday and Friday, providing on return journey. Any significant development may require an increase in this provision.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7104.V2	Strawbridge, Messrs P A & P T	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	58	16. Chardstock	67	7104 V2 Land at Ivy Green Farm, Chardstock	Objection to level of housing growth for Chardstock which is considered to be too low and which will fails to take account of future housing needs for the village. Land at Ivy Green Farm to accommodate up to 6 new dwellings.
6966.V2	Chardstock Parish Council		O	59	16. Chardstock	69		Objection that text should read 'Planning permission was granted in 2012 for 2 small housing schemes with a total of 10 dwellings. This has fulfilled the number of new homes allocated to Chardstock under Strategy 27 of the New Local Plan.'
3099.V7	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	59	16. Chardstock	69	E121 E121 - Chardstock Glebe, Opp. the Old Vicarage	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E121 for residential development. It is adj to the BUAB, is not recreation ground, is not in a flood zone and connects Tytherleigh to the village centre.
3743.V12	Natural England		C	59	16. Chardstock	69		Acknowledgement that Chardstock falls within the Blackdown Hills AONB and already planning permission has been granted for two sites within the BUAB.
7104.V1	Strawbridge, Messrs P A & P T	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	59	16. Chardstock	69	7104 V1 Land southeast of Green Lane, Chardstock	Objection to level of housing growth for Chardstock which is considered to be too low and which will fails to take account of future housing needs for the village. Land south east of Green Lane should be allocated for residential devt for up to 10 dwgs.
6966.V3	Chardstock Parish Council		O	60	16. Chardstock	69		Objection to that additional text should be added to read - Under the section "Recent Planning History" Amend third sentence to read - Both schemes are now complete and, as at February 2014, 50% of the homes are occupied.
7125.V1	Clyst Hydon Parish Council		O	62	17. Clyst Hydon	71		Objection to the summary description of Clyst Hydon not recognising the strong community and facilities in the village including the school, importance of the pub and cricket club and swimming pool as well as diverse professional population.
3194.V1	Landowner at Clyst Hydon	Bell Cornwell LLP (J Terry, Hook): Jane Terry Principal Planner	O	62	17. Clyst Hydon	71	W166 W166 - Land adjacent Park Close, Clyst Hydon	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site W166 for residential devt. Would like land adjacent to Park Close, Clyst Hydon to be included within the list of sites for residential development (SHLAA Site W166 (part)).
124.V3	South West Water		O	62	17. Clyst Hydon	71	W166 W166 - Land adjacent Park Close, Clyst Hydon	The only site at Clyst Hydon with access to public drainage facilities is W166.
7125.V2	Clyst Hydon Parish Council		O	63	17. Clyst Hydon	73	W064 W064 - land east of	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site W064 for development of three homes noting that sympathetic devt can enhance the environment.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Chelveshayes, Clyst Hydon	
7125.V3	Clyst Hydon Parish Council		O	63	17. Clyst Hydon	73	W064 W064 - land east of Chelveshayes, Clyst Hydon	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site X002 for development of two single story homes that will round off devt started some time ago and which will include environmental enhancements such as tree planting.
3743.V13	Natural England		C	63	17. Clyst Hydon	73		No sites identified for development.
7116.V1	Stewart, Mr Iain		O	63	17. Clyst Hydon	73	X002 X002 Tenament Farm, Clyst Hydon	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site X002 at Town Tenement Farm for development of 2 dwellings in Clyst Hydon.
7087.V1	Balding, Ms Angela		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses is appropriate.
7088.V1	Blunt, Ms Suzy		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Strongly object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George, built up area. Maximum development should be 5. Assumed that representation is objecting to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7088.V2	Blunt, Ms Suzy		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Strongly object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George, built up area. Maximum development should be 5. Assumed that representation is objecting to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7089.V1	Carr, Mr Robin		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75		Objection to 25 houses proposed at Clyst St George and suggests 5 would be more appropriate.
7089.V3	Carr, Mr Robin		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Implied objection to allocation of Site W037 as part of overall objection to proposed scale of development at Clyst St George.
7091.V1	Creed, Mr Iain		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W037 which is referred to in representation.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7090.V1	Dean, Mrs Pamela		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Object to proposed development of 25 new homes within the Clyst St George built-up area when only maximum no. 5 new houses would be appropriate. Implied objection to Site W037 which is referred to in objection.
7081.V1	Donnelly, Mr Peter		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7092.V1	Edmondson-Bond, Mrs Claire		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W037 which is referred to in representation.
7085.V1	Geoghegan, Mrs Maxine		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7086.V1	Holmes, Dr Michelle		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: We object to the allocation of 25 new houses in the village of Clyst St George, 5 would be far more in keeping with a small village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7027.V2	Jones, Mr Michael		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Implied from representation that objection is raised to all or part of Site W037 being allocated for development. Sites W037 and W328 are referred to in representation but only the former is proposed as an allocation.
7043.V1	Miller, Mrs Jemima		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: Object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate.
7094.V1	Parson, Mr Timothy		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W037 which is referred to in representation.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7028.V1	Piper, Mr Samuel		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75		Objection to the scale housing 25 dwellings proposed for Clyst St George with this being seen as far to high with traffic impacts, safety of children, loss of character all being key concerns.
7041.V1	Quinn, Mrs Angela		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Understand 2 proposed sites within boundary of Clyst St George (W037 and W328). I am not opposed to outright development but believe that development should be restricted to one site W037.
7079.V1	Richmond, Mrs Jane		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7082.V1	Sanders, Mr John		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7080.V1	Welch, Mrs Caroline		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7084.V1	Wescott, Mr Edward		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7078.V2	West, Miss Alison		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in the Clyst St George built up area and believe 5 houses are appropriate for the village and its size. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7083.V1	Williamson, Mr Andrew		O	66	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to.
7097.V1	Armitage, Mr Simon		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj	Objection to proposal for 25 houses in Clyst St George which would cause traffic problems and there is not the infrastructure at the village. Development

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	should be restricted to 5 new dwellings and be at Site W037.
7087.V2	Balding, Ms Angela		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7102.V1	Bartlett, Mr Peter		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses. Suggests 5 houses would be more appropriate.
7089.V2	Carr, Mr Robin		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Implied objection to allocation of Site W328 as part of overall objection to proposed scale of development at Clyst St George.
7067.V1	Clist, Mr Reginald		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: Object to development at Addlepool Farm due to access to site, drainage, dangerous for school.
7072.V1	Clist, Mrs Beryl Joyce		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: Objecting to scheme at Addlepool Farm, Clyst St George, site W307 on grounds of poor access, pedestrian safety, inadequate sewerage capacity and concern over drainage.
530.V1	Clyst St. George Parish Council		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75		The Parish Council and residents wish to change the housing allocation number from 25 to 5.
7103.V1	Cragg, Mr Richard		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses at Clyst St George. Suggests 5 would be appropriate given constraints of the village. Objection implied to allocation of site W037.
7091.V2	Creed, Mr Iain		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W328 which is referred to in representation.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							George	
7081.V2	Donnelly, Mr Peter		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7101.V1	Edmondson, Mr David		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to 25 new properties in an area that presently comprises 38 properties, a 66% increase, is too big for the village to adapt to in a short time. Site W037 as an allocation is objected to.
7092.V2	Edmondson-Bond, Mrs Claire		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W328 which is referred to in representation.
7085.V2	Geoghegan, Mrs Maxine		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7086.V2	Holmes, Dr Michelle		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75		Clyst St George: We object to the allocation of 25 new houses in the village of Clyst St George, 5 would be far more in keeping with a small village.
7027.V1	Jones, Mr Michael		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75		Objection to the large allocation of 20 houses at Clyst St George with 5 Dwellings being an acceptable allocation and in line with policy for other villages. The village has no identifiable centre and there would be adverse traffic impacts
7043.V2	Miller, Mrs Jemima		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: Object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate.
3743.V14	Natural England		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	The village is in close proximity (less than 1km) to the Exe Estuary SPA, Ramsar and SSSI therefore the proposed development would need to meet the requirements of the Habitats Regulations
7094.V2	Parson, Mr Timothy		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury	Objection to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses are appropriate. Assumed objection to allocation of Site W328 which is referred to in representation.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Road, Clyst St George	
7099.V1	Perry, Mr William Robert		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objects strongly to suggested allocation of 25 houses. 5 houses is the appropriate number. Implied objection to Site W037 which is referred to in representation.
7093.V1	Piper, Mr Julian		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to proposed development at Clyst St George which is seen as too large and will adversely impact on village. Objection to allocation of Site W037 which is referred to in representation.
7093.V2	Piper, Mr Julian		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objection to proposed development at Clyst St George which is seen as too large and will adversely impact on village. Objection to allocation of Site W328 which is referred to in representation.
7028.V2	Piper, Mr Samuel		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of Site W037 for residential development. Flooding and access are key concerns in respect of this site and development elsewhere is very poor quality.
7077.V1	Piper, Mrs Catherine		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: Opposing the overdevelopment of 25 houses in Clyst St George. If absolutely necessary 2-3 houses or so could be appropriate. If it has to be 5, then 5.
7041.V2	Quinn, Mrs Angela		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Understand 2 proposed sites within boundary of Clyst St George (W037 and W328). I am not opposed to outright development but believe that development should be restricted to one site W037.
7100.V1	Rees, Mrs Jenny		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses within the Clyst St George with concerns about highway impacts and traffic safety, 5 houses is appropriate. As Site W037 is referred it is assumed this site is objected to.
7079.V2	Richmond, Mrs Jane		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George built up area. 5 houses is appropriate. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Road, Clyst St George	
7082.V2	Sanders, Mr John		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7098.V1	Walker, Mr Briton		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Objection to so many houses proposed. Would like to see restriction on building to 5 houses, mixed high and low cost.
7080.V2	Welch, Mrs Caroline		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7084.V2	Wescott, Mr Edward		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7078.V1	West, Miss Alison		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W037 W037 - Land adj Addlepool Bus. Pk, Clyst St George	Clyst St George: I object to the allocation of 25 houses in the Clyst St George built up area and believe 5 (five) houses is appropriate for the village and its size. Representation assumed to be objection to site W037 which is explicitly referred to
7083.V2	Williamson, Mr Andrew		O	67	18. Clyst St George	75	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objects to allocation of 25 houses in Clyst St George. I consider there should be no more than 5 houses in order to retain the character of the village. Representation assumed to be objection to site W328 which is explicitly referred to.
7102.V2	Bartlett, Mr Peter		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Summary of Site Evaluation for Clyst St George
7103.V2	Cragg, Mr Richard		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury	Objection to allocation of 25 houses at Clyst St George. Suggests 5 would be appropriate given constraints of the village. Objection implied to allocation of site W328.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Road, Clyst St George	
7090.V2	Dean, Mrs Pamela		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Object to proposed development of 25 new homes within the Clyst St George built-up area when only maximum no. 5 new houses would be appropriate. Implied objection presumed to be to Site W328 which is referred to in objection.
7101.V2	Edmondson, Mr David		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	25 new properties in an area that presently comprises 38 is a 66% increase. This is too big for the village to adapt. Site W328 as an allocation is objected to.
7099.V2	Perry, Mr William Robert		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objection to suggested allocation of 25 houses. 5 is the appropriate number suggested. Objects strongly to suggested allocation of 25 houses. 5 houses is the appropriate number. Implied objection to Site W328 which is referred to in representation.
7100.V2	Rees, Mrs Jenny		O	68	18. Clyst St George	77	W328 W328 - Land at Woodbury Road, Clyst St George	Objection to allocation of 25 houses within the Clyst St George with concerns about highway impacts and traffic safety, 5 houses is appropriate. As Site W037 is referred it is assumed this site is objected to.
635.V1	Bishops Clyst Parish Council		O	70	19. Clyst St Mary	79	W344 W344 - Winslade Park, Clyst St Mary	Objection to inclusion of the area incorporating the sports facilities within SHLAA site W344 as it contains important and historic sports and leisure facilities (this opposition is taken as imply support that the plan does not allocate land for devt).
635.V2	Bishops Clyst Parish Council		O	70	19. Clyst St Mary	79	X003 Land at Clyst St Mary	The Parish Council advise they strongly agree with the DPD's 'proposed allocation justification'. This is taken to imply they support allocation of Site X003.
635.V3	Bishops Clyst Parish Council		O	70	19. Clyst St Mary	79	W309 W309 - Land north of Sidmouth Road, Clyst St Mary	The Parish Council advise they strongly agree with the DPD's 'proposed allocation justification'. This is taken to imply they support allocation of Site W309.
2043.V2	F & C REIT Asset Management Plc c/o Friends Provident	Jones Lang LaSalle (Kevin Hunt): Mr Kevin Hunt	O	70	19. Clyst St Mary	79	W344 W344 - Winslade Park, Clyst St Mary	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site W344 at Clyst St Mary for mixed use redevelopment to include residential, commercial, residential care and health/medical/education uses.
3743.V15	Natural England		S	71	19. Clyst St	79		No further allocations required.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
					Mary			
7111.V1	Quarry Trust		O	72	19. Clyst St Mary	81	7111 V1 - Land bet. Winslade Pk-Football Grd, Clyst St Mary	Objection to the plan past rep (6316) regarding our site and don't understand why it appears to have been overlooked. We reemphasize that it available for development. We are aware of the recent activity regarding the adjoining Friends Life site.
404.V1	Colaton Raleigh Parish Council		S	74	20. Colaton Raleigh	83		Colaton Raleigh PC considered the Draft Villages Development Plan Document last night. Council was happy that the report contains a faithful reflection of its original response.
6916.V2	Clinton Devon Estates (I John Bell Cornwell)	Bell Cornwell LLP (I John, Exeter): Mr I John Associate	O	75	20. Colaton Raleigh	83	C302 C302 - Hardy's Paddock, Colaton Raleigh	Support the principle for allocation of site C302 for residential development in Colaton Raleigh but objects to the summary of site evaluation as it is regarded site is well located and close to facilities..
3743.V16	Natural England		S	75	20. Colaton Raleigh	83	C302 C302 - Hardy's Paddock, Colaton Raleigh	Support for site C302 subject to appropriate scale, site and design to be compatible with landscape assessment.
6739.V1	GBA		O	78	21. Colyford	87	Axe Estuary & Marshes - Land in Colyford shown as County Wildlife Site	The accompanying plan of the village of Colyford shows the County Wildlife Site. The boundary of the Site is shown with a red-line. The line is incorrectly drawn as it incorporates the driveways of the first 3 houses in Popes Lane.
3215.V2	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	79	21. Colyford	87	E210 E210 - Land at Colyford bet B3161 and Stafford Lne	Objects to no land being allocated in Colyford for employment or residential development. Site E210 (along with E004) are promoted for mixed use development to include housing and a new access to the Grammar School.
3215.V4	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	79	21. Colyford	87	E004 E004 - Coly Road, Colyton	Objects to no land being allocated in Colyford for employment or residential development. Site E004 (along with E210) are promoted for mixed use development to include housing and a new access to the Grammar School.
6967.V2	Mayo, Mr Malcolm		O	79	21. Colyford	87	E004 E004 - Coly Road, Colyton	Objects to no sites for residential allocation in Colyford? Therefore no growth. Shortfall in housing supply over next 5 years. Colyford is well placed in terms of services, infrastructure, facilities and developable land for a 10% plus allocation
3743.V17	Natural England		C	79	21. Colyford	87		Acknowledgement that no sites have been identified for development within this village.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
3150.V1	Spiers, Mr P		S	79	22. Colyton	87	E087 E087 - Whitwell Lne, Colyford	Support is given to the fact that the plan does not allocate site E087 for residential devt. E087 is greenfield land, is prone to flooding and has poor road access.
6967.V1	Mayo, Mr Malcolm		O	80	21. Colyford	89	E004 E004 - Coly Road, Colyton	Objection that the plan does not allocate Site E004 for devt. Environmentally sympathetic development would minimise landscape and environmental harm. E004 meets all other criteria and has direct access.
7049.V1	CeramTec	Alder King Planning Consultants: Mrs Anna Clark	O	82	22. Colyton	91	7049 V1 Land north of Sidmouth Road, Colyton	Objection to the plan at Colyton seeking amendment to the built up area boundary to include the CeramTec site on Sidmouth Road and to include the site for residential/mixed use redevelopment.
7049.V2	CeramTec	Alder King Planning Consultants: Mrs Anna Clark	O	82	22. Colyton	91		Objection that the scale of housing growth proposed for Colyton is too low and should be increased.
6737.V5	Devon County Council		O	83	22. Colyton	91	E142 E142 - Land adj Peace Memorial Fields, Colyton	Concern that site E142 was put forward despite concerns being raised by County Council.
3215.V5	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	83	22. Colyton	91	E142 E142 - Land adj Peace Memorial Fields, Colyton	Objection to insufficient land being allocated in Colyton for residential development and that Site E142 should not be constrained to 10 dwellings but should provide for more housing but not a school as set out in text.
3215.V6	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	83	22. Colyton	91	E209 E209 - Land off Courtenay Dr, Colyton	Objection to not sufficient land been allocated in Colyton for residential development and proposes that Site E209 should be allocated for residential development footpath/cyclepath provision.
3215.V7	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	83	22. Colyton	91	E153 E153 - Land at Coly Vale, Colyton	Objection to not sufficient land been allocated in Colyton for residential development and proposes that Site EE153 should be allocated for residential development.
3215.V8	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	83	22. Colyton	91	E145 E145 - Land adj to Colcombe, Colyton	Objection to not sufficient land been allocated in Colyton for residential development. Site E145 should be allocated as a Reserve Site to come forward if infrastructure allows or there is not a 5 year land supply.
7024.V1	Morgan, Mrs		S	83	22. Colyton	91	E087 E087 -	Assumed support for the plan in not allocating site E087 for development as it

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Ann						Whitwell Lne, Colyton	is too far from the village centre, in the countryside, outside the development boundary and only accessible via very narrow roads. There are no footpaths/pavements.
3743.V18	Natural England		C	83	22. Colyton	91	E142 E142 - Land adj Peace Memorial Fields, Colyton	Noted that the allocation of site E142 faces the AONB boundary. Further landscape and visual impact assessment would be beneficial should the Council wish to consider this allocation further.
3280.V1	Pady, Mr G	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	83	22. Colyton	91	E153 E153 - Land at Coly Vale, Colyton	Objection that Site E153 should be allocated for housing in conjunction with part E209 from which access could be gained to achieve housing development commensurate with the existing form of the settlement.
3280.V2	Pady, Mr G	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	83	22. Colyton	91	E209 E209 - Land off Courtenay Dr, Colyton	Objection that Site E209, which should provide vehicular access, should be allocated in conjunction with E153 to provide for residential development.
3462.V1	Seward, Mr & Mrs G	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster): Mr Andrew Wilkinson	O	83	22. Colyton	91	E089 E089 - Dolphin Street, Colyton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E089 in Colyton for residential development. It is supported by the Parish Council and flooding concerns will be addressed.
3215.V3	James Carthy and Company Limited		O	86	22. Colyton	94		Objects to BUAB for Colyton not being drawn to reflect the built-up areas and needs amendment to incorporate a number of employment and residential areas and in redefining the area it would provide for infill development
7135.V1	Dunkeswell Parish Council		O	87	23. Dunkeswell	95		Objects to lack of infrastructure for the previous 800 houses as sewage works were only installed for 400 houses and despite additional work, this is still a problem.
7135.V3	Dunkeswell Parish Council		O	88	23. Dunkeswell	96	E304 E304 - Land at Louls Way, Dunkeswell	Implied objection to potential for over development at Dunkeswell with existing permissions for 25 homes on already permitted sites and capacity on E304 to take 21 which would provide for 46 homes.
7135.V4	Dunkeswell Parish Council		O	88	23. Dunkeswell	96		Objection It must be stated clearly in the plan that land south of High Dunscott should under no circumstance be developed and must remain agricultural land, as this area of land feeds the springs for private watercourses for the farm and other properties
7135.V5	Dunkeswell Parish Council		O	88	23. Dunkeswell	96	E207 E207 - Land of Louis Way,	Objection that it must also be emphasised in the plan that the area of land E207 should be kept as a site for a school.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Dunkeswell	
3743.V19	Natural England		C	88	23. Dunkeswell	96		The design of any development at this site will be crucial and should be informed by further landscape and visual impact assessment to ensure any harm is mitigated
7135.V2	Dunkeswell Parish Council		O	89	23. Dunkeswell	98	E304 E304 - Land at Louls Way, Dunkeswell	E304 has unimplemented planning permission for use as a play area under 06/0201/FUL' is an incorrect statement, this application related to a tiny area within the privately owned Blossom Hill caravan park and is not a public access site.
6947.V2	Mills, Maureen		O	89	23. Dunkeswell	98		Dunkeswell is already largely concreted over. Why cannot new-build be on the current ugly swathes of concrete? It would have the double effect of tidying up an eyesore and not building on green land.
7013.V1	Hardy, Mr John		O	90	23. Dunkeswell	98	E207 E207 - Land of Louis Way, Dunkeswell	Site E207 is a dog walking area and should not be developed. There is nowhere in the Highfield part of Dunkeswell for dog-walkers to go to exercise their pets safely, apart from this field.
532.V1	East Budleigh with Bicton Parish Council		O	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to allocation of site C059 for residential development on grounds of landscape impact, highways/pedestrian safety and lack of community support, suggests site C307 (known as Frank's Patch).
532.V2	East Budleigh with Bicton Parish Council		O	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C307 C307 - Land south of Carter's Yard, East Budleigh	Objection to failure of plan to allocate site C307 (also known as Frank's Patch) for residential development, instead of site C059,as C037 would have has lesser impacts, is in part Brownfield and has community support.
7133.V1	Moyle, Kathy		O	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to 15 extra houses at East Budleigh as only for the rich not the local people who cannot afford to stay in the area.
3743.V20	Natural England		C	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	The design of any development at this site will be crucial and should be informed by further landscape and visual impact assessment to ensure any harm is mitigated.
7118.V1	Sims, Mr Kenneth		O	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to allocation of site C059 in East Budleigh on grounds of agricultural land loss, highway impacts, lack of local support and environmental impacts.
7117.V1	Sims, Mrs Jane		O	92	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to allocation of site C059 in East Budleigh on grounds of agricultural land loss, highway impacts, lack of local support and environmental impacts.
6994.V1	Allen, Mrs Kathleen		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
7107.V1	Bowker, Mrs Dee		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House,	I totally disagree with site C059 being recommended as the preferred site. Site C307 is already the first preference of people living in the village. C059 means

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							East Budleigh	destruction of what is currently a Greenfield Site with stunning and historic views.
6989.V1	Bowler, Mr Peter		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding, dangerous exit, against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council, over development, better, safer, brownfield site available, no local call for housing, local school full, no local jobs, against NPPF para 111
6996.V1	Bowler, Mrs Marion		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
6916.V1	Clinton Devon Estates (I John Bell Cornwell)	Bell Cornwell LLP (I John, Exeter): Mr I John Associate	O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to the fact that all of, rather than part of, Site C059 is allocated for residential development to allow flexibility in development to meet local needs and secure environmental mitigation/improvements.
6998.V1	Cogger, Mrs Muriel		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
6997.V1	Cordy, Miss Jane		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
7034.V1	Foxall, Dr Peta		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to the allocation of site C059 which is Grade 1 agricultural land has dangerous road access, not supported by consultation responses, against the NPPF and is environmentally sensitive.
7034.V2	Foxall, Dr Peta		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C037 Land at Barton Orchard Tipton St John	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site C037 instead of C059. The latter has public support and is free of limitations of former.
6960.V1	Hadley, Ms Christine		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: I feel the proposed allocation of 15 buildings on site C059 is not appropriate due to its particular position in an AONB. The residents of East Budleigh did not choose this site when asked to give their views on a consultation process.
6960.V2	Hadley, Ms Christine		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C307 C307 - Land south of Carter's Yard, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site C307, rather than C059, for development.
6991.V1	Hayman, Mrs Patricia		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding, dangerous exit, against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council, against NPPF para 111, brownfield site available.
7060.V1	Howarth, Mr		O	93	24. East	101	C059 C059 -	Objection to Site C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	and Mrs Frank and Jane				Budleigh		Syon House, East Budleigh	exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
6995.V1	Hutchinson, Mrs Patricia		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
7063.V1	Leat, Mr Philip		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
6992.V1	Macve, Mr Andrew		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
761.V1	Otter Valley Association		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	The OVA object to the proposed allocation of site C059 on grounds of Grade 1 land, AONB and traffic impacts, flooding and lack of public support. Preference is expressed for site C307.
761.V2	Otter Valley Association		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	The OVA object to the failure of the plan to allocate site C037 (as opposed to C059). C307 had public support is a brownfield site and would have lower adverse impacts.
7014.V1	Pairman-Phillips, Mrs Polly		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Disagree with the recommendation of site C059 as the preferred site for development due to the availability of an alternative site (C307) preferred by villagers & the potential destruction of a greenfield site with beautiful views down to the Otter Valley.
7075.V1	Palmer, Mr Michael		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7076.V1	Palmer, Mrs Maria		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
6954.V1	Rainford, Mr Alan		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to allocation of Site C059 on account of increase in local flooding, dangerous exist, against local consultation & wishes of Parish Council, against NPPF para 111 - brownfield site available.
6954.V2	Rainford, Mr Alan		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C307 which has local support (rather than Site C059 which does not).
7073.V1	Tait, Mr Ian		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7074.V1	Tait, Mrs Marguerite		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
6993.V1	Tresidder, Mr John		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Object C059 - Increase local flooding - dangerous exit - against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council - against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 - brownfield site available.
7064.V1	Underwood, Mr Trevor		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 - Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
1733.V1	Ward, Dr Nicholas		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objects to the plan for development of the C059 site in East Budleigh as more appropriate site is available, development is opposed by the local community, flood risk could arise and the site is in the AONB.
1733.V2	Ward, Dr Nicholas		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C307 C307 - Land south of Carter's Yard, East Budleigh	Objects to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C307 in East Budleigh rather than the proposed allocation of site C059.
7066.V1	White, Mr John		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 at East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7062.V1	Whitmore, Mrs Jeanette		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
6990.V1	Wilson, Mr Barry		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	East Budleigh: Increase local flooding, dangerous exit, against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council, against NPPF para 111, brownfield site available.
7069.V1	Woodthorpe, Mr Norman		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7068.V1	Woodthorpe, Mrs Yvonne		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7061.V1	Wright, Mr and Mrs Peter and Margaret		O	93	24. East Budleigh	101	C059 C059 - Syon House, East Budleigh	Objection to Site C059 - East Budleigh: Increase local flooding – dangerous exist – against local consultation and wishes of Parish Council – against National Planning Policy Framework para 111 – brownfield site available.
7128.V1	, Robert Faircloth & Penny Wolujewicz		O	95	25. Ebford	103		Objection that level of development should not require significant changes to the infrastructure. Majority opinion favours development spread through the village and not on a single site.
7124.V1	Ebford Residents 2		O	95	25. Ebford	103		Objects to extent of development in Ebford as it would impose significant changes to the village infrastructure and result in adverse traffic impacts. Would prefer to see development spread out through the village.
7003.V1	Francis, Mr and		O	95	25. Ebford	103	W045 W045	Objection to proposed allocation of Site W045 in Ebford for six dwellings

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Mrs John and Sheila						- Rosario, Ebford	which is excessive and other sites could take some of the development needs.
138.V1	Heal, Mr Christopher		O	95	25. Ebford	103	W012 W012 -Barley Way, Ebford Lane, Ebford	Objection made to failure of the plan to allocate part of site W012 for 1 to 3 houses which would result in removal of existing building. Scheme is scaled down and more likely be supported by Highways as opposed to the previous submission site.
138.V2	Heal, Mr Christopher		O	95	25. Ebford	103	W012 W012 -Barley Way, Ebford Lane, Ebford	Objection made to failure of the plan to allocate part of site W012 for 1 to 3 houses which would result in removal of existing building. Photos supplied in original representation is support of submission.
3296.V1	Heritage Developments South West Ltd	Bell Cornwell LLP (J Terry, Hook): Jane Terry Principal Planner	O	95	25. Ebford	103	W049 W049 - Ebford Lane, Nr Topsham	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate the whole of Site W049 for residential development for up to 10 dwellings.
7037.V1	Neill, David and Elizabeth		O	95	25. Ebford	103		Objection to SA as it is considered to be economical with the facts not recognising significance of traffic issues and impacts as well as other constraints and limitations including flooding concerns. Any development should be small plot infills.
7018.V1	Rothwell, Martin and Kate		O	95	25. Ebford	103		Objection to the overall scale of development proposed for Ebford and the fact that the proposed development located in one place especially as it would require any significant changes to the infrastructure of the village and place pressure on roads.
3207.V1	Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Limited	Emery Planning Partnership (S Harris, Macclesfield):	O	95	25. Ebford	103	W018 W018 - Lower Lane, Ebford	Whilst supporting the allocation of W018 for 12 dwellings objection raised to the omission of the remaining land which it is considered is suitable for a greater number of dwellings.
7120.V1	Cross, Mrs Lana		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W045 W045 - Rosario, Ebford	Objection that the plan does not say that when Site W045 is developed the boundary along the A376 is realigned so that the footpath along the road is of a proper width.
7038.V1	Downer, Mr and Mrs R	Herridge Property Consulting Ltd (Sydenham): Mr A Sydenham	O	96	25. Ebford	103	W027 W027 -land adj Redlands, Ebford Lane	Ebford: Representation seeks allocation of subject site for new residential development by providing additional information in support of its suitability over the potential allocations that were identified for Ebford in the Draft Villages DPD.
7015.V1	Ebford Residents		O	96	25. Ebford	103		Objection to the overall scale of development proposed for Ebford and the fact that the proposed development located in one place especially as it would

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
								require any significant changes to the infrastructure of the village and place pressure on roads.
3296.V2	Heritage Developments South West Ltd	Bell Cornwell LLP (J Terry, Hook): Jane Terry Principal Planner	O	96	25. Ebford	103	W049 W049 - Ebford Lane, Nr Topsham	Support is given to the inclusion of land at Branscombe Farm in Policy 25c but the whole W049 should be allocated, the site adjoins the Built Up Area Boundary and is bounded on three sides by devt and on the forth side by a further proposed allocation.
7035.V1	Linda Wilkerson, John and Anna Smith		O	96	25. Ebford	103		Objection to the principle of proposed development of 25 houses in Ebford. Roads are very busy, pavements poor, noise levels from vehicles would rise, drainage impact would occur.
7035.V2	Linda Wilkerson, John and Anna Smith		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W049 W049 - Ebford Lane, Nr Topsham	Objection to allocation of Site W049 for development.
7035.V3	Linda Wilkerson, John and Anna Smith		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W018 W018 - Lower Lane, Ebford	Objection to allocation of Site W018 for development and specifically in respect of increasing proposed scale from 7 to 12 properties.
7035.V4	Linda Wilkerson, John and Anna Smith		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W137 W137 - Land at Darts Farm, Clyst St George	Objection to failure of the plan to allocate Site W137 which is seen as more appropriate than development within the village of Ebford.
7126.V1	Meechan, Mr and Mrs H		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W049 W049 - Ebford Lane, Nr Topsham	Objects to extent of development in Ebford as the village lacks infrastructure and development would result in adverse traffic impacts. Objection to SHLAA site W049.
7126.V2	Meechan, Mr and Mrs H		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W045 W045 - Rosario, Ebford	Objects to extent of development in Ebford as the village lacks infrastructure and development would result in adverse traffic impacts. Objection to SHLAA site W045.
3743.V21	Natural England		C	96	25. Ebford	103		The proposed developments would need to meet the requirements of the Habitats Regulations due to the close proximity of the village to the Exe Estuary SPA, Ramsar and SSI.
7037.V2	Neill, David and Elizabeth		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W045 W045 - Rosario, Ebford	Objection to the proposed allocation of site W045 on traffic impact and sustainability grounds.
7037.V3	Neill, David and Elizabeth		O	96	25. Ebford	103	W049 W049 - Ebford Lane, Nr Topsham	Objection to the proposed allocation of site W049 on traffic impact and sustainability grounds.
7025.V1	Rosser, Dr & Mrs V and A		O	98	25. Ebford	106		Objection to the overall scale of development proposed for Ebford and the fact that the proposed development located in one place especially as it would

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
								require any significant changes to the infrastructure of the village and place pressure on roads.
6123.V1	Bond, Mrs Susie		O	99	26. Feniton	107		Reference is made in paragraph 26.5 to a recreation ground and social club. These are private facilities and not managed by Feniton Parish Council.
6951.V1	Freeman, Mr Paul		O	99	26. Feniton	107		Due to the high risks of flooding in Feniton from both rain-water and sewerage and the likelihood that these will be exacerbated by further development in the village, further development should be curtailed until existing risks have been eliminated.
7042.V1	Jones, Mrs Valerie		O	99	26. Feniton	107		Feniton: Important old Feniton and new Feniton are separated by the all important "green wedge" which exists between them due to different type of properties and architectural look.
6947.V4	Mills, Maureen		O	99	26. Feniton	107		Feniton's dental practice should have the adjective 'private'. Flooding is an issue for old Feniton too. School is full and bus and train services are limited.
6751.V7	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL - Agents)	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	99	26. Feniton	107	C152 C152 - Land adj Ottery Rd, Feniton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C152 for residential development and to include it within the Built-up Area Boundary as Feniton is an appropriate location for growth and development.
6151.V1	Taylor Wimpey	Savills (UK) Ltd (N Matthews, Bristol): Mr N Matthews	O	99	26. Feniton	107	C109 C109 - Land at Sherwood Cross, Feniton	Objection that the plan should accommodate a higher level of development than is currently set out in the plan and that site C109 should be allocated for residential development.
3207.V3	Wainhomes (South West) Holdings Limited	Emery Planning Partnership (S Harris, Macclesfield):	O	99	26. Feniton	107	C007 C007 - Feniton appeal site, Beechwood Station Rd	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate all of Site C007 for residential development. A need is seen for more development in the village and the site is promoted for development.
6123.V3	Bond, Mrs Susie		O	100	26. Feniton	107	C007 C007 - Feniton appeal site, Beechwood Station Rd	Only part of SHLAA site C007 is the preferred site for Feniton's allocation of 35 houses forced on the village at appeal. The rest of the site, if not given approval at the recent appeal, should not be developed within the plan period.
7026.V1	Fight for Feniton's Future		O	100	26. Feniton	107		Objection raised to the potential for further development in Feniton which will result in adverse sustainability impacts in the village and has not/cannot be justified.
7042.V2	Jones, Mrs Valerie		O	100	26. Feniton	107		Objection that Feniton is not suitable for more than 35 dwellings on grounds to include flooding, sewerage, limited facilities and lack of services and poor

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
								roads.
3743.V22	Natural England		C	100	26. Feniton	107		Allocation noted and advise that Green Infrastructure should be incorporated throughout the development linking to the surrounding countryside.
6123.V4	Bond, Mrs Susie		O	101	26. Feniton	109		Feniton is prone to flooding and the document should be amended to state this. The sustainability appraisal should be revisited and Feniton given '3' or '4' for flood risk.
3129.V1	Feniton Parish Council		O	101	26. Feniton	109		Feniton Parish Council have stated that no further development in the village should be undertaken until the current planned projects to resolve flooding issues within the village have been resolved.
3129.V3	Feniton Parish Council		O	101	26. Feniton	109		Feniton Parish Council objects to the statements 'Flooding has been a significant issue for New Feniton. Any future developments will hopefully help contribute towards a long-term solution for the community.'
3129.V4	Feniton Parish Council		O	101	26. Feniton	109	C007 C007 - Feniton appeal site, Beechwood Station Rd	Feniton Parish Council has only reluctantly accepted that part of site C007 will form the allocation for Feniton as this has been forced on the Council by the Planning Inspector.
6751.V2	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL - Agents)	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	101	26. Feniton	109	C113 C113 - Feniton sports and social club	Objection to lack of housing development proposed for Feniton in the plan and to the failure of the plan to allocate site C113 for housing and C152 for educational uses.
3129.V2	Feniton Parish Council		O	102	26. Feniton	109		Feniton Parish Council wish to be fully involved in discussions involving planning decisions affecting the Parish especially those that impact on Feniton Old and New Villages.
7046.V8	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	C	103	27. Greendale Business Park	111		Comments supplied on Greendale Business Park which is considered to be well established providing 1,070 jobs, 'at Exeter', well located with public transport links, well located to 'feeder' villages and suitable for employment use.
3743.V23	Natural England		C	103	27. Greendale Business Park	111		Greendale Business Park – No comment
6737.V6	Devon County Council		O	106	28. Hawkchurch	114		Site E001 is identified for development despite County Council advice that the access to the site is in private ownership and is unsuitable for that level of development.
3743.V24	Natural England		C	107	28. Hawkchurch	114		The village is less than 1km from Dorset AONB any development would need to ensure that it would not impact on the setting of the AONB.
3743.V25	Natural England		C	110	29. Hill Barton	117		Hill Barton Business Park – No Comment

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
					Business Park			
7138.V1	Stuart Partners Ltd	Bell Cornwell LLP (G Bell, Hook): Mr Graham Bell Partner	O	110	4. Villages and other locations	117		Objection to the fact that at Para 29.1 for Hill Barton Business Park the plan does not refer to the Devon Waste Plan and waste activity at Hill Barton.
3265.V1	Hansford, Mr & Mrs J & C	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	113	30. Kilmington	121	E084 E084 - Land at Bim Bon Lane, Kilmington	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E084 for residential development as in comparison with alternatives it compares well in landscape and highway safety terms and is close to services.
3265.V2	Hansford, Mr & Mrs J & C	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	113	30. Kilmington	121	E332 E332 - Land Off George Lane, Kilmington	Objection to allocation of Site E332 in Kilmington in preference to E084 which is more intrusive in landscape terms and performs less well in highway terms.
3743.V26	Natural England		C	113	30. Kilmington	121		Wish to assimilate the development into its setting through the use of traditional form, local materials and native planting. Also recommend detailed consultation with AONB management team.
6737.V13	Devon County Council		O	116	31. Lympstone	123		The provision of passing loops on the Avocet line, to allow a fifteen minute frequency may be rather ambitious in the context of the amount of proposed development.
7127.V2	Eastley, Mrs Carol		O	116	31. Lympstone	123		Objects to boundary line not being extended as requested in Minute 65 Village and Rural Areas Development and also not enough provision for the elderly.
6242.V2	Lympstone Local Land Owners and Employers Association		O	116	31. Lympstone	123		Lympstone: ED Villages Plan draws on draft Lympstone Neighbourhood Plan which has yet to be tested by referendum. Questions the inset map land around Harefield House and proposed expansion of Coastal Preservation Area.
3100.V1	Dyer and Allchurch, Mr and Mrs A & S	Space Architects UK Ltd (D Tyler, Exmouth): Dominic Tyler	O	117	31. Lympstone	125	W307 W307 - Land to the rear of Glebelands, Lympstone	Whilst support is given for allocation of Site W307 for a mixture of residential and community development in Lympstone objection is raised that the plan does not provide a clear strategy for how community sports facilities will be provided.
7140.V1	Eastley, Mr and Mrs R		O	117	31. Lympstone	125		Objection that the Built-up Area Boundary for Lympstone should be extended to the north and south to allow local families to undertake development. Also concerned about lack of consultation on the plan and the plan not implementing committee decisions.
4030.V1	Morris, Mr and Mrs Jim and Lucy	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson,	O	117	31. Lympstone	125	W337 W337 - Meadowgate,	Site W337 or part thereof, performs as well as W119 (adjoins it) in terms of access to services, visual and landscape impact, conservation and highway safety, subject to access being taken via W119 (should more than a single

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
		Axminster):					Church Road, Lypstone	dwelling be permitted).
3743.V27	Natural England		C	117	31. Lypstone	125		Proximity of Lypstone to protected European sites means that proposed developments need to meet the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.
36.V2	Membury Parish Council		O	120	32. Membury	129		Objection that Para 32.5 mentions "a bus service (albeit infrequent)". There has been no bus service for many years, apart from "Ring & Ride".
3267.V1	Bond, Mrs P	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster): Mr Andrew Wilkinson	O	121	32. Membury	131	E303 E303 - The Street, Membury, Axminster	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E303 in Membury for residential development. Failure to develop in the village could threaten services and the eastern 75% of the site would be out a flood risk.
3743.V28	Natural England		S	121	32. Membury	131		Agree with reasoning that no sites have been identified within Membury because of the impact on the high quality AONB landscape and flood risk.
7045.V1	Devon County Council - County Farms Estate	NPS SW Ltd (Exeter Diane Rider):	S	125	33. Musbury	135	E312 E312 - Baxters Farm, Musbury, Axminster	Musbury: Supports the allocation of land at Baxter's Farm which lies in the centre of the village of Musbury.
7134.V1	King, Xavier		O	125	33. Musbury	135		Objection to new development because of traffic congestion, pollution, noise, untidiness.
3743.V29	Natural England		S	125	33. Musbury	135	E312 E312 - Baxters Farm, Musbury, Axminster	The village is wholly within the East Devon AONB however from the Landscape Appraisal we are satisfied that the allocated site E312 could be located without harm to AONB if appropriate scale, siting and design occurs.
7051.V1	Johnson Family,		O	127	33. Musbury	136	E128 E128 - Land north of Yonder Mt, Musbury	Musbury: Site E128 is suitable and currently available for housing and should be considered favourably. BUAB line should include this site and development will support the village school.
7044.V1	Trand (UK) Ltd		O	127	33. Musbury	136	E128 E128 - Land north of Yonder Mt, Musbury	Objection to not allocating Site E128 it has a good location next to existing housing and playing fields is available for development immediately meeting Musbury's needs and enable school to meet required number target within 3-year time window available.
6919.V1	Cameron Webb, Mrs Gillian		O	128	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Objection to the allocation of Site C305 for residential development. The site is in AONB, of landscape prominence, would cause pedestrian safety concerns, result in loss of agricultural land, loss of hedgerow and lacks local support.
7040.V2	Devon Senior Voice		S	128	34. Newton Poppleford	137		Newton Poppleford: Supports attention to detail which illustrates the support the New Local Plan gives to rural village planning.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7109.V1	Lass, Mr Haylor		O	128	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Objection that in respect of Newton Poppleford the consultation process and the proposed allocation of Site C305 was seriously flawed and planning application at the site was opposed by the Parish Council.
6943.V1	Nancekievill, Mr Stuart		O	128	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Objection to the allocation of Site C305 in the plan. The Villages DPD chapter for Newton Poppleford is fundamentally flawed by including knowingly incorrect information.
6953.V1	Coppell, Mr Matt		O	129	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	I am making this representation to correct several glaring inaccuracies that thus far have not been corrected, either by parish council representation or by EDDC.
7110.V1	Coppell, Mrs Emma		O	129	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Refutes the statement that the Parish Council supported application 13/0316/MOUT, and outlines a number of procedural irregularities and undemocratic procedures undertaken to manipulate the preferred site selection process.
3743.V30	Natural England		C	129	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	The village falls wholly within the East Devon AONB and would advise that the design of the proposed housing is compatible with the special qualities of the AONB.
3268.V1	Turner, Mr & Mr C & M	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	129	34. Newton Poppleford	137	C308 C308 - Land adj Littledown Lane, Newton Poppleford	Objects to the Local Plan allocating insufficient land in Newton Poppleford to meet the clear potential that the settlement has to accommodate growth. Site C308 should be allocated to accommodate up to 15 dwellings.
3268.V2	Turner, Mr & Mr C & M	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	129	34. Newton Poppleford	137		Concern that in the case of Newton Poppleford the Local Plan allocates insufficient land to meet the clear potential that the settlement has to accommodate growth.
6076.V1	McGowan, Mr Ben		O	130	34. Newton Poppleford	139	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Section 34 is incorrect and problems raised with parish council. NP Village Survey traffic is priority and a larger school is required, also did not show new doctor surgery required not clear how it is requirement of parish plan.
261.V1	Newton		O	130	34. Newton	139	C305 C305 -	Requests amendment to text in Summary of Site Evaluation table to state that

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Poppleford Parish Council				Poppleford		Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	whilst initial support was given for Site C305 by the Parish Council the Parish Council did not support a subsequent planning application at this site.
6119.V1	Woodward, Ms Lorna		O	130	34. Newton Poppleford	139	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Allocation of C305 is opposed. Parish Council turned down both of Clinton Devon's applications for 40 houses on the King Alfred Way site. They said it was too many houses.
6179.V1	Burhop, Mr Chris		O	131	34. Newton Poppleford	139	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	There are material mis-statements in the entry for Newton Poppleford and a reliance on a single land application. There is no evidence that the parish Council support allocation of land under reference C305.
7050.V1	Strongvox Homes	WYG: Simon Collier Director	O	131	34. Newton Poppleford	139	C002 C002 - Land off Down Close, Exmouth Rd, Newton Pop	Objection in respect of Newton Poppleford: Strongvox Homes controls land at Down Close. The site is available and deliverable as a housing development site for approx 15 bungalows.
6119.V2	Woodward, Ms Lorna		O	131	34. Newton Poppleford	139	C305 C305 - Land rear of King Alfred Way, Newton Popple	Technical information is inaccurate and misleading in respect of improvements of health, proximity to unknown Burrow village green and proximity to recreation space. Also there are highway safety concerns associated with the site.
6737.V14	Devon County Council		O	132	35. Offwell	141		Has one bus to Honiton and Fare Car F5 to Honiton (4 or 5 journeys Mon-Sat). Axminster bus may be reinstated.
6950.V1	Gardner, Mr and Mrs J & F		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E401 Field No. 4469, Offwell Village, Nr Honiton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate filed Number 4469, Site Number E401, for development.
980.V1	Hayes (Jones), Carol		O	132	35. Offwell	141		Objection raised to the principle of a need for any residential land allocation in Offwell as the population has remained constant a local policy approach to Offwell should be based on local knowledge and opinion.
980.V2	Hayes (Jones), Carol		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	In the context of in principle objection to any housing development in Offwell objection is raised to allocation of part of site E022 which was the least favoured option in the village.
980.V3	Hayes (Jones), Carol		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite	In the context of in principle objection to any housing development objection is raised to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E406 as the 'least worst option'.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Woodland Close, Offwell	
7020.V1	Laramy, Mr Dean	Greenslade Taylor Hunt (A Preston, Chard): Mr Andrew Preston Associate	O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objection that the allocation of Site E022 should be deleted from the Villages DPD and that an alternative allocation should be made for the development of 5 dwellings at Site E406 (part), east of Ramsden Lane, Offwell.
7019.V1	Smith, Mr Tony	WYG (Alex Bullock) Wellington: Mr Alex Bullock Senior Planner	O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Object to the proposed allocation at Offwell which would be contrary to the provisions of paragraph 182 of the NPPF (neither justified or consistent) and land to the south of Offwell Village Hall Site E331 should instead be allocated.
7058.V1	Tucker, Mrs Marian		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022, too close to historic buildings, flooding issues and on dangerous bend.
6961.V1	Warne, Mr Julian H		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to building on site E022 due to road access, surface water drainage and sewerage system in the village.
7059.V1	Watts, Mr Christopher		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 as exit from this site would be in the centre of a blind bend whereas site E406 has direct and easy access to A35 and no need to drive through the village.
6958.V1	Williams, Patricia		O	132	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objects to proposed allocations of site E022 for development (notes that Site E406 is villagers choice).
7071.V1	, M F Mulrain and D C Jarvis		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E406 at Offwell, rather than site E022. The plan should support local democracy and community will behind E406.
6875.V1	A Richards, & D Macauley-Sinclair,		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E404 Honey Glen, Ramsden Lane, Offwell	This representation is a letter of acknowledgement/acceptance regarding the SHLAA forms filled out for site E404. Assumed objection is made to the failure of the plan to allocate the site for devt.
6969.V1	Allen, Monica C		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 -	Offwell: Strongly opposed to building further houses in Offwell until sewerage

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	and surface water drainage problems solved. E022 particularly unsuitable.
7065.V1	Andrew, Mrs J		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objects to Site E022 - Offwell: Objects Site has blind bend, floodwater and sewage problems and not the wishes of the people of Offwell.
6955.V1	Baker, Clive and Gillian		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to site E022 and suggest Site E406 which was unanimous by the village to be the site which would cause least impact on the AONB. Villagers of Offwell spent much time deliberating the various proposed sites.
6955.V2	Baker, Clive and Gillian		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Offwell: Object to failure of the plan to allocate site E406 which was supported by the local community.
7012.V1	Banks, Mrs Sarah		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	I object to the allocation of E022 for development in Offwell. I support the allocation of E406 instead.
6964.V1	Batten, Mr and Mrs Pauline and David		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to site E022 due to traffic. There was no opposition for site E406 from villagers.
6963.V1	Browning, D I		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 whereas E406 is favoured by the village. Traffic safety is a concern with dangerous sharp corners at point where the site access road begins.
6974.V1	Costain, Mrs P J		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to designate site E022 in Offwell for development site. Villagers agreed E406 would be most suitable.
7053.V1	Critchard and Atterbury, Sarah and Sue		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to building on site E022 and consider E406 more suitable for new houses.
6962.V1	Critchard, Mr & Mrs P J		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to site E022 . Villagers prefer E406. Object due to access, poor visibility, extra traffic making it dangerous for school children. Excess surface water.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7054.V1	Davey, S R and J M		S	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Supports site E022 for building of 5 dwellings in Offwell.
7039.V1	Dickinson, Ian and Phyllis		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Strongly object to site E022 in Offwell and E406 had largest number of supporters and no opponents
7115.V1	Duke, P		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Object to proposed allocation of Site E022 due to increased strain on traffic and sewerage.
3099.V8	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objection to the allocation of Site E022 in Offwell (E331 proposed instead). Site E022 is close to listed buildings, greenfield land, has an agricultural building on it, is visually prominent & will impact on surrounding areas & is agricultural use.
6948.V1	Foster, Cherise		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objection to proposed allocation of site E022. It is not locally supported, there are highway safety concerns, impacts on neighbouring properties, potential parking congestion and poor public transport.
6948.V2	Foster, Cherise		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Objection to failure of the plan to allocate Site E406 rather than site E022. E406 is free from the constraints of E022 and also has local support.
6981.V1	Fry, Mr and Mrs T and S		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 due to highway issues, sharp bend which already involves cars having to drive 'dead slow' due to zero visibility of on-coming traffic.
6945.V1	Giles-Wilson, Mr Adam B		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Objection to allocation of site E022 on grounds of poor access, insufficient drainage, highway/pedestrian safety, wildlife and historic building/setting impacts.
6945.V2	Giles-Wilson, Mr Adam B		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Requests an explanation as to why site E022 is being considered when evidence points otherwise.
6977.V1	Hallowes, Mr and Mrs B		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects - Villagers prefer E406 to E022.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
6980.V1	Hirons, D and R		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 as opposed to villagers overall approval of site E406 for development of a maximum of 5 houses in total.
6972.V1	Hounscome, Dr M V		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 which is against democratic decision of parishioners. At a loss as to why E406 has more value than E022.
6885.V1	Jones, Mr and Mrs S A		C	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Letter was received that highlighted a communication that has been circulated in the village of Offwell. The correspondence is recorded as a comment on the plan and not an objection.
6885.V2	Jones, Mr and Mrs S A		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Objection to development of site E406 now proposed by the Offwell Parish Steering Group. The site is greenfield and part of a larger agricultural field. It forms part of the landscape setting of the village and there would be adverse traffic impacts.
7020.V2	Laramy, Mr Dean	Greenslade Taylor Hunt (A Preston, Chard): Mr Andrew Preston Associate	O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate part of Site E405 for residential development in Offwell as it is more suited than preferred site.
6983.V1	Malet, Ms Louise A		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 which had no support from villagers. E022 has access, poor visibility, excessive surface water and flooding. E406 received largest number of support.
6971.V1	Morris, Mr J B		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022. E406 was least objectionable and preferred by residents.
3743.V31	Natural England		S	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	The village is wholly within the East Devon AONB however from the Landscape Appraisal we are satisfied that part of site E022 could be developed without harm to the special qualities subject to the appropriate scale, siting and design.
6984.V1	Offwell Parish Council		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 on grounds of safety, flooding, sewerage and permanent despoliation of environmental sensitive AONB site. Offwell villagers recommended E406.
6979.V1	Parker and		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 -	Offwell: Oppose building on site E022. Plot E406 has better access and no

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Griffiths, Mr and Mrs P & P J						Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	view to spoil.
6978.V1	Paveley, Mr and Mrs J and S		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to EDDC's selection of site E022 against the villages own choice of site E406.
6986.V1	Pearce, G V		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to E022 due to runoff and flood. Agree with consensus of opinions for E406.
6985.V1	Pearce, Mr T		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to building on E022. E406 will blend in with existing properties, utility services are ready.
7055.V1	Ricketts, A J		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to development on site E022. Site E406 on the periphery of the village is the lesser of two evils.
6956.V1	Roberts, David and Terry		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to site E022. Site is in direct contrast to views of villagers. Villagers supported site E406. Object to E022 on access, flooding, overstretched sewerage and surface run-off and impact upon landscape in AONB.
6956.V2	Roberts, David and Terry		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Offwell: Object to failure of the plan to allocate site E406 which was supported by the local community.
7070.V1	Rooke, Mrs Eileen		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 due to safety of pedestrians and cyclists, increased traffic, danger to cyclists, pedestrians and pets.
7019.V2	Smith, Mr Tony	WYG (Alex Bullock) Wellington: Mr Alex Bullock Senior Planner	O	133	35. Offwell	141	E331 E331 - Land South of Sports Grnd, Offwell, Honiton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E331 at Offwell for development and it should be favoured over proposed allocation E022
7136.V1	Staniforth, Mr and Mrs		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Offwell is in AONB and the site is at an importance entrance to the village, its greenfield land of environmental importance and there are traffic & pedestrian

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Close, Offwell	safety concerns.
6987.V1	Steel, Mr Graham		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to site E022. Support site E406 for development.
7000.V1	Tilbury, David and Rosemary		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to council's decision to favour site E022 in preference to E406.
7000.V2	Tilbury, David and Rosemary		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Object to the failure of the plan to allocate site E406 for development which should be for affordable housing to serve local needs and potentially for 10 rather than 5 new houses.
6961.V2	Warne, Mr Julian H		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Offwell: Objects to the failure of the plan to allocate site E046, rather than site E022, as E406 is the villager's preferred choice.
7095.V1	Warner, B and G M		S	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access and development would impact on nearby neighbours.
6975.V1	Webber, S & C		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to development of site E022. Village residents agreed that E406 would be more suitable. Why has exercise carried out by Parish Council in 2012 been ignored.
6970.V1	Whithear, Linda and Clive		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Objects to site E022 and most villagers support site E406. Object due to vehicular access, historic buildings nearby, surface water damage to road and overloaded sewer system. E406 no traffic impact and preferred site.
6958.V2	Williams, Patricia		O	133	35. Offwell	141	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Offwell: Objects to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E406 ifor development.
6982.V1	Atterbury, Mr and Miss L G and A J		O	134	35. Offwell	143		Offwell: Objects to the proposed building of houses on the plot of land identified as E406 alongside Ramsden Lane, Offwell.
7119.V1	Claydon, Mr N		S	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access and development would impact on nearby neighbours.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Close, Offwell	
3099.V9	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	134	35. Offwell	143	E331 E331 - Land South of Sports Grnd, Offwell, Honiton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E331 for residential use it is close to facilities, good access to main road, will have limited neighbour impact, is not environmentally designated and not prone to flooding.
7130.V1	Fisher, Mr Sean		S	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access and speeding concerns and development would result in loss of windbreaks and there would be surface water run-off.
7132.V1	Fisher, Mrs Judith		S	134	35. Offwell	143		Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Site has narrow roads, danger to pedestrians and cyclists, destruction of Devon Banks. Reduce speed restrictions.
6977.V2	Hallowes, Mr and Mrs B		O	134	35. Offwell	143	E022 E022 - Offwell Barton, Offwell, Honiton	Offwell: Landscape Appraisal, Sustainability Appraisal. Fail to mention several planning applications on site E022 which were rejected. Reasons for E406.
7129.V1	Jackson, Mrs Betty		S	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access and is remote from facilities.
7131.V1	Johnson, Mrs S		S	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access, there are safety concerns and development could have flooding concerns. Objection also to local campaign against Site E022 in favour of E406.
6876.V1	Laramy, D R J & K F		O	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Objection to the plan in respect of not allocating site E406 for development as it is favoured by the local residents.
7096.V1	White, S		S	134	35. Offwell	143	E406 Land opposite Woodland Close, Offwell	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E406 for development. Noted the site has poor highway access and development would impact on nearby neighbours.
6916.V4	Clinton Devon Estates (I John Bell Cornwell)	Bell Cornwell LLP (I John, Exeter): Mr I John Associate	O	137	36. Otterton	146	C208 C208 - 33 Ottery Street, Otterton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C208 for residential development in order to meet future housing needs in the village as well as the existing needs as provided for in granting of permission on North Star site.
3743.V32	Natural England		C	137	36. Otterton	146	C123 C123 -	Advise that as site is in AONB the design of the housing must be compatible

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Land adj North Star, Ottery Rd, Otterton	with the special qualities of the AONB, incorporate green infrastructure and comply with habitat regulation requirements.
3743.V33	Natural England		C	140	37. Payhembury	149		No comments made.
3049.V1	Daymond, D W J		O	141	37. Payhembury	149		Objection to more development in Payhembury due to inadequate infrastructure, would cause heavy congestion to the village and danger to pedestrians.
6336.V1	Daymond, Mr R	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster): Mr Andrew Wilkinson	O	141	37. Payhembury	149	C130 C130 - Victoria Dairy Farm, Payhembury	Payhembury: sustainable settlement with moderate range of community facilities capable of accommodating hsg development in excess of 5 dwgs allocated by the DPD to provide a mix of housing & help maintain service provision at or above its current levels.
3099.V1	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	141	37. Payhembury	149	C021 C021 - Slade Barton, Payhembury	Objection to proposed allocation of Site C021 on grounds of past refusal, loss of employment and availability of alternative sites.
3099.V10	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	141	37. Payhembury	149	3099 V10 Glebe Field, Recreation Ground, Payhembury	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate land at Glebe Field in Payhembury for residential development. The Glebe site has good access to Church Lane, is not in Flood zone 2 and potential to expand.
385.V1	Payhembury Parish Council		O	141	37. Payhembury	149	C021 C021 - Slade Barton, Payhembury	Objection that the part of C021 that has been allocated is the greenfield part of the site, whereas most respondents suggested that if only part of the site was to be developed it should be the brownfield part.
36.V1	Membury Parish Council		O	142	32. Membury	151		Membury PC endorse Villages Development Plan Document and stress importance of Policy 27 but stress importance of landscape AONB landscape and topographical and flood risk constraints.
3743.V34	Natural England		C	144	38. Plymtree	153		No comments made.
3250.V3	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	144	38. Plymtree	153		Objection to the failure of the plan to provide for greater levels of growth at Plymtree which is considered to have public transport and is close to West End employment sites and the main road network.
6946.V1	Elliot, Mr Austin		S	145	38. Plymtree	153	W110 W110 - Land near Green End Lane,	Support for allocation of Site Policy W110 in Plymtree for residential development as the site would help sustain the village but should not provide for more than 15 new homes.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Plymtree	
6949.V1	Mount, Mr Tim		O	145	38. Plymtree	153	W110 W110 - Land near Green End Lane, Plymtree	Whilst some support is shown for site W110 & noted consideration being given to villagers views it is noted development would add to pressure on roads, which flood most residents work out of village & any development should provide community facilities.
3250.V4	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	145	38. Plymtree	153	W110 W110 - Land near Green End Lane, Plymtree	Objection to Policy 33 should be amended to allocate additional development on the remainder of site W110 (the part that is not already proposed as an allocation).
3250.V5	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	146	38. Plymtree	155	W110 W110 - Land near Green End Lane, Plymtree	Whilst it is considered that all of should have been allocated for development it is considered that Site W110 is the most suitable to provide for the future development needs of the area.
3250.V6	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	146	38. Plymtree	155	W109 W109 - Land adjacent Plymtree School	Objection that the plan should recognise that Site W109 is the second most suitable site in the village after W110 and it is located close to village and benefits from two points of access.
3250.V7	Persey, Mr Herbert	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): David Seaton	O	146	38. Plymtree	155	W312 W312 - Land at hall field, Plymtree	Site W312 is close to the edge of the village but development would be likely to be visually intrusive due to the topography of the site. Given there are two other more suitable sites, site W132 should not be brought forward in the coming plan period.
3743.V35	Natural England		C	148	39. Rockbeare	157		No comments made.
7045.V2	Devon County Council - County Farms Estate	NPS SW Ltd (Exeter Diane Rider):	O	149	39. Rockbeare	158	W130 Land Fronting Quarter Mile Lane, Marsh Green	Objection to the plan not allocating site W130 at Marsh Green to accommodate Rockbeare housing requirements noting limitations of proposed allocation site and some facilities available in Marsh Green.
7021.V1	Emmett, Mr	c2c Planning Consultants Ltd (J Lyle, Exeter): Miss Julie Lyle Planning Consultant	O	149	39. Rockbeare	158	W402 Land to North of Hazel Grove, Rockbeare	Objection that W402 will not meet development needs of Rockbeare. Requests that the northern part of site W403 and additional land on the southern-most part of W402 is also considered and assessed for its inclusion as an allocated site.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7021.V2	Emmett, Mr	c2c Planning Consultants Ltd (J Lyle, Exeter): Miss Julie Lyle Planning Consultant	O	149	39. Rockbeare	158	W402 Land to North of Hazel Grove, Rockbeare	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site W403 (or part of this site) for development along with neighbouring land in order to meet the development needs of the village.
67.V1	Sidmouth Town Council		S	152	40. Sidbury	163		Support for planned development at Sidbury.
3743.V36	Natural England		O	153	40. Sidbury	165	C402 Land to rear of Furzehill Farm, Sidbury	Conclude site could not be developed without harm to AONB, despite limited housing proposed. Consider that the allocation is neither exceptional and in the national interest given the additional housing proposed at other larger settlements nearby.
3176.V1	Sidbury Manor Estate	Savills (UK) Ltd (N Matthews, Bristol): Nicholas Matthews Associate Director	O	154	40. Sidbury	165	C402 Land to rear of Furzehill Farm, Sidbury	Whilst supporting the principle of housing development on site C402 objection is raised to the plan in not providing sufficient residential development at Sidbury and for only part of C014 being allocated for development, it all should be.
38.V1	All Saints Parish Council		O	156	41. Smallridge	167		Objection made to plan as it fails to recognise that All Saints Parish consists of seven hamlets - All Saints, Smallridge, Alston, Churchill, Waggs Plot, Colston & South Common & that All Saints has most facilities & Smallridge has been devt to capacity.
38.V2	All Saints Parish Council		O	156	41. Smallridge	167		Objection to development of 5 or more houses in All Saints Parish. Planning applications for individual dwellings would be more desirable. Support for SHLAA site E003.
38.V3	All Saints Parish Council		O	156	41. Smallridge	167	E003 E003 - East of Ridgeway Inn, Smallridge	Objection to all of site E003 being included in the Built-up Area Boundary for Smallridge - We request that this line be changed to leave the 'bottom field' outside the BUAB.
38.V4	All Saints Parish Council		O	156	41. Smallridge	167		Objection to the small scale maps being included in the plan and the maps not covering all of All Saints Parish.
124.V2	South West Water		O	156	41. Smallridge	167		Smallridge has no public drainage and therefore any development will need to provide their own sewage treatment works.
3743.V37	Natural England		C	157	41. Smallridge	168	E003 E003 - East of Ridgeway Inn, Smallridge	Site E003 within AONB we advise the design of proposed housing be compatible with special qualities of AONB and incorporate green infrastructure links to countryside.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
6959.V1	Ross, Mr and Mrs Clive and Juliet		O	157	41. Smallridge	168	E403 Knights Lane, All Saints, Smallridge	Objection that the plan places the hamlet of All Saints within Smallridge. But support for the fact that as Site E003 has planning permission it should mean that Site E403 will not be allocated for development.
7005.V1	Storey, Ms Caroline		O	157	41. Smallridge	168	E003 E003 - East of Ridgeway Inn, Smallridge	Objection to the BUAB for Smallridge and the inclusion of all of Site E003. The E003 boundary should match the extent of the planning permission granted rather than the whole of the SHLAA submission site..
7121.V1	Dalton, Mr and Mrs EL and DE		S	158	41. Smallridge	170	E403 Knights Lane, All Saints, Smallridge	Implied support for the plan in not allocating site E403 for devt as it is agricultural land, devt would be out of keeping with the village, road access is poor and an increase in traffic would occur and the site is water-logged.
7122.V1	Early, Mrs K L		S	158	41. Smallridge	170	E403 Knights Lane, All Saints, Smallridge	Implied support for the plan in not allocating Site E402 for development. The site is not in Smallridge, has poor access, poor drainage & a footpath across the site, there would be light pollution, ecological impacts and there are few facilities locally.
7123.V1	Morris, Mrs J		S	158	41. Smallridge	170	E403 Knights Lane, All Saints, Smallridge	Implied support for the plan in not allocating Site E402 for development. The site is not in Smallridge, has poor access, poor drainage & a footpath across the site, there would be light pollution, ecological impacts and there are few facilities locally.
7001.V1	Spencer, Mr and Mrs P P and C M		O	158	41. Smallridge	170	E403 Knights Lane, All Saints, Smallridge	Smallridge: Strongly object to site E403 being further considered for inclusion in the revised draft villages development plan document.
3743.V38	Natural England		C	161	42. Stockland	175	E157 E157 - Land adj Mannings, Stockland, Honiton	Stockland falls wholly within the Blackdown Hills AONB and whilst the allocation E157 for 5 dwellings is well related to existing built development we recommend consultation with the AONB management team.
6968.V1	Bitschi, Mrs N C		S	164	43. Talaton	177		Talaton: The 5 dwellings required can be met by applications already submitted and therefore no further allocations are needed for the village.
6951.V3	Freeman, Mr Paul		O	164	43. Talaton	177		Talaton sustainability is lower than stated because the local publican has stated publicly that they are likely to close the Talaton Inn unless business volumes improve. "Use it or lose it!"
7046.V9	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	164	43. Talaton	177		Objection that Talaton should accommodate a higher level of growth given its proximity to Exeter, existing services and facilities, public transport connections and access to main roads.

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
7046.V10	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	165	43. Talaton	177	W173 Land at Firs Blackdown View, Talaton	Objection that Site W173 is not deliverable in respect of covenants on land and being 100% affordable housing in the current market it would not be viable. Not providing for further development is at odds with NPPF para 47 which seeks more housing.
7033.V1	Hoar, Mr and Mrs T E		O	165	43. Talaton	177	W118 W118 - west of Weeks Farm, Talaton	Object to failure of the plan to provide for 6 to 10 dwellings on site W118 but notes lack of facilities in the village and poor highway access which would be over-burdened with too much development.
3743.V39	Natural England		C	165	43. Talaton	177		No comments made.
7057.V2	Wendy Adams and Maureen A Broom, Mrs	Mr John Milverton: John Milverton	O	165	43. Talaton	177	W001 W001 - Greenacres, Newtown, Talaton	Objection to plan for Talaton that should allocate site W001: The failure to allow smaller settlements to contribute to rural housing delivery is contrary to the NPPF Planning Guidance.
7046.V11	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	166	43. Talaton	179	W333 W333 - Land rear of Lee's Farm, Talaton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site W333 (in conjunction with W117) to provide for around 20 to 25 dwellings in Talaton.
7046.V12	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	166	43. Talaton	179	W117 W117 - Land rear of Lees Farm, Talaton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site W117 (in conjunction with W333) to provide for around 20 to 25 dwellings in Talaton.
7057.V1	Wendy Adams and Maureen A Broom, Mrs	Mr John Milverton: John Milverton	O	166	43. Talaton	179	W118 W118 - west of Weeks Farm, Talaton	Objection to the plan for Talaton that should allocate site W118: Insufficient weight has been given to the views of the Parish Council. The failure to allocate land at Talaton is contrary to the NPPF Planning Guidance.
7048.V1	Harris, Linda		O	167	43. Talaton	179		Talaton: Feeling in Talaton is that the Parish Council are not representing the views of the majority of residents. Sites gaining permission for development in the village are not supported by the local community.
3222.V1	Diocese of Exeter		O	168	44. Tipton St John	181	3222 V1 Proposed New School Site, Tipton St John	Objection to the failure of the plan to identify or allocate a new site for Tipton St John School in a western part of the village noting limitations of the existing school site.
8.V10	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	168	44. Tipton St John	181		Objection plan needs changing: Paragraph 44.1 delete "to the" in front of the pub and Paragraph 44.7 add "20 MPH speed limit through the village", as a facility required by residents
8.V11	Ottery St Mary		S	168	44. Tipton St	181	C037 Land at	Ottery St Mary Town Council agree with the proposed policy for Tipton St

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Town Council				John		Barton Orchard Tipton St John	John.
1927.V1	Tipton St John Residents Association		O	168	44. Tipton St John	181		Tipton St John Parish Residents Association wholly support the modified plan for Tipton St John submitted by Ottery St Mary Town Council (it is inferred that this, therefore, raises objections to the East Devon Villages plan for the village).
1002.V3	Wright, Cllr Claire		O	168	44. Tipton St John	181	C037 Land at Barton Orchard Tipton St John	Supports site C037 but I am again concerned about incorrect information in the Sustainability Appraisal.
2007.V6	Devonshire Homes Ltd	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Consultant	O	169	44. Tipton St John	181	C201 C201 - Land south of Otter Close, Tipton St John	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C201 for residential development for maximum of 19 units & objection to the failure of the plan to show this site within the Built-up Area Boundary of the village. The site relates well to the village.
3743.V40	Natural England		C	169	44. Tipton St John	181	C037 Land at Barton Orchard Tipton St John	Note PP already granted site C037 advise design of proposed housing be compatible with special qualities of AONB and incorporate green infrastructure that links to surrounding countryside.
8.V12	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	170	44. Tipton St John	183		Detailed site specific comments have been provided on Sustainability Appraisal and Landscape Appraisal of Tipton St John sites - these are not summarised in the database and the original submission of Ottery St Mary Town Council should be viewed.
1790.V1	Dorset County Council		O	172	45. Uplyme	185		Objection to the failure of the plan to specify policy that applies to denoted 'recreation areas' and to refer to the potential need and appropriateness for Woodruffe School to expand onto playing fields in Uplyme.
6104.V1	West Dorset District Council		O	172	45. Uplyme	185		Seeks recognition in the EDLP of the relationship between Lyme Regis and Uplyme and asks to work jointly on examining options. As drafted, the plan is not considered to be positively prepared.
7105.V1	Hook Farm Caravan & Camping Park	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	173	45. Uplyme	186	E024 E024 - Hook Farm Caravan Park, Gore Lne, Uplyme	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate an eastern part of Site E204 for development of 4 dwellings (it should be noted that this is Option 1 of two representations made for site E204 by the agent).
7105.V2	Hook Farm Caravan & Camping Park	Taylor - Wilkinson Ltd (A Wilkinson, Axminster):	O	173	45. Uplyme	186	E024 E024 - Hook Farm Caravan Park, Gore Lne,	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate the bulk of Site E204 for development of 12 dwellings (it should be noted that this is Option 2 of two representations made for site E204 by the agent).

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
							Uplyme	
3743.V41	Natural England		S	173	45. Uplyme	186		Uplyme: Support decision not to allocate any development within Uplyme due to its location with East Devon AONB
6207.V1	Pinhay Estate	Savills (T Hoskinson Wimborne): Mr Tim Hoskinson	O	173	45. Uplyme	186	E324 E324 - Land to the West of Shire Lane, Lyme Regis	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site E324 in Uplyme, East Devon, on the edge of Lyme Regis in Dorset for residential development to meet growth needs associated with Lyme Regis.
3743.V42	Natural England		O	177	46. Upottery	191		Upottery: Village wholly within Blackdown Hills AONB and recommend consultation with AONB management team. Design of development is crucial and should be informed by further landscape and visual impact assessment.
6279.V1	Stodgell, Mr Peter	Greenslade Taylor Hunt (A Preston, Chard): Andrew Preston	S	177	46. Upottery	191		The representors support Policy 41 allocating land at E409 (Manor Green, Upottery) to accommodate 5 new dwellings.
8.V13	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection for West Hill that an additional sentence should be added at the end of paragraph 47.2 "The village is also characterised by houses set in large gardens which is an important part of the unique, verdant, character of the settlement"
8.V14	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection that reference for West Hill para 47.5 should be made to the movement around the settlement being challenging particularly for pedestrians due to the sharp bends, steep gradients, unlit roads and lack of pavements.
8.V16	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection that the plan should include the wording - "Development of the residential gardens of West Hill should be resisted as such development would be detrimental to the unique character of the settlement."
8.V17	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection that the plan fails to identify Local Green Spaces where/at which development will rarely be permitted and a number are proposed for West Hill.
1545.V4	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection to the failure of the plan in the West Hill chapter to advise that development in West Hill should be required to preserve the existing ambience and character of a woodland village in respect of trees, hedges and landscaping.
1545.V5	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195	C013 C013 - Jevington Retreat, Higher Metcombe, OSM	Objection that the map showing the sites is incorrect. The site labelled C013 is actually C016 – a larger site which incorporates C013 (Jevington Retreat).

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
1545.V6	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection to the failure of the plan to include a one-page summary of the West Hill Village Design Statement.
1545.V7	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection to the failure of the plan for West Hill to designate woodland 'special protection green areas' at Castle Copse, Elsdon Wood, Broad Oak plantation, Woodland Trust land, Ash Hill Wood & Play Park adjacent to West Hill Primary School.
1545.V8	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection to the failure of the plan to designate four fields that should remain undeveloped on account of views at: junction of B3180 & Bendarroch Rd and Lower Broad Oak Rd junctions at Ford Lane & Woodland Trust Land & Higher Broad Rd at Ash Hill Road.
1545.V9	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection raised to Strategy 35 of local plan on exceptions housing seeking changes to specify policy provision should be in 'exceptional circumstances' and greater onus should be on local plan needs.
1545.V10	West Hill Residents Association		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Objection to the failure of the plan to have a specific policy for West Hill that seeks to resist infill development in residential gardens.
1002.V2	Wright, Cllr Claire		O	180	47. West Hill	195		Strongly resist any further development over and above 35 dwellings.
6338.V6	Jenner, Mr Christopher	Heynes Planning (E Heynes, Launceston): Ed Heynes Director	O	181	47. West Hill	196	6338 V6 Little Portion, Toadpit Ln, West Hill	Objection to the failure of the plan to include land at Little Portion, Toadpit Lane inside the Built-up Area Boundary. The site forms part of the village and inclusion would flexibility to provide for future development.
3743.V43	Natural England		C	181	47. West Hill	196		West Hill: Sites already have planning permission and within 2 km of ED Pebblebed Heaths SSSI/SAC and ED Heaths SPA, would need to meet requirements of Habitat Regs.
8.V15	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	181	47. West Hill	196		Objection that in West Hill the plan should include wording that explicitly preserves trees and planting and requires additional planting.
4023.V1	Persimmon Homes South West		O	181	47. West Hill	196	C001 C001 - Land off Ashill Road, West Hill	Object. Inadequate housing allocation. Prematurity in distribution and allocation of sites in advance of adoption of Local Plan. Overlooking of a sequentially favourable development site C001 which should be allocated for development.
7031.V1	Johnson, Mr Deece		O	182	47. West Hill	198	C329 C329 - Top of Toadpit Lane, West Hill, OSM	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site C329 for development. Highway access could be achieved, landscape impacts would be limited, its poor farming land and footpath access is acceptable.
8.V18	Ottery St Mary		O	183	47. West Hill	198		Detailed site specific comments have been provided on Sustainability

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Town Council							Appraisal and Landscape Appraisal of West Hill - these are not summarised in the database and the original submission of Ottery St Mary Town Council should be viewed.
6976.V1	Calverley, Mr and Mrs J & Z		O	184	48. Whimble	199	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Whimble: Objects to allocation of land at W002, The Old Cricket Field to accommodate 9 new dwellings.
6737.V17	Devon County Council		O	184	48. Whimble	199		Whimble – they identify more calls on the train at Whimble. The same restriction applies here as at Feniton. There is a request for improved bus services, which would be required with any significant development.
1596.V1	Ellingham, Mr Michael		O	184	48. Whimble	199	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Supports for the policy and allocation of site W002 at Whimble for development but objection to references in sustainability appraisal of lack of footpath provision to facilities.
7022.V1	Johnson, Mr Peter		O	184	48. Whimble	199	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Objection to development allocation of site W002 in Whimble on grounds of highway impacts, poor public transport and Cranbrook is a more suitable location for development.
388.V1	Whimble Parish Council		S	184	48. Whimble	199		The Parish Councillors have looked through the Whimble Chapter and agree with the content.
3099.V5	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Objection to site W002 and proposes a new more suitable site. W002 is in Floodzone 3, would result in loss of recreation land and green space in the village, will increase traffic in the village and local support is not conclusive.
7006.V1	Jordan, Professor W J O		O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Whimble: Object most strongly to allocation of W002, the Old Cricket Ground, Slewton, for residential land development (9 new dwellings).
7002.V1	Mallett, Mr Michael		O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Whimble: Objects to the Richard Whiteway Memorial Ground (Old cricket pitch/Slewton Field) as a potential site for 9 dwellings and car parking for residents, station users and visitors.
6999.V1	Mallett, Sara		O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Objection to the proposed allocation of site W002 for residential development. Devt will result in the loss of a recreation area. Extra houses will place pressure on services and further development should not occur.
3743.V44	Natural England		C	185	48. Whimble	201		No comments made.
7056.V1	Open Space Society		O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Whimble: Objects to the inclusion of Site W002 as a preferred site for development. The land is well used and loss would be to the detriment of the amenity value of the area.
7017.V1	Walker, Mrs Linda		O	185	48. Whimble	201	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Objection is made to the allocation of Site W002 in Whimble, the Richard Whiteway Memorial Garden. The amenity land is not supported by consultation and development would result in the loss of the amenity resource.
3099.V6	Exeter Diocesan Board	Smith Gore (C Dixon,	O	186	48. Whimble	201	W136 W136 - Land North	Objection to failure of the plan to allocate site W136 for residential development in Whimble. W136 allows for good access & parking, is close to

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	of Finance Ltd	Exeter): Charles Dixon					of Grove Road, Whimble	facilities, is not environmentally designated & is suitable for mixed residential & community development.
7106.V1	Brown, Mr Keith		O	187	48. Whimble	202	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	I wish to object to the old cricket pitch being turned into a housing development. The village is big enough without further housing which will bring associated increase in traffic etc.
7010.V1	Walker, Mr Clive		O	187	48. Whimble	202	W002 W002 - Hayes Bank, Whimble	Objection to the allocation of SHLAA site W002 for housing development in Whimble, statement that site has no recent planning history, and that village feedback showed no constraints to its development.
7008.V1	DCH Group		O	188	49. Woodbury	203	W095 W095 - Castle Lane, Woodbury	Objection raised to the whole of Site W095 not being allocated for residential development as Woodbury is seen as a village suited to accommodating a higher level of development.
7008.V2	DCH Group		O	188	49. Woodbury	203	W095 W095 - Castle Lane, Woodbury	Objection to the Built-up Area Boundary of Woodbury not being drawn to include the whole of Site W095.
6737.V18	Devon County Council		O	188	50. Woodbury Salterton	203		Funding sought for some bus service improvements.
3099.V2	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	189	49. Woodbury	203	W172 W172 - Land at Town Lane, Woodbury	Objects to allocation of Site W172 (and proposes new site W131). Considered that W172 would be too large and flood the market, access is poor, visual impacts would result, poor public transport and past applications have been refused.
7036.V1	Mr S Austin and Ms S Austin, Dr C Wood, Mr A Austin,	Savills (Dan Yeates): Mr Dan Yeates	O	189	49. Woodbury	203	W336 W336 - Land at Woodbury	Objection that Woodbury should be assigned a higher level of residential development and the most appropriate area for this additional growth lies on land east of Globe Hill I The Arch (Site W336).
3743.V45	Natural England		S	189	49. Woodbury	203		Woodbury: Satisfied that allocated site E157 could be located without harm to setting of AONB as well related to existing houses. Need to meet requirements of Habitat Regs.
7047.V2	Philip Glanvill, Sally Glanvill, Jennifer Goodall (Landowners)	Bell Cornwell LLP (J Terry, Hook): Mrs Jane Terry Associate	O	189	49. Woodbury	203	W326 W326 - land adj Orchard Hse, Globe Hill, Woodbury	Objection to plan - Woodbury: Policy 44 - Residential land development in Woodbury. Land to the rear of Orchard House, Globe Hill/Back of Gillbrook, should be included within the list of sites for residential development
3099.V4	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	190	49. Woodbury	205	W131 W131 - Land adj Parsonage Cottage, Woodbury	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate site W131 in Woodbury for residential development. The site can meet local needs, has public support, excellent access to local roads, easy walking access to facilities and close to public transport.
7046.V13	FWS Carter and	PCL Planning	O	192	50. Woodbury	207		Objection that Woodbury Salterton should provide for further growth. It is

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
	Sons Ltd	Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton			Salterton			within the Exeter sub market area, has reasonable level of services, is not affected by landscape designations and is walking distance to Greendale Business park.
7032.V1	Brown, Mr Gerald		O	193	50. Woodbury Salterton	207		Would like Plan to be amended to include a concession for building outside the BUAB if suitable sites are available for bungalow type dwellings for retired people.
7046.V14	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	193	50. Woodbury Salterton	207	X006 X006 Land South of Sages Lea, Woodbury Salterton	Objection to inclusion of Site against allocations as it is retrospective counting of a permission and the plan does not actively seek to provide for housing and boost significantly supply of housing in line with NPPF para 47.
7046.V15	FWS Carter and Sons Ltd	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter): Mr David Seaton	O	193	50. Woodbury Salterton	207	W151 W151 - Land adj Sages Lea, Woodbury Salterton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate Site W151 for development at Woodbury Salterton. The site forms a logical extension to X006 and is close to facilities in the village, abuts existing development and has road access.
3743.V46	Natural England		C	193	50. Woodbury Salterton	207		No comments made
6751.V1	Strategic Land Partnerships (No Name Contact - D Seaton PCL - Agents)	PCL Planning Ltd (D Seaton, Exeter):	O	193	50. Woodbury Salterton	207	W112 W112 - Former sewerage works, Woodbury Salterton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate land at the former sewerage treatment plant in Woodbury Salterton to help secure additional residential development.
3099.V3	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	194	50. Woodbury Salterton	209	X006 X006 Land South of Sages Lea, Woodbury Salterton	Objection to the allocation of site X006 and proposes a new alternative site. X006 is seen as inappropriate being accessed through the residential area of Sages Lea with adverse traffic impacts likely to be the result.
3099.V11	Exeter Diocesan Board of Finance Ltd	Smith Gore (C Dixon, Exeter): Charles Dixon	O	194	50. Woodbury Salterton	209	3099 V11 Glebe Field, off Village Rd, Woodbury Salterton	Objection to the failure of the plan to allocate land Glebe Field off Village Rd in Woodbury Salterton for residential development. The site offers good pedestrian access, is close to facilities and development would have low impacts.
6737.V7	Devon County Council		O	196	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		Mentions Local Plan policies of relevance in 51.2, these should be named and summarised.
6951.V2	Freeman, Mr Paul		O	196	51. Smaller Vilalges and	211		List of Smaller Villages and Hamlets does not include Fairmile, Taleford etc. nor the parish of Escot. The LDP should be explicit about the development

Repn Number	Representor Name	Agent If Used	Type	Ref Point Number	Chapter	Page No	Site	Officer Summary of Representation
					Hamlets			policies for these and other villages / hamlets not currently named explicitly.
8.V7	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	196	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		Objection that the proposed policy would permit up to 15 new dwellings as additions to our smallest settlements. This is unacceptable.
40.V4	Axmouth Parish Council		S	197	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		For the purposes of assessing housing needs, we accept the grouping of Axmouth Parish with those of Combpyne Rousdon and Uplyme .
7114.V2	Blue Cedar Homes		O	197	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		We object to Strategy 35 which sets out the Council's approach to Mixed Market and Affordable Housing outside Built-up Area Boundaries. We support the principle of such a policy, but consider the policy as drafted to be overly onerous and inflexible.
165.V1	Chardstock Parish Council		O	197	16. Chardstock	211		Page 212 of the draft Villages plan, it says that Chardstock is grouped with All Saints, Membury and Yarcombe for assessing housing needs. What does this really mean, and how does it affect our allocation of 10 houses?
165.V2	Chardstock Parish Council		O	197	16. Chardstock	211	E093 E093 - Land at Tytherleigh, Axminster	The Landscape Assessment document CHA002, that forms part of the document library, includes an assessment of Site E093. This is not a SHLAA site and does not appear on any of the Parish maps as such. Can this section of the document be removed?
977.V1	Community Council of Devon		O	197	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		Some villages may not have a school, shop etc but still be very sustainable with access to home delivery of groceries, many clubs & activities for young people etc. Individual sustainability appraisals are a more balanced approach than counting facilities
28.V8	East Devon Group CPRE		S	197	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		Support for Strategy 35 as an exception policy to provide for affordable housing where there is a proven local need.
8.V8	Ottery St Mary Town Council		O	197	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	211		Paragraph 51.6 links Ottery St Mary & Aylesbeare for the purposes of assessing the need for and the provision of affordable housing. There is no reason why the parishes should be grouped in this way.
6947.V5	Mills, Maureen		O	198	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	213		The Localism Act is a farce as there is generally a dearth of skilled professionals locally. An equal partnership with assistance from EDDC would be appreciated.
3743.V48	Natural England		C	199	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	213		Note Neighbourhood Plans in progress. If NP adopted after Local Plan it can rely on HRA of the EDLP provided development not over and above LP. If NP in advance of LP then not rely on HRA of the LP as not been through examination.
7040.V10	Devon Senior Voice		S	203	51. Smaller Vilalges and Hamlets	215		DSV welcomes this informative chapter which sets out a range of options available to those communities that do not contain any settlements with built-up areas as set out in the emerging East Devon Local Plan.

Appendix 2 – Site Maps

Villages Plan Consultation 2014 - Sites featuring in representations