

www.ianduse.co.ui

East Devon Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal Report: Non-Technical Summary

Prepared by LUC August 2015 **Project Title**: Sustainability Appraisal of East Devon Local Plan

Client: East Devon District Council

Version	Date	Version Details	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by Principal
1	13/07/12	Non-Technical Summary of the SA Report for the East Devon Local Plan (Proposed Submission Draft)	Kate Nicholls	Taran Livingston	Robert Deane
2	02/11/12	Non-Technical Summary of the SA Report for the East Devon Local Plan (Publication Draft)	Kate Nicholls	Taran Livingston	Robert Deane
3	25/08/15	Non-Technical Summary of the SA Report for the East Devon Local Plan	Kate Nicholls	Taran Livingston	Taran Livingston

East Devon Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal Report: Non-Technical Summary

Prepared by LUC August 2015



FS 566056 EMS 566057

Contents

1
1
2
5
6
9
10
11
15
27
33
38
48
51
56

Introduction

- 1.1 This Sustainability Appraisal Report (Non-Technical Summary) relates to the East Devon Local Plan, which is being produced by East Devon District Council (EDDC). The Local Plan sets out the long-term spatial vision and objectives for the District and the policies that are required to deliver that vision over the period up to 2031.
- 1.2 EDDC previously started preparing a Local Development Framework (LDF) Core Strategy to replace the existing Local Plan which was adopted in 2006, but following changes to national planning policy the Council decided to switch to the production of a new-style Local Plan instead of the Core Strategy. However, the work that was undertaken in relation to the Core Strategy was drawn on heavily and formed the basis of the work to prepare the Local Plan.
- 1.3 Plans and strategies such as the East Devon Local Plan are subject to a process called Sustainability Appraisal (SA), which assesses the likely effects of a plan on social, economic, and environmental issues. East Devon District Council commissioned independent consultants (LUC) to carry out SA of the Local Plan on its behalf. This Non-Technical Summary relates to the full SA Report for the current version of the Local Plan. The current Local Plan comprises the version that was submitted to the Secretary of State for examination in August 2013, also taking into account two sets of proposed changes to the Local Plan policies that were published by the Council in April and August 2015.

The East Devon Local Plan

- 1.4 Part 1 of the Local Plan sets out strategic policies for the District (including site allocations for residential, employment and mixed-use developments), within the following sections:
 - Spatial Strategy
 - Development of East Devon's West End
 - Axminster
 - Budleigh Salterton
 - Exmouth
 - Honiton
 - Ottery St Mary
 - Seaton
 - Sidmouth
 - Smaller Towns, Villages and Countryside
 - Thriving Communities
 - Climate Change and Renewable Energy
 - Our Outstanding Natural, Built and Historic Environment
 - Delivery and Infrastructure Provision
- 1.5 The final part of Part 1 of the Local Plan then sets out information on monitoring, which describes how the implementation of the policies will be measured.

1

- 1.6 Part 2 of the Local Plan sets out more specific development management policies, within the following sections:
 - Design Standards
 - The Natural and Historic Environment
 - New Residential Development

- Employment, Economic Development, Retail and Tourism
- Recreation and Community Facilities
- Transportation and Communications
- 1.7 The final section of the Local Plan (Part 3) provides information about the production of Neighbourhood Plans.

Sustainability Appraisal

- 1.8 EDDC is required by law to carry out Sustainability Appraisal and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Local Plan, and appointed LUC to undertake this work on its behalf. The Government recommends that these two legal requirements are met through one integrated process, referred to as Sustainability Appraisal (or SA).
- 1.9 The purpose of SA is to promote sustainable development through the better integration of sustainability considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans. It should be viewed as an integral part of good plan making, involving ongoing iterations to identify and report on the likely social, economic and environmental effects of the plan and the extent to which sustainable development is expected to be achieved through its implementation.
- 1.10 This Non-Technical Summary relates to the full SA Report for the East Devon Local Plan (August 2015). The SA has been undertaken in stages alongside the preparation of the Local Plan (previously the Core Strategy) in order to provide sustainability guidance as the plan is developed.
- 1.11 SA must be carried out in accordance with Government guidance and (as an integrated SA and SEA process is being undertaken) must meet the requirements of the European Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive¹. The approach that has been taken to the SA of the East Devon Local Plan is described below.

Stage A: Setting the context and objectives, establishing the baseline and deciding on scope

- 1.12 The SA process started in 2008 when EDDC produced a SA Scoping Report for the Local Development Framework documents that were being produced at that time, including the Core Strategy (which has now been replaced by the Local Plan). The Scoping Report determined what the SA should cover by reviewing a wide range of relevant policy documents (including international, national and local policies), and by examining data to help identify what the key sustainability issues are in East Devon District as well as likely future trends.
- 1.13 The Scoping Report was issued in December 2008 for consultation with various stakeholders including the three statutory consultees that existed at the time Natural England, the Environment Agency and English Heritage (now Historic England). Comments received from those organisations were analysed by EDDC and any necessary amendments were made to the Scoping Report.
- 1.14 LUC was commissioned in September 2009 by EDDC to undertake an evaluation of the LDF Scoping Report that the Council had produced and to complete the remainder of the SA process on behalf of the Council. The findings of LUC's evaluation were presented in an Evaluation Report (October 2009). No major issues were identified in relation to the Scoping Report that could not be addressed later in the SA process, apart from the need to ensure that there is a clear link between the baseline information (i.e. the description of the current state of the environment and social and economic issues in East Devon) and the SA objectives. Some additional work was carried out in order to strengthen the key sustainability issues that had been identified and to help demonstrate this link.
- 1.15 The tasks that were originally undertaken in relation to the SA Scoping Report have been updated where necessary throughout the SA process for the East Devon Core Strategy and Local Plan, in

 $^{^{1}}$ European Directive 2001/42/EC 'on the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment'.

order to make sure that the policy context and baseline information remain up to date. The baseline information for East Devon and the review of relevant plans, policies and programmes have been updated again during the preparation of the full SA report and this Non-Technical Summary and are presented in Appendices 1 and 2 in the full SA Report.

Stage B: Developing and refining alternatives and assessing their effects, and Stage C: Assessing the effects of the Local Plan and preparing the Sustainability Appraisal Report

1.16 Developing options for a plan is an iterative process which usually involves a number of consultations with stakeholders and the public. The SA process can help to identify where there may be other 'reasonable alternatives' to the options being considered for the policies and site allocations to be included in a plan. The reasonable alternative options that have been considered for East Devon's Core Strategy and subsequent Local Plan have included potential strategic areas for new housing and employment development, as well as alternative policy approaches, and there have been a number of stages in developing and refining the plan options as described below.

SA of the Core Strategy Issues and Options (2008-2009)

- 1.17 East Devon District Council began to look at alternative ways to address issues in the District in 2008 and produced an Issues and Options version of the Core Strategy in 2008. These alternative options were subject to the SA process and the results of the appraisal were fed back to the team developing the Core Strategy to help improve its sustainability effects.
- 1.18 LUC conducted a workshop in December 2009 with EDDC officers and Members, in order to discuss the SA process and the findings to date. The outcomes of the workshop then fed into the final SA report² for the Issues and Options version of the Core Strategy.

SA of the Preferred Approaches of the Core Strategy (2010-2011)

1.19 Having taken on board the consultation responses received in relation to the Issues and Options version of the Core Strategy and the findings of the SA, EDDC prepared the "Preferred Approaches" version of the Core Strategy in autumn 2010. The Preferred Approaches were the options that EDDC considered to be the right ones to help deliver the aims of the Core Strategy. The Preferred Approaches were subject to SA with the findings reported in an updated SA Report³, and this work was taken into account to inform the development of the next version of the Plan.

SA of the Consultation Draft Local Plan (2011-2012)

- 1.20 Following the production of the Preferred Approaches version of the Core Strategy, EDDC made the decision to pursue the production of a 'new-style' Local Plan (in line with Government changes to the local plan making process at the time). The outcomes of the Preferred Approaches consultation and the SA work undertaken in relation to that document helped to inform the production of a Consultation Draft of the new Local Plan, which was published for consultation between December 2011 and January 2012.
- 1.21 During the consultation period, the Consultation Draft of the new Local Plan was subject to SA and the findings of the appraisal were reported to the Council in the form of an SA summary note, which outlined the key findings and recommendations. This, along with the outcomes of the public consultation, helped to inform the production of the next iteration of the new Local Plan, the Proposed Submission Draft.

SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May-July 2012)

1.22 The Council produced the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan in May 2012, and the SA work that had been carried out in relation to the 2011 Consultation Draft Local Plan was updated to reflect the changes made to the policies in the plan. The findings were described in an updated version of the SA report which was sent to the Council in June 2012, but which was not published.

 $^{^2}$ Interim Sustainability Appraisal of the East Devon Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Document. Prepared for east Devon District Council by Land Use Consultants, June 2010.

³ East Devon Core Strategy Preferred Approaches Consultation Sustainability Appraisal. Prepared for East Devon District Council by Land Use Consultants, September 2010.

1.23 While carrying out the SA work on that version of the Local Plan, the SA team advised EDDC that in order to meet the legal requirements for the SA, it was necessary to consider whether there were any reasonable alternative approaches to the proposed development management policies set out in Part 2 of the plan. EDDC officers, with assistance from LUC, identified where reasonable alternatives to the development management policies existed, and these were also appraised to identify any likely significant sustainability effects. The findings were incorporated in a further update of the SA report (which was still draft at this stage).

SA of the Publication Draft Local Plan (August-October 2012)

- 1.24 As a result of the SA work and some recommendations made by East Devon's Development Management Committee in summer 2012, the Council made a small number of changes to the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan which led to the production of the Publication Draft Local Plan (November 2012). The SA matrices for the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan were updated to reflect the changes made to the plan.
- 1.25 In addition to the strategic and development management policies, the specific site allocations included in the Local Plan were at this stage subject to SA, along with the reasonable alternative options that were considered by EDDC.
- 1.26 The SA report for the Publication Draft Local Plan was published alongside the consultation on the Local Plan between November 2012 and January 2013. An SA Addendum was also produced inhouse by EDDC in October 2012 and was published alongside the Publication Draft Local Plan and LUC's full SA Report. That SA Addendum presented the findings of some SA work that the Council had undertaken in-house, in relation to development site options in the West End of the District and along the A3052 corridor⁴, including reasonable alternative options.

Submission of the Local Plan (2013)

1.27 Following the consultation on the Publication Draft Local Plan and the SA documents, EDDC made a number of changes to the Local Plan before it was submitted to the Secretary of State for examination. These changes were generally minor and no entirely new policies or site allocations were added to the Plan at this stge. Therefore, the Submission version of the Local Plan was very similar to the Publication Draft version and a fully updated SA report was not considered necessary. Instead, the implications of those changes for the SA findings reported previously were addressed through the production of an SA Addendum (July 2013). The July 2013 SA Addendum and October 2012 SA Report were submitted to the Secretary of State alongside the Local Plan in August 2013.

Examination of the Local Plan (2013-2015)

1.28 Initial examination hearing sessions for the Local Plan were held between February and March 2014. The Inspector then called for a suspension of the examination process while further evidence gathering was undertaken. This included the preparation of an up to date assessment of how much new housing was needed in East Devon.

Proposed Changes (April 2015)

- 1.29 Once the additional technical assessment work requested by the Inspector was nearly complete, the Council identified a number of alternative options for potential policy changes to the Local Plan that it considered would be required as a result of the updated evidence. These options were subject to SA by LUC in February 2015 and the findings were reported in an SA Addendum (February 2015), which was used internally by the Council. The findings of that SA work contributed to the development of a set of detailed proposed changes which were also subject to SA by LUC and the findings were reported in an SA Addendum (April 2015), which was published for consultation alongside the Proposed Changes to the Local Plan.
- 1.30 The purpose of the SA Addendum was to consider whether the proposed changes to the policies in the Local Plan would affect the earlier findings of the SA. The SA findings reported on previously by LUC on behalf of EDDC (in the October 2012 SA Report and updated in the July 2013 SA Addendum) were referred back to and a conclusion was reached regarding whether and how the

⁴ Note that, as described in the October 2012 SA Addendum, none of the A3052 corridor sites were considered to be reasonable options for strategic development sites. Therefore, they were not required to be subject to SA and all appraisal work in the SA Addendum related to the West End site options only.

proposed changes would affect those conclusions. Consideration was also given to the revised cumulative effects of the Local Plan as a whole on the SA objectives, taking into account the proposed changes.

Further proposed changes (August 2015) - current stage

- 1.31 Following the consultation on the proposed changes in April 2015, Examination hearings for the Local Plan resumed in early July 2015. As a result of discussions that took place during the hearings, recent changes to Government policy, and in response to specific concerns raised by Natural England, EDDC has now proposed some further changes to the Local Plan.
- 1.32 The implications of these further proposed changes for the SA findings to date have been considered by LUC. In response to consultation comments received from Natural England in relation to the SA process for the Local Plan, the SA work carried out to date has been collated into a full Updated SA Report (which this Non-Technical Summary relates to). The full SA report and this Non-Technical Summary comprise updated versions of the previous full SA report and Non-Technical Summary (October 2012) and incorporate the findings of the SA work undertaken since then (set out in different SA Addendum reports), as described in the sections above.

Stage D: Consultation on the Local Plan and on the Sustainability Appraisal Report

1.33 EDDC has previously consulted on earlier versions of the Local Plan and the SA work, as described above, including the Publication Draft Local Plan and accompanying full SA Report prior to submission of the Local Plan to the Secretary of State in August 2013. Since then, a consultation on proposed changes to the Local Plan took place between April and June 2015. The consultation on further proposed changes to the Local Plan arising since the hearings held in July 2015 will be supported by the full updated SA Report and this Non-Technical Summary, both of which will be available for comment.

Stage E: Monitoring the significant effects of implementing the Local Plan

1.34 Proposals for monitoring the sustainability effects of the new Local Plan are set out Chapter 7 of the full SA Report and described further ahead in this Non-Technical Summary.

Policy Context

- 1.35 There are a large number of plans and programmes that could be relevant to the preparation of the East Devon Local Plan. In particular, the Local Plan must adhere to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which replaced the suite of Planning Policy Statements and Planning Policy Guidance in 2012.
- 1.36 The Local Plan should provide a spatial expression of other plans and programmes where relevant, to assist in their implementation. It must also conform to environmental protection legislation and the sustainability objectives established at an international, national and regional level. In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, relevant international, national, regional, sub-regional and local plans have been reviewed in detail in relation to their objectives, targets and indicators and their implications for the Local Plan and the Sustainability Appraisal. The full review can be seen in Appendix 1 of the full SA Report.
- 1.37 The most significant development in terms of the policy context for the Local Plan has been the publication of the NPPF in 2012, which streamlined national planning policy. In addition, the removal of the regional tier of government and planning, including the revocation of the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West, has meant that the regional level plans, policies and programmes are no longer relevant and have therefore been removed from the review in Appendix 1 of the full SA Report.
- 1.38 The new East Devon Local Plan must be consistent with the requirements of the NPPF, which sets out information about the purposes of local plan-making. It states that:
 - "Local Plans must be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. To this end, they should be consistent with the principles and policies set out in this Framework, including the presumption in favour of sustainable development."

- 1.39 The NPPF also requires Local Plans to be 'aspirational but realistic'. This means that opportunities for appropriate development should be identified in order to achieve net gains in terms of sustainable social, environmental and economic development; however significant adverse impacts in any of those areas should not be allowed to occur.
- 1.40 The NPPF requires local planning authorities to set out the strategic priorities for the area in the Local Plan. This should include strategic policies to deliver:
 - the homes and jobs needed in the area;
 - the provision of retail, leisure and other commercial development;
 - the provision of infrastructure for transport, telecommunications, waste management, water supply, wastewater, flood risk and coastal change management, and the provision of minerals and energy (including heat);
 - the provision of health, security, community and cultural infrastructure and other local facilities; and
 - climate change mitigation and adaptation, conservation and enhancement of the natural and historic environment, including landscape.
- 1.41 In addition, Local Plans should:
 - plan positively for the development and infrastructure required in the area to meet the objectives, principles and policies of this Framework;
 - be drawn up over an appropriate time scale, preferably a 15-year time horizon, take account of longer term requirements, and be kept up to date;
 - be based on co-operation with neighbouring authorities, public, voluntary and private sector organisations;
 - indicate broad locations for strategic development on a key diagram and land-use designations on a proposals map;
 - allocate sites to promote development and flexible use of land, bringing forward new land where necessary, and provide detail on form, scale, access and quantum of development where appropriate;
 - identify areas where it may be necessary to limit freedom to change the uses of buildings, and support such restrictions with a clear explanation;
 - identify land where development would be inappropriate, for instance because of its environmental or historic significance; and
 - contain a clear strategy for enhancing the natural, built and historic environment, and supporting Nature Improvement Areas where they have been identified.

Baseline Information and Key Sustainability Issues

1.42 In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, consideration has been given to the current state of the environment in East Devon District. The detailed baseline information is presented in Appendix 2 of the full SA Report, and has been regularly updated by EDDC and LUC throughout the SA process in order to ensure that it remains up to date. As well as environmental issues, social and economic issues in the District are described. That baseline information contributed to the identification of a set of key sustainability issues for East Devon, which in turn helped to develop a locally appropriate SA framework (i.e. a set of SA objectives) for appraising the emerging Local Plan policies. The key sustainability issues that have been identified from the baseline information are presented within the SA themes below.

Healthy and Prosperous Communities

Housing

1.43 House prices in East Devon are very high in relation to wages, which are lower than the national average. This issue is compounded by a limited supply of affordable housing in the District - The

- latest Strategic Housing Market Assessment⁵ identifies a need for 272 affordable dwellings per annum in East Devon between 2013 and 2033. There have, however, been a considerable number of affordable houses built in East Devon in recent years.
- 1.44 The average household size in East Devon is smaller than the national average at 2.08 persons per dwelling and is continuing to fall.
- 1.45 There are high levels of home ownership in the District (70%) and only 9% of the population lives in social rented dwellings.

Social Inclusiveness

- In the more remote rural areas and small towns of East Devon, geographical isolation and 1.46 dependence on low value and seasonal work are key contributing factors to deprivation. However, in those rural areas with easy commuting and access to centres of employment, deprivation levels tend to be far lower.
- 1.47 Market and coastal towns are of particular importance in East Devon in terms of serving their resident communities and the wider surrounding areas.

Population and Health

- 1.48 The total population of East Devon is expected to grow by 11% to 151,300 by 20336.
- 1.49 East Devon is characterised by an elderly population profile, particularly in the coastal towns. Around 28% of the population is of retirement age, compared to 19.3% across England and Wales.
- 1.50 The District has a generally low population density; however there is significant variation between the rural and urban areas of East Devon in this sense.
- 1.51 The vast majority of people in East Devon are white (98.3%) and the District is less diverse than Britain overall).

Settlement 'Liveability'

- 1.52 East Devon experiences lower than average crime rates but despite this there is a high fear of crime, particularly threats such as drug and alcohol abuse, domestic violence and anti-social behaviour.
- 1.53 Index of multiple deprivation data shows East Devon to have generally low levels of deprivation compared to the UK as a whole, although employment and income deprivation scores for the District are comparatively poor.
- 1.54 Relatively low overall deprivation levels for East Devon hide pockets of deprivation, for example-in parts of Exmouth in the south west of the District.

Environmental Protection and Enhancement

Built Heritage and Landscape

- 1.55 Around 66% of the District is covered by two AONB designations – East Devon and the Blackdown Hills. In addition, a very small part of the Dorset AONB falls within the District.
- 1.56 There is significant archaeological heritage within East Devon, both land and shore-based. There are 132 Scheduled Monuments in East Devon and over 3,000 listed buildings. Most are privately owned residential buildings and most are in good condition, although a significant minority have defects and show signs of deterioration.
- Recent housing developments have often been unsympathetic to local vernacular styles and 1.57 materials, for example the bungalows built in coastal towns. In Honiton and Exmouth, where residential growth has been particularly substantial, a large number of newer properties can be seen to pay little respect to traditional local styles.

 $^{^{5}}$ Exeter Housing Market Area Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2014/2015

⁶ Devon County Council, Area Profile for East Devon

Biodiversity

- 1.58 East Devon is a district of significant biodiversity and nature conservation value there are 24 SSSIs, four SACs and two SPAs, as well as a number of non-designated sites which hold high ecological value, including broadleaved woodland, rivers and streams and maritime cliffs and slopes.
- 1.59 The District is a nationally important area for winter roosting bird species in areas such as the Axe and Exe Estuaries.

Air, Soil and Water Quality

- 1.60 A number of potentially significant sources of air pollution exist in and around East Devon, including Exeter International Airport, industrial sites in bordering authorities, petrol stations and road traffic on the M5 motorway and the local road network. There is one Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) which runs along the High Street through Honiton.
- 1.61 East Devon has limited pockets of contaminated land as the District has not had a history of intensive urban growth or industrialisation. The percentage of housing built on previously developed land in East Devon has generally increased over recent years, but particularly with the rapid development of Cranbrook on a greenfield site, and with it likely to account for a significant proportion of new homes being built in the years ahead, the expectation is of a shift towards more greenfield development.

Transport

- 1.62 Car use for travelling to and from work is marginally higher in East Devon than across England as a whole and public transport use is significantly lower.
- 1.63 Although many residents have good access to transport links, particularly in the western part of the District which lies at a strategic transport node, there are rural parts of East Devon which are accessed by remote lanes and have very poor public transport services.
- 1.64 Improvements to Junction 29 of the M5 have recently been made, to support new developments planned in the western part of East Devon, such as a new community at Cranbrook, a new terminal for Exeter Airport and the expansion of Skypark, in order to accommodate increasing volumes of traffic.

Resource Consumption and Climate Change

Energy Consumption

1.65 The south west region currently generates 14% of its electricity needs from renewable sources, with installed renewable energy capacity in East Devon in 2014/15 being 81.62MW, made up of 3,715 projects, 85% of which were solar energy installations

Waste

1.66 Recycling rates in East Devon are in line with the average across Devon, although there remains an ongoing drive to increase levels of recycling and composting.

Climate Change

- 1.67 The biggest contributors to CO² emissions in East Devon are the road transport and domestic sectors at 318.6kt and 290.3kt respectively in the year 2013. This is characteristic of a predominantly rural area with a high reliance on road transport.
- 1.68 Incidences of flooding in East Devon are expected to increase with projected sea level rises and the onset of wetter winters. Areas of land classified as Flood Zones 2 and 3 are identified around all of the main rivers in the District as well as many of their tributaries.

Economic Growth, Education and Employment

Economic Growth

- 1.69 There is a high demand for development land to serve the sub-regional role of Exeter, affecting planning policy and land allocations in East Devon.
- 1.70 Unemployment in East Devon is currently running at 3.2% of the economically active population. This is much lower than the national average of 6%.

- 1.71 A high proportion of jobs in the District are in the tourism sector, which is often poorly paid seasonal work with limited opportunities for training and progression.
- 1.72 Many of the better paid residents of East Devon commute to jobs beyond the District boundary, particularly in Exeter.

Evolution without the Plan

1.73 The SEA Regulations require that consideration is given to the likely evolution of the environment in the Plan area if the Local Plan were not to be implemented. This information is set out in **Table**1 below in relation to the key sustainability issues identified through the review of baseline information.

Table 1: The Likely Evolution of East Devon without Implementation of the Local Plan

Current Trend	Likely Evolution without the Local Plan
	erous Communities
House prices are high in proportion to wages and there is a lack of affordable housing	An ongoing lack of affordable housing would lead to many people (e.g. first time buyers and lower paid workers) increasingly being priced out of the market and the population profile of the District may become distorted (potentially even more dominated by richer/elderly people). This may have secondary effects on the economy reducing the District's ability to attract key workers in particular.
Many people in more rural parts of the District are geographically isolated and employment opportunities are limited.	High levels of commuting may lead to smaller towns and villages increasingly becoming 'dormitories' and becoming less viable, whilst road traffic would remain high with the associated impacts on air pollution etc. This may also exacerbate the ageing population profile of the District as young people move outside the District to find work.
The population profile of East Devon includes a higher than average proportion of elderly people.	Without the availability of employment opportunities and affordable housing in the more rural parts of East Devon, the small towns are likely to see a continuation of this trend.
	tion and Enhancement
Levels of private car use are high and levels of public transport are significantly lower than the national average.	Ongoing poor provision and use of public transport may cause the more rural parts of the District to become increasingly isolated in terms of access to employment opportunities and community services and facilities, whilst high car use will increase levels of air pollution and noise disturbance.
East Devon has a high quality and sensitive natural environment, with around 66% of the District covered by two AONB designations – East Devon and the Blackdown Hills, plus 24 SSSIs, four SACs and two SPAs in the District	Without the Local Plan policies new development may occur in sensitive parts of the District, or without sufficient measures in place to mitigate potential adverse effects.
	n and Climate Change
Incidences of flooding are expected to increase as a result of climate change.	Development already situated in areas of high flood risk, e.g. around the main rivers and their tributaries, would be likely to experience increasing problems with flooding and the associated social and economic problems. Existing developments in such areas may

Current Trend	Likely Evolution without the Local Plan
	reduce in value due to these issues.
Economic Growth, Edu	cation and Employment
A high proportion of employment opportunities in the District are in the tourism sector which is generally poorly paid and low-skilled.	The tourism industry would be likely to remain one of the key employers within East Devon District, which leaves the District vulnerable to any structural changes in the economy.
Many of the better paid residents of East Devon commute to jobs outside of the District boundary, particularly in Exeter.	Ongoing limited employment opportunities within East Devon would mean that commuting levels are likely to remain high, with the associated issues surrounding congestion and air pollution.

Method and Sustainability Appraisal Framework

1.74 The review of relevant plans, policies and programmes and the collation of baseline data helped to identify key sustainability issues for East Devon District, as described above. These key sustainability issues, and input from stakeholders, provided the basis for developing a set of SA objectives. The SA objectives, which have been the main tool used at each stage of the SA for assessing the likely effects of the options and draft policies in the Local Plan (and previously the Core Strategy), are set out in **Table 2** below.

Table 2: SA Framework for the East Devon Local Plan

		-		
SA	Oh	iec	tiv	29

- 1. To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home.
- 2. To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services.
- 3. To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to:
- i. meet the needs of the local population, and ii. meet local employment needs
- 4. To improve the population's health
- 5. To reduce crime and fear of crime.
- 6. To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution.
- 7. To maintain and improve cultural, social and leisure provision.
- 8. To maintain and enhance built and historic assets.
- 9. To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon.
- 10. To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment.
- 11. To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon.
- 12. To promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths.
- 13. To maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality.
- 14. To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases.
- 15. To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding.
- 16. To ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible.
- 17. To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal.
- 18. To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce.
- 19. To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon.
- 20. To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment.

Use of the SA Framework

1.75 Within the assessment matrices showing the potential sustainability effects of the Local Plan policies, symbols and colour-coding have been used against each SA objective to show whether an effect is likely to be minor or significant, positive or negative, or uncertain, as follows:

Figure 3: Key to SA scores

++	Significant positive effect
+	Minor positive effect
0	Negligible effect
-	Minor negative effect
	Significant positive effect
?	Uncertain effect
+/-	Mixed effect

- 1.76 The potential effects of the Local Plan need to be determined and their significance assessed, which requires a series of judgments to be made. Attempts have been made to differentiate between the most significant effects and other more minor effects through the use of the symbols shown above. The dividing line in making a decision about the significance of an effect is often quite small. Where either ++ or -- has been used to distinguish significant effects from more minor effects (+ or -) this is because the effect of the policy in question on an SA objective is considered to be of such magnitude that it will have a noticeable and measurable effect taking into account other factors that may influence the achievement of that SA objective.
- 1.77 The SEA Regulations require consideration of whether the potential effects predicted are likely to be secondary, cumulative, synergistic, short, medium or long-term, permanent or temporary. Where relevant, reference has been made to effects being either direct or indirect (the latter is taken to cover 'secondary' effects). Cumulative effects refer to the potential to increase overall effects as a result of one effect being added to another. The likely cumulative effects of the Local Plan policies are considered in Chapter 6 of the full SA Report and are summarised further ahead in this Non-Technical Summary. Consideration has also been given to the timescales over which effects are likely to occur, i.e. whether they will be short, medium or long-term.

Alternative Options

1.78 The SEA Regulations require that the reasons for choosing the policies in the Local Plan rather than the reasonable alternatives (which must also be considered) are described. This information is set out in detail in Chapter 4 in the full SA report, and a summary of the options considered and how they were subject to SA at each stage of the Local Plan preparation is provided below. When identifying the reasonable alternative options to be considered, any geographical, technological and financial constraints that exist must be recognised and considered in order to help determine if the options are in fact 'reasonable'.

Issues and Options stage (2008-2009)

- 1.79 EDDC produced a Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Report in 2008 which was subject to public consultation between December 2008 and February 2009. The report presented the draft spatial vision and overarching objectives for East Devon, outlined the issues identified as being of particular local importance and considered a range of options for how these may be addressed. The Council prepared and published a further Issues and Options consultation paper in November 2009 regarding an extra option for an urban extension to Exeter at Redhayes/Blackhorse which had not been included in the original Issues and Options Consultation report.
- 1.80 The alternatives that the Issues and Options Consultation Report addressed were all subject to SA where possible although for some of the Core Strategy issues, alternative options were not specified. In such cases a short description of the potential sustainability effects of those issues

- was provided, based on the supporting text included in the Issues and Options report. The SA work for the Issues and Options was presented in the Initial SA Report (August 2010) and the findings were taken on board by EDDC when developing the Preferred Approaches version of the Core Strategy (now replaced by the new Local Plan).
- Overall, sustainability considerations were well presented in the supporting text of the Issues and Options Consultation Report. However, it was recognised that many of the likely sustainability effects would be more easily determined as more specific policies were developed from the options and taken forward to form the draft plan. The key conclusions resulting from the SA in relation to each issue and option were presented in the Initial SA Report for the Issues and Options version of the Core Strategy (August 2010, available from EDDC upon request) and are summarised in Chapter 4 of the full SA Report.

Preferred Approaches stage (2010-2011)

- 1.82 The findings of the SA of the Issues and Options report contributed to the development of the Preferred Approaches version of the Core Strategy. That version of the plan was subject to SA and the outcomes of the appraisal contributed to the development of the policies set out in the Local Plan, which replaced the Core Strategy.
- 1.83 A number of recommendations were made in the SA Report for the Preferred Approaches version of the Core Strategy regarding amendments that could be made to the plan in order to improve its sustainability effects, several of which related to the need for an overarching development management policy to be included in the plan. Once it was restructured to also include a whole section of development management policies (Part 2 of the Local Plan), this issue was addressed.
- 1.84 Other recommendations made in the SA Report at the Preferred Approaches stage are summarised below. Note that the policy numbers referred to are those that were used in the Preferred Approaches document.
 - Where housing development is proposed, it should be consistently stated that community services/facilities will be provided to support the growing population, and it would be beneficial to make clear which services this will include.
 - Where developments are located in small communities and services are provided in existing key service centres, there should be a requirement for the impact on transport requirements and community viability to be addressed.
 - The proposals relating to green infrastructure and open space provision should make reference to the need to ensure that such spaces are designed and located in a way that will minimise any potential security concerns for users.
 - The Preferred Approaches relating to sustainable development (CS3) should include reference to maximising the proportion of development that takes place on previously developed land, and the use of previously developed buildings.
 - Preferred Approach CS7 should be amended to focus more strongly on the expansion and increased use of sustainable transport methods, and to make less of an allowance for continued car use.
 - The proposal for development at Axminster should make specific reference to the need to protect water quality at the River Axe, within the Environment section of the Preferred Approach.
 - The importance of the natural stores of organic carbon present in the District's heathland, pasture and woodland soils and in timber vegetation should be stated in Section 16 of the Core Strategy with specific reference in CS33.
 - The Preferred Approaches could be strengthened by stating explicitly within more of the spatial options that renewable energy will be incorporated into the development planned.
 - More recognition could be made within the Preferred Approaches of the potential benefits that can be achieved through employment provision in terms of education and skills development, for example within CS24 (future job and employment land provision) some reference could be made to the provision of work-based training opportunities.

Consultation Draft and Publication Draft Local Plan 2011-12

- 1.85 The strategic policies in the Consultation Draft Local Plan (December 2011) were developed taking into consideration the consultation responses received and the SA work carried out in relation to the Preferred Approaches. The strategic policies that were then presented in the next version of the Local Plan, the Publication Draft (November 2012) were in turn slightly amended versions of the Consultation Draft Local Plan policies, which had been revised to take into account consultation responses received and the SA work that was undertaken in relation to the Consultation Draft Plan and the interim Proposed Submission Draft (May 2012). Part 1 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) therefore consisted of strategic policies which had been developed from the Preferred Approaches set out in the last version of the Core Strategy.
- Part 2 of the Consultation Draft Local Plan (December 2011) presented development management policies which were taken from the adopted East Devon Local Plan (2006). The suite of development management policies presented in the Consultation Draft Local Plan were subject to consultation and the responses received, as well as the SA work that had been undertaken, fed into the preparation of the development management policies that were then set out in the Publication Draft Local Plan (November 2012). As these development management policies were generally criteria-based and must comply with national policy, in many cases no reasonable alternatives were identified. However, in order to ensure that the requirements of the SEA Regulations were met in relation to the consideration of reasonable alternatives, EDDC officers, with assistance from LUC, identified where reasonable alternatives to the development management policies existed. Further work to appraise alternative approaches to the development management policies was carried out prior to the consultation on the Publication Draft Local Plan. This work was presented in the SA Report for the Publication Draft Local Plan and is summarised in the full SA report.

Site Specific Allocations

1.87 The Publication Draft version of the Local Plan (November 2012) included a number of site allocations for residential, employment and mixed-use development. These site allocations were subject to SA along with the reasonable alternative site options, in line with the requirements of the SEA Directive. The findings of the appraisal of site options were described in the October 2012 SA Report for the Publication Draft Local Plan and were the same as the effects described in Chapter 5 of the full SA report and further ahead in this Non-Technical Summary. This is because, while some changes have been made to the sites that are allocated in the Local Plan and those that are reasonable alternatives, the SA findings for each site have not changed and no new site options have been identified since the 2012 SA Report was produced.

West End and A3052 Site Options

- An SA Addendum was also produced in-house by EDDC in October 2012 and was published alongside the Publication Draft Local Plan and LUC's full SA Report. That SA Addendum related to the development site options in the West End of East Devon District and along the A3052 corridor⁷, including reasonable alternative options. It was produced because the appraisal of those specific site options was not included in the full October 2012 SA Report. While the West End site allocations had been considered within the SA Report as part of the appraisal of the strategic policies within which they are allocated⁸, the SA Addendum sought to ensure that all reasonable alternative options to those sites were also appraised robustly. It also ensured that each of the West End sites were subject to SA on their own merits (e.g. without taking into account other elements of the strategic policies in which they were allocated), in the same way that the site allocations for each of the market towns had been.
- 1.89 This work was later revised by LUC as part of the preparation of the SA Addendum for the Submission Local Plan in 2013 (see below).

⁷ Note that, as described in the October 2012 SA Addendum, none of the A3052 corridor sites were considered to be reasonable options for strategic development sites. Therefore, they were not required to be subject to SA and all appraisal work in the SA Addendum related to the West End site options only.

⁸ The Local Plan includes a number of strategic policies relating to development in East Devon's West End, with a policy for each broad location (e.g. Cranbrook, Pinhoe, North of Blackhorse). Within the strategic policy for each broad development location, one or more site specific allocations are made, along with some other background information about the provision of services, infrastructure etc. to be incorporated into the overall development.

Submission Local Plan 2013

- 1.90 Before it was submitted to the Secretary of State for examination, a small number of changes were made to the policies in the Publication Draft version of the Local Plan. The Submission version of the Local Plan was very similar to the Publication Draft version, although a number of amendments were made to the wording of some of the policies. Those changes were generally minor and no entirely new policies or site allocations were added to the Plan. Therefore, a fully updated SA report was not considered necessary and the implications of those changes in terms of the SA were addressed through the production of an SA Addendum, which was submitted along with the Local Plan.
- 1.91 Note that the SA findings described in the July 2013 SA Addendum (and summarised below) have now been incorporated into the fully updated SA report which this Non-Technical Summary relates to and have been updated where relevant to reflect the further changes that have been proposed to the Local Plan since Submission (as described below).
 - SA implications of changes to the Local Plan prior to Submission
- 1.92 The minor wording changes that were made to the Local Plan policies prior to Submission in all cases made the SA scores more positive, particularly in relation to SA objectives 8 (historic environment) and 9 (landscape character), where a number of previously minor positive effects were now expected to be significant.
- 1.93 Potential but uncertain positive effects in relation to SA objectives 2 (access to services), 3 (education) and 4 (health) became more certain, and a number of previously negligible effects on SA objectives 3 (education), 6 (noise), 8 (historic environment), 9 (landscape), 11 (biodiversity), 12 (sustainable transport), 13 (environmental quality), 15 (flooding), 17 (waste) and 20 (encouraging inward investment) were now likely to be minor positive.
 - SA findings for the West End and A3052 site options
- 1.94 At this time, the SA work for the West End and A3052 Corridor site options that was previously presented in EDDC's SA Addendum (October 2012, see above) was revised in order to make it consistent with the SA work that had been undertaken previously by LUC in relation to other development site options in the District.
- 1.95 The reasonable alternative site options for the West End and A3052 Corridor that were identified by EDDC and subject to SA included nine sites at Cranbrook, four at Pinhoe, four at Blackhorse and a site at Exeter Airport (Exeter Business Park). The revised SA findings for those site options were described in the July 2013 SA Addendum and are also presented in Chapter 5 and Appendix 7 of the full SA report and further ahead in this Non-Technical Summary.

Examination 2013-2015

1.96 EDDC submitted the Local Plan to the Secretary of State for examination in August 2013. Initial examination hearings for the Local Plan took place in February and March 2014, following which the Inspector suspended the examination process and requested that the Council undertake some further evidence gathering. This included the preparation of an up-to-date assessment of how much new housing was needed in East Devon.

Proposed Changes (February-April 2015)

- 1.97 The additional technical assessment work requested by the Inspector resulted in the Council identifying a number of options for potential policy changes to the Local Plan as submitted. Those options were subject to SA and the findings reported in an SA addendum that was used internally by EDDC, with the findings then helping to inform decisions regarding which options to take forward. The SA matrices for the Proposed Changes Options are presented in Appendix 8 of the full SA report.
 - SA Addendum for Proposed Changes (April 2015)
- 1.98 The draft Proposed Changes to the Local Plan were then subject to SA by LUC in the form of a further SA Addendum, which described the implications of each proposed change for the earlier SA findings (i.e. those described in the October 2012 SA Report and updated through the July

- 2013 SA Addendum). The SA Addendum was published in April 2015 alongside the Proposed Changes during the consultation period.
- 1.99 Most of the Proposed Changes to the Local Plan involved amendments to policy wording, and in most cases the proposed wording amendments did not change the policy's meaning or its likely effects on the SA objectives. However, a number of changes to the SA findings were identified as a result of wording amendments, most of which made the likely effects identified previously more positive. Three previously significant negative effects (on SA objectives 11: biodiversity, 13: water quality and 6: noise were reduced to minor negative, and one new likely significant positive effect was introduced, in relation to SA objective 15: flood risk.
- 1.100 In addition to the changes to policy wording that were proposed, a small number of new policies were proposed and others deleted. The two new policies were subject to SA and the findings described in the April 2015 SA Addendum. The implications of the proposed deletion of 14 policies from the Local Plan were also described. The SA findings described in the April 2015 SA Addendum have now been incorporated into the full updated SA report and are reflected in this Non-Technical Summary.
- 1.101 The SA Addendum was published for consultation alongside the Proposed Changes between April and June 2015. Some of the responses received referred to the SA work, although in most cases the SA was referred to only to support a point being made by the consultee, rather than comments directly relating to the approach taken to the SA and the content of the SA Addendum. However, two consultees including Natural England, made a number of comments about the SA. Natural England was concerned that the SA work since the Publication Draft Local Plan consultation at the end of 2012 was set out in SA Addenda rather than a full SA report, and commented on the information sources used to inform the SA. The other consultee noted that the February 2015 SA Addendum, which appraised the spatial distribution options for additional housing provision, was not made available for consultation by EDDC. Those comments have been addressed through the production of a full Updated SA Report (which this Non-Technical Summary relates to), which describes the options considered and appraised since the Publication Draft Local Plan, and presents (in Chapters 5 and 6) the SA findings for the East Devon Local Plan as submitted, incorporating all of the proposed changes consulted upon since the Examination commenced.

Further Proposed Changes (August 2015)

1.102 Following further examination hearing sessions held in July 2015, EDDC has now proposed a number of further proposed changes to the Local Plan. EDDC sought to identify reasonable alternative options for these further proposed changes although in some cases the only alternative option identified was to not make changes to a policy (i.e. so that it would remain as it appeared in the submitted Local Plan, also taking into account the April 2015 proposed changes). The options considered in relation to each of the relevant policies are described in Chapter 4 in the full SA report and the SA findings in relation to the revised policies are reflected in the findings described in the full SA report and this Non-Technical Summary.

Likely Effects of the Site Options considered for the Local Plan

- 1.103 The allocations for employment, residential and mixed-use development sites at each town and at the West End are shown on the proposals maps and are made in the following policies:
 - Axminster Strategy 20
 - Exmouth Strategy 22
 - Honiton Strategy 23
 - Ottery St Mary Strategy 24
 - Seaton Strategy 25
 - Sidmouth Strategy 26
 - West End Sites Strategies 12, 13, 14 and 18

- Budleigh Salterton Strategy 21 (Note that since Submission of the Local Plan, the two site allocations at Budleigh Salterton have been granted planning permission, therefore, there are no longer any sites allocated at this town. However, a description of the SA findings for the reasonable alternative sites considered for Budleigh Salterton is included in this section for completeness.)
- 1.104 In order to make sure that the appraisals of sites are consistent, detailed assumptions about potential sustainability effects were developed and applied during the appraisal. The assumptions that were used for the appraisal of residential and employment sites can be found in Appendix 5 in the full SA report.
- 1.105 In line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations, as well as the sites that have been allocated in the Local Plan, all of the reasonable alternative sites considered for allocation in the Local Plan have also been subject to SA. The detailed appraisal matrices for the allocated sites and alternatives are presented in Appendix 7 of the full SA report and the findings are summarised in detail in Chapter 5 of that report. An audit trail is presented in Appendix 6 of the full SA report, showing why the allocated sites were selected and which alternative sites were discounted by EDDC. Maps showing the locations of all of the sites that were subject to SA can also be found in Appendix 6 of the full SA report.
- 1.106 **Tables 4-11** below present the SA scores for the site allocations in each of the towns of East Devon and at the West End, and the findings are summarised further ahead in this section.

Table 4: Summary of Axminster Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective	E105 Boundary 1	(015 (A & B)),	E057 Boundary 1	E057 Boundary 2	E105 Boundary 2	E133	E203	E208	E072	E100
	Allocated	sites	PP ⁹		A	lternati	ive sites	5		
1: Decent homes	++	0	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+
2: Community services	++	-	++	++	++	-	-	-	-	0?
3: Education and skills	++?	+	++?	++?	++?	+?	-?	+?	-?	-?
4: Health	++?	++	++	++?	++?	+	+	+	+	+
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	?	-?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-?	?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	++?	0	++	++	++?	+	+	+	+	+
8: Historic assets	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
9: Landscape Character	+/	+/-	+/	+/	+/	-			+/-	+/-
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?
11: Biodiversity	?	-?	?	?	?	-?	-?	?	-?	?
12: Sustainable transport	++/-	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+
13: Air, soil and water quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++	++	++?	++	+	+	+	+	++
15: Flood risk	-	-			-	-			-	-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction		-				-		-	-	-
18: Employment	++	+	++	++?	++	+?	+?	+?	+?	++
19: Vitality and viability of towns	++	+	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+
20: Encourage investment	+	+	+?	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	+?

_

⁹ PP – Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Table 5: Summary of Exmouth Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective				y 1	int											7				
	W147	420b	040(A)	W079 Boundary	Waterfront sites	3691	W007	W063	W074	W085	W111	W145	W146	W164	W211	W079 Boundary	W202	W315	W317	W170
	Allo	cated	sites	PP ¹⁰					,		Alte	ernativ	e sites	5			,			
1: Decent homes	++?	0	0	++	+?	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+
2: Community services	0	-	+	++	++	0	+	0	-	-	-	-	0	+	0	++	0	-	++	++
3: Education and skills	++?	+	+	++?	++?	+?	+?	+?	-?	-?	+?	+?	++ ?	-?	+?	++ ?	-?	-?	++ /-?	++
4: Health	++	++	++	+	++?	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	-?	0?	?	-?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	?	-?	-?	?	-?	-?	?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	+	0	0	++?	++	+	++	+	+?	+	+	+	+	+	+	++ ?	+	-?	++	++
8: Historic assets	?	-?	-?	?	?	-?	?	-?	?	?	?	?	?	?	-?	?	?	?	-?	?
9: Landscape Character	+/	-	-/+				+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-			 /+	+/-		+/-	+/-	+/-	+
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0
11: Biodiversity	-?	0?	0?	-?	?	?	0?	-?	-?	0?	0?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0?	?
12: Sustainable transport	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	++	+/-	+/-	+/- ?	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/- ?	++
13: Air, soil and water quality	-		0		0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-				0	0
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++	++	+?	++	++	++	++	+?	++	++	++	++	++	++	+?	+	+	++	++
15: Flood risk	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	0	0
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction		-	-				-	-	-	-	-				-		-	-	0	0
18: Employment	+?	+	+	+?	++	++	++	++	+?	++	++	++	++	++	++	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?
19: Vitality and viability of towns	++	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+
20: Encourage investment	0?	+	+	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+/- ?	0

¹⁰ PP – Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Table 6: Summary of Honiton Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective																
	4 7 1	8A (p)	011 (A, B C & D)	8B	9	9	7	4	н	N	4	4	4	8A (s	0	_
	E164 E322 E321	E158A (Emp)	3 C	E158B	E156	E026	E212	E164	E321	E322	E174	E034	3054	E158A (Res)	E170	E167
		cated Si		PP ¹¹						Alterna			(.,		-	
1: Decent homes	+	0	0	++												++
2: Community services	++	-	U	0	+ 0	+ 0	++	+	+	+	+ 0	+	+	++ 0	++	0?
3: Education and skills	++?	++	+	-?	-?	-?	++?	++?	++?	++?	-?	-?	- -?	-?	-?	-?
4: Health												- {	- <u>r</u>			
0	+ 0	+ 0	+ 0	+	+	+ 0	+	+ 0	+ 0	+/-	+/-	-	-	+ 0	-	+/-?
6: Noise	?	-?	-?	?	0 ?	?	-?	?	?	-?	0 ?	-?	0 ?	?	0	?
	?	- ?	- ?		?		-?	?		- ?	?	-?	?	?	!	{
7: Cultural, social and leisure	++?	0	0	+?	+?	+	++?	++?	++?	++?	+?	-?	-	+?	+	+?
provision 8: Historic assets	7	?	?	2	-	-?	?	7	7	?	-?	2	-?	?	-?	-
	?		?	-?	?		-					-?				?
9: Landscape Character			-	-				-	+/-	+	+/-		+/-			
10: Amenity/local	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	-?	0	-?	-?	-?
environment	0?	-?	-?	-?	?	-?	0?	0?	0?	0?	-7	-?	-?	-?	0?	?
11: Biodiversity							٠.							+/-		
12: Sustainable transport	++	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	++ 0	0	++	++	+/-?	+/-	-	+/-	++/-
13: Air, soil and water quality	0		-												+?	
14: Greenhouse gases 15: Flood risk	++?	++	++	++?	++	+	+	++?	++? 0	++?	++?	+? -?	+? 0	++		++?
	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		0	-		0	-	0	0
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-?	0	•	0		
17: Waste reduction	-		-		-	-	-	-	0	0		-?	-?			
18: Employment	+?	++	+	++	++	+?	+?	+?	+/-?	+/-?	+?	+?	+?	++	+?	+?
19: Vitality and viability of	+	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++
towns	0	++		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0?
20: Encourage investment	U	++	+	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	Uf

¹¹ PP – Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Table 7: Summary of Ottery St Mary Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective	(008 (A & B))	C311	C141 (A&B)	C202	C008	C040	C070	C107	C111	C310	C105	3046	C019	C049	C071	C141A	C141B
	Allocated Sites	Р	P ¹²							Alterna	ative Sit	tes					
1: Decent homes	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+
2: Community services	+	++	++	++	-	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	-	-	-	++	++
3: Education and skills	+	+?	++?	++?	+?	++?	++?	++?	+?	+?	+?	++?	-?	+?	-?	++?	++?
4: Health	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++?
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	-?	?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0?	?	-?	-?	0?	-?	?	?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	0	++	++?	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++?	++
8: Historic assets	?	?	?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	?	?	-?	-?	?	-?	?	?	?
9: Landscape Character	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/	+/	+/	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	0	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0
11: Biodiversity	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?
12: Sustainable transport	++	++	++	++	+/-?	++	++	++	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	++	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	++	++
13: Air, soil and water quality	-	-	0						-	-	-		-		-	0	0
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++?	++	++	+	++?	++?	++?	++	++	++	++?	+	+	++	++	++?
15: Flood risk		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-	-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction	-	_	0	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	0	0
18: Employment	+	++	++	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	++	++	++	++	+?	+?	++	++?	+?
19: Vitality and viability of towns	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+
20: Encourage investment	+	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0

¹² PP - Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Table 8: Summary of Seaton Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective	118b	E007/8	E006	E171	E315	E309	E076	E007	E008	E103	E104	E088	E114	E315	E171	118B
		ocated Sites	PF	13					A	Alternat	ive Site	s				
1: Decent homes	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	++	0
2: Community services	-	-	0	++	++	-	-	-	-	-	-	++	+	++	++?	-
3: Education and skills	+	-?	++?	+?	-?	+?	-?	-?	-?	-?	+?	++?	+	-?	+?	+
4: Health	++	+	+	++?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	+	++?	++
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	+?	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	0	++	++	+?
8: Historic assets	?	-?	?	?	?	-?	?	-?	-?	?	?	-?	?	?	?	?
9: Landscape Character	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	-?	0	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	-?
11: Biodiversity	?	-?	-?	?	?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	?	?	?	?	?
12: Sustainable transport	+/-	+/-	+/-	++	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	++	+/-
13: Air, soil and water quality			-	0		-	-		-	-		0			0	
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
15: Flood risk	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18: Employment	+	++	++	+?	++	+?	+?	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	+?	+
19: Vitality and viability of towns	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+
20: Encourage investment	+	0	0	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+?	+

¹³ PP - Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Table 9: Summary of Sidmouth Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective				æ													
	ED02	ED01	ED03	(001 (A, I & C))	1967 (option 1)	1967 (option 2)	C026	C053 (res.)	8600	C102	C151	C335	ED02A	ED02B	3299	3602	C053 (emp)
1: Decent homes	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0
2: Community services	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	-	-	-	++	++	++	-	-	+
3: Education and skills	++?	++?	++?	+	+	+	+?	+?	-?	-?	-?	+?	++?	++?	+	+	+
4: Health	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++?	++	++	++	++
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	?	?	?	?	-?	-?	?	-?	?	-?	-?	-?	?	?	-?	-?	0?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	++	++	++	0	0	0	++	++	+	+	+	++	++?	++	0	0	0
8: Historic assets	?	?	?	-?	?	?	?	-?	?	?	-?	?	?	?	?	?	-?
9: Landscape Character	+/-	+/-	+	-			?		?				+/-	+/-	?		
10: Amenity/local environment	0	0	0	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	-?	-?	-?	-?
11: Biodiversity	-?	0?	?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	-?	-?	-?	0?	-?	-?	-?	-?	0?
12: Sustainable transport	++	+/-	++	+/-	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	++	++	+/-	+/-	+/-
13: Air, soil and water quality	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+
15: Flood risk	0	0	-	-			?	-	-	-	-		0	-	-	-	-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction	0	0	0	-	-	-	-?	-	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	-?	-	-	-
18: Employment	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+?	+?	+?	++	+?	+?	+	+	+
19: Vitality and viability of towns	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20: Encourage investment	0	0	+?	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+

Table 10: Summary of West End Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective	4	Western Expansion Site	Eastern Expansion Site	South Westerly Expansion Site	က	2	4	က	æ	8	W210 (North)	9	8	W213 (West)	3 (East)	9	4	Exeter Airport Business Park
	W144	Western Expansic	Eastern Expansi	Sout	W123	W302	W314	W103	W048	W113	W21	990M	W153	W21	W213	W086	W214	Exet Busii
				C	ranbro	ok					Pin	hoe		A	At Black	chorse		Exeter Airport
A = allocated sites RA = reasonable alternatives		Α				R	A			Α		RA		ļ	4	R	Α	Α
1: Decent homes	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	0
2: Community services	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	+?	++	++?	++?	++?	+?	+?	-?	+	0
3: Education and skills	++?	++?	++?	++?	++?	-?	-?	++?	-?	++?	+?	+?	+?	+/- ?	+/- ?	-?	-?	+
4: Health	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	-?	-?	+?	-?	++	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	-?	-?	-
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	?	?	?	?		?	?	?	?	?	-?	?	-?	-?	-?	?	?	-?
7: Cultural, social and leisure	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	++	++?	++?	++?	+?	++	-?	+	0
provision	т:	т:	т:	т:	т:	т:	т:	т:	т:		TT:		TT:					
8: Historic assets	?	?	?	?	?	-?	-?	?	-?	?	?	?	?	-?	-?	-?	?	-?
9: Landscape Character	?	?	?	?		+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	?	+/-	+/-	+/-			-		+/-
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?
11: Biodiversity	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?	0?
12: Sustainable transport	++	++	++	++	++	+/- ?	+/- ?	++	+/- ?	++	++	++?	++?	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-?
13: Air, soil and water quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									-
14: Greenhouse gases	++	++	++	++	++	+?	+?	++	+/- ?	++	++	++?	++?	++	++	++	++	++
15: Flood risk	-?	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-			-	-	-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction						-	-	-	-		-	-	-			-		-
18: Employment	++	++	+	++	++	+?	+?	++	+?	++	++	++	++	++?	++?	++	++	+
19: Vitality and viability of towns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20: Encourage investment	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	++?	++?	0	0	+

Table 11: Summary of Budleigh Salterton Site Appraisal Scores

SA Objective	C096	C056	C022	C046	C054	C096	C301	C306	C309
		•	PP ¹⁴				Alternativ	e Sites	
1: Decent homes	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+
2: Community services	+	++	0	+	++	+	+	++	+
3: Education and skills	+?	+?	-?	+?	+?	+?	+?	-?	-?
4: Health	+	++?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5: Crime	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6: Noise	-?	-?	-?	-?	?	-?	-?	-?	-?
7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++
8: Historic assets	-?	-?	?	-?	?	?	?	?	?
9: Landscape Character									
10: Amenity/local environment	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-?
11: Biodiversity	-?	-?	?	-?	?	-?	-?	?	?
12: Sustainable transport	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-?	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-
13: Air, soil and water quality		-						0	
14: Greenhouse gases	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+?	+
15: Flood risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16: Energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17: Waste reduction	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
18: Employment	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?
19: Vitality and viability of towns	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+
20: Encourage investment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

¹⁴ PP - Site(s) were previously allocated in the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) but now have planning permission so are no longer allocated but are coming forward separately to the Local Plan.

Healthy and Prosperous Communities

Relevant SA objectives

- 1. To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home.
- 2. To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services.
- 4. To improve the population's health
- 5. To reduce crime and fear of crime
- 7. To maintain and improve cultural, social and leisure provision
- 1.107 The locations of particular site allocations will not affect levels of crime (SA objective 5); therefore all of the scores for that objective are negligible. However, the effects of the site options on the other objectives associated with healthy and prosperous communities are broadly positive in all seven of the towns and the West End, although with some individual exceptions. This is in part because of the nature of the development proposed i.e. all of the residential and mixed use sites will have positive effects on housing (SA objective 1), although most will have minor rather than significant positive effects because most sites are less than 10ha in size.
- 1.108 The effects of the sites on access to services (SA objective 3), health (SA objective 4) and cultural, social and leisure provision (SA objective 7) depend in part on the provision of new community services and facilities within the new development proposed, which is in many cases uncertain due to a lack of detailed information about how the sites would be developed. However, where mixed-use development is proposed for some of the site options, the effects on these objectives will generally be more positive as it is clear that new services and facilities will be provided to support the population growth associated with the residential development proposed, and as a result existing services should not become overloaded.
- 1.109 Effects on the social objectives also depend on the proximity of new sites to existing community services and facilities. Since the majority of the allocated and alternative site options are within well built up areas of the towns of East Devon and the West End, most would have a reasonable range of services and facilities within walking distance, such as schools, religious establishments, public open space and doctor's surgeries. This is particularly the case for the sites that are within the West End and the larger towns such as Exmouth where the existing range of such facilities is generally better than in the smaller towns.

Environmental Protection and Enhancement

Relevant SA Objectives

- 6. To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution.
- 8. To maintain and enhance built and historic assets.
- 9. To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon.
- 10. To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment.
- 11. To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon.
- 12. To promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths.
- 13. To maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality.
- 15. To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding.
- 1.110 The effects of the sites (both allocated sites and reasonable alternatives) on the environmental objectives are generally much more varied than for the social and economic objectives, because effects depend on issues (such as proximity to sensitive receptors) which vary significantly between the sites. Due to the construction and transport likely to be associated with new development, most of the sites will have negative effects on noise (SA objective 6). There have also been a large number of negative effects identified in relation to soil quality (SA objective 13) and flooding (SA objective 15) where sites are within areas of high agricultural land quality or high flood risk zones. In Axminster, a particularly high proportion of the site options were within

- flood risk zones, as the River Axe runs through the town and so fairly large areas of the town are classed as being at higher flood risk, in comparison to somewhere like Budleigh Salterton where no significant effects on that objective were identified.
- 1.111 All of the site options in Budleigh Salterton, as well as many of the sites in Exmouth, Honiton and Sidmouth were likely to have a significant negative effect on landscape character (SA objective 9) as they are within the East Devon AONB and so new development is considered likely to have a negative effect on the quality and character of the AONB. For the other towns (Ottery St Mary, Axminster and Seaton), the effects were broadly more positive because of the greater distance between those towns (and therefore the site options) and the nearest AONB.
- 1.112 The effects of the sites on historic assets (SA objective 8) were all either minor or significantly negative, due to the potential for development to affect the setting of nearby historic assets. In most cases, this was due to the proximity of listed buildings because of the high concentrations of listed buildings in the towns of East Devon. This was a particular issue in Axminster where all of the site options were likely to have a significant negative effect on the historic environment.
- 1.113 The effects of the sites on biodiversity (SA objective 11) were again broadly negative, due to the proximity of most of the site options to at least one designated conservation site. Minor and significant negative effects were mostly identified for the sites in Axminster, Exmouth, Budleigh Salterton, Seaton and Sidmouth, because of the greater concentration of designated sites in those areas, some of which are designated at European level.

Resource Consumption and Climate Change

Relevant SA Objectives

- 14. To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases.
- 16. To ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible.
- 17. To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal.
- 1.114 The effects of the site options on greenhouse gas emissions (SA objective 14) were mainly determined by the proximity of existing and potential residential and employment development to one another (so that opportunities for non-car based modes of transport might be greater) and on the availability of public transport links. All of the site options considered were within walking distance of at least one bus stop, with most having several in close proximity; however a fairly small proportion of residential sites were within walking distance of an employment site allocation (or vice versa).
- 1.115 None of the site options were considered to have an effect on energy efficiency (SA objective 16) as the location of individual sites will not affect this issue; rather it will be determined by the design of new buildings and the practices used on site.
- 1.116 Most of the sites will have either a minor or significant negative effect on waste (SA objective 17) as development (regardless of the location) is likely to lead to increased waste generation, and because most of the site options are on greenfield land, meaning that there are likely to be fewer opportunities to re-use existing buildings and materials than there might be on a brownfield site. A particularly high proportion of sites in Axminster and Exmouth were found to have significant negative effects on this objective as there was a fairly high number of site options that were larger sized sites on previously undeveloped land.

Economic Growth, Education and Employment

Relevant SA Objectives

- 3. To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to meet the needs of the local population and meet local employment needs
- 18. To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce.
- 19. To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon.
- 20. To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment.

- 1.117 The effects of the site options on education and skills (SA objective 3) depend largely on the proximity of the sites to existing schools. Because most of the sites are well within existing urban areas, most are within walking distance of at least one school, and therefore positive effects for this objective have generally been identified. However, uncertainty is attached to the scores, as the capacity within existing schools to accommodate new students is uncertain.
- 1.118 Due to the nature of development proposed, the sites that are proposed for residential development only (most of the site options considered) would not affect employment provision (SA objective 18). However, the employment and mixed use sites would have positive effects, although the extent and significance of the positive effect is also influenced by proximity to residential development and transport links. In all cases, employment sites are within close proximity of bus stops to provide access from residential areas and many are also close to existing or proposed residential development and so would lead to significant positive effects.
- 1.119 Again, only the employment or mixed-use development site options would be expected to have an effect on encouraging investment (SA objective 20) so there are a large number of negligible effects identified. Almost all of the sites that would have an effect would have a minor rather than a significant positive effect, as the sites are smaller than 10ha, apart from one larger site in Honiton.

Summary

- 1.120 While there are many variations between the SA scores for the West End and the towns and for the individual site options within each town and at the West End, in general the sites that have been allocated in the Local Plan have been found to have broadly similar or more positive sustainability effects than the alternative site options considered. However, it is important to note that the findings of the SA have not been the only consideration that has guided the allocation of sites in the Local Plan. In addition to the SA, other work carried out by the Council has informed the selection of sites, such as a detailed landscape assessment in respect of possible site allocations in the AONBs. Technical constraints and other considerations have also informed site choices.
- 1.121 It should be recognised that the potential sustainability effects of each site presented in the detailed appraisal matrices in the full SA report and summarised in **Tables 4-11** above were identified without taking into account the potential mitigation provided by other Local Plan policies. The implementation of the strategic and development management policies in the Local Plan should go a long way towards mitigating the potential negative effects identified for the allocated sites. In addition, individual detailed schemes at each of the allocated sites should be designed to try to overcome the adverse issues that the SA has identified, as well as maximising the potential benefits.

Likely Effects of the East Devon Local Plan

What are the sustainability effects of the Local Plan likely to be?

1.122 There is much to welcome in the East Devon Local Plan and implementation of its policies is likely to have a largely positive effect on sustainability issues in the District. The potential effects of each of the policies in the Local Plan on each of the SA Objectives are summarised in **Figures 2** and 3 overleaf (using the symbols and colour coding referred to in **Figure 1**).

Figure 2: Summary of SA Scores for Strategic Policies (Part 1 of the Local Plan)

										SA	Objecti	ives								
Strategy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Strategy 1: Spatial Strategy for Development in East Devon	++?	+/-?	+/-?	0	0	-?	0	+/-?	?	-?	?	+	-	+/-	?	+/-	+/-	++	+/-	+
Strategy 2: Strategy 2: Scale and Distribution of Residential Development Scale and	++	+/-?	0	0	0	-	?	+/-?	+/-?	-?	-?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	0	++	0
Distribution of Residential Development																				
Strategy 3: Sustainable Development	++	++	++	++	0	-	++	?	++	+/-	++	0	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	0
Strategy 4: Balanced Communities	0	++	++	+	0	-	++	+/-?	-?	+/-?	-?	++	+/-?	+/-	?	?	?	++	++	+?
Strategy 5: Environment	0	0	0	+	+/-?	0	+	+	+?	++	++	+?	0	+?	+	0	0	0	0	0
Strategy 5B: Sustainable Transport	0	?	0	+	0	+	+?	0	0	+?	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strategy 6: Development within Built-Up Area Boundaries	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	0	-?	+/-	+	+	+/-?	+	0	+	+/-?	+	0	+?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?
Strategy 7: Development in the Countryside	-?	-?	0	0	0	0	+/-?	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	-	+/-?	-
Strategy 8: Development in Green Wedges	-?	-?	0	0	0	0	+/-?	+?	++	++	+	+?	+?	+?	0	0	0	-?	+	-
Strategy 9: Major Development at East Devon's West End	++	+	+	+	0	-	+	?	?	-	-?	+/-	-?	+/-	+/-?	+/-	+/-	++		++
Strategy 10: Green Infrastructure in East Devon's West End	0	0	0	++	+/-?	+/-?	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	0	0	0	0	-/+?
Strategy 11: Integrated Transport	0	++	+	++	+	+	++	?	+	+	+/-?	++	++	++/-	0	+/-	-?	0	0	0
and Infrastructure Provision at East Devon's West End																				
Strategy 12: Development at Cranbrook	++	++	++	+	0	-?	++	+/-?	-?	-?	-?	++	+/-?	+/-	+/-?	+/-	+/-	++	+/-	++
Strategy 13: Development North of Blackhorse/Redhayes	++	++	+	+?	0	-?	++	+/-?	-?	-?	-?	++	+/?	+/-	+/?	++/-	+/-	+/-?	+/	0
Strategy 14: Development of an Urban Extension at Pinhoe	++	++	++	+?	0	-?	++	+/-?	-?	-?	-?	++	+/-?	+/-	+?	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	+
Strategy 15: Intermodal Interchange	0	0	0	0	0	-?	+	-?	-?	-?	?	++/-?	+/-?	++/-?	+/-?	+/-?	-?	+	0	+
Strategy 17: Future Development at or near Exeter International Airport	-	0	+	-	0	-	0	0	0		-?	0	-		0	+/-	+/-	+	-	++
Strategy 18: Future Development of Exeter Airport Business Park	0	0	+	-	0	-?	0	+/-?	?	-	?	+?	?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	+/-	+	-	++
Strategy 20: Development at Axminster	++	+?	+	+/-	0	-	+	-?	-?	-?	+/?	+/-	-?	+/-	+/-	+/-?	+/-?	+	++	+
Strategy 21: Budleigh Salterton	+	?	?	?	0	-?	?	+/-?	+	-?	?	?	?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+?	+	+?
Strategy 22: Development at Exmouth	++	+/-?	+	+/-	0	-	+	?	?	-?	-?	+/-	?	+/-	+/-	+/-?	+/-?	+	++	+
Strategy 23: Development at Honiton	++	+?	+/-?	+/-?	0	-	+/-?	+/-?	+/-	0	+?	-/+	-/+	-/+?	+	+/-?	+/-?	++	++	+
Strategy 24: Development at Ottery St Mary	++	+	+	+	0	0	+	+/-?	+?	-?	-?	-?	-?	-/+?	-?	+/-?	+/-?	+	++	+
Strategy 25: Development at Seaton	++	++	+?	+?	0	-	+	-/+?	-?	-?	-?	-?	+/-?	-/+?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	++	+/-?	++
Strategy 26: Development at Sidmouth	++	++	+	+?	0	-	+	+/-?	++	+?	-?	+/-?	+/-?	-/+?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+?	++?	+?

Strategy 9: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 elementary of redementary Offices Complex at Carbonium Communic Communication (Communication Communication											SA	Objecti	ives								
New Notice Development at	Strategy	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9				13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
New Policy - Development at the +? +? +? +? +? +? +? +? + 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	New Policy – Re-development of Redundant Offices Complex at Winslade Park and Land Adjoining Clyst St Mary	+	+	-?	+	0	-?	+	-?	+	0	0?	+/-	0	++	-	0	0	+	-	+
simal Towns and Larger Vileges Tractedy 28 Sustaining and Virela Virela Sustaining and Virela Virela Sustaining and Virela Virela Virela Sustaining and Virela Virela Virela Sustaining and Virela Vir	New Policy – Development at Uplyme	+?	+?	+?	0	0	-?	+?		?	-?	-?	+/-?	?	+/-?	?	-	-?	?	0	+?
Normalization Normalizatio	Strategy 27: Development at the Small Towns and Larger Villages	+	+	+?	+	0	-?	+	+/-?	-?	-?	-?	+/-	+/-?	+/-	-?	+/-?	+/-?	0	+	0
Dobotruntes for Young People strategy 31: Fluture Job and Investment O	Strategy 28: Sustaining and Diversifying Rural Enterprises	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	++	+	-?	0	0	+	0	+/-	+/-	++	0	++
Communication Links and Local sensets strategy 31: Future Job and imployment, Leafly 22: Resisting Loss of imployment, Leafl, Community Stretz, 23: Resisting Loss of imployment, Leafl, Community Stretz, 23: Resisting Loss of imployment, Refact, Community Stretz, 24: Resisting Loss of imployment, Refact, Resistance and Affordable Housing at Imployment, Resistance and Resistance a	Strategy 29: Promoting Opportunities for Young People		_		Ů	_	_	Ů			Ů	,	,	ŭ	·	,	ŭ	_	+		+
impleyment Land Provision Strategy 31: Resisting Loss of Employment, Retail, Community Strategy 32: Resisting Loss of Employment, Retail, Community Strategy 33: Promotion of Outside Milkings Strategy 34: District Wide Middle Milkings Provision in East Devon Strategy 34: District Wide Middle Milkings Provision Strategy 34: District Wide Middle Milkings Small Devon Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Harket and Affordable Housing at Milkings, Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Harket and Affordable Housing at Milkings, Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Affordable Housing at Milkings, Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Carefestra are Homes. The Affordable Housing at Milkings Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Carefestra are Homes. The Affordable Housing at Milkings Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Carefestra are Homes. The Affordable Housing at Milkings Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Carefestra are Homes. The Affordable Housing at Milkings Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Carefestra are Homes. The Affordable Housing at Milkings Small Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 38: Sustainable Design Hard Outstands and Construction Towns and Outside Julii-Up Area Boundaries Towns and Carefestra Area Affordable Housing at Milkings Towns and Carefestr	Communication Links and Local Benefits	0	0	+	0	0		0	0	0	-?	0	+/-	0	0	0	0	0		+?	++
implyimphyment, Retail, Community items and Buildinas Strategy 33: Promotion of Construction of Construction of Strategy 33: Promotion of Construction of Strategy 33: Promotion of Construction of Constructi	Employment Land Provision	0	0	+	+	0	-?	0	0	0	-?	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	++	++	++
Tourism in East Devon Strategy 31: District Wide Housing Provision Targets Trategy 33: Exception Mixed Arrived and Construction Housing Arrived and Outside Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Arrived and Construction Housing Arrived and Outside Strategy 36: Accessible and Kaptable Housing at Housing at Mixed Adaptable Hou	Strategy 32: Resisting Loss of Employment, Retail, Community Sites and Buildings	0	++	0	+?	0		++		,)	+		+		0	0	++	++	++
Affordable Housing Provision Targets Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Aarket and Affordable Housing at Plane Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Aarket and Affordable Housing at Plane Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Aarket and Affordable Housing at Plane Strategy 36: Accessible and Outside Julif-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Outside Julif-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 36: Accessible and Outside Julif-Up Area Boundaries Strategy 37: Community Safety O	Strategy 33: Promotion of Tourism in East Devon	0	+?	+?	0	0	-?	+	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	-?	+?	-?	+/-?	+/-	+/-	+	++	++
Arket and Affordable Housing at Illages, Small Towns and Outside Built-Up Area Boundaries Du D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Strategy 34: District Wide Affordable Housing Provision Targets	++?	+?	+?	+?	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	?	++	-?
Adaptable Homes and Care/Extra Care Homes Strategy 37: Community Safety 0 0 0 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Strategy 35: Exception Mixed Market and Affordable Housing at Villages, Small Towns and Outside Built-Up Area Boundaries	+	++	0	+?	0	-	+?	+?	-	-	-?	+	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	+/-	0	0	-?
Strategy 38: Sustainable Design +? 0 0 0 0 +? 0 +? 0 0 +? 0 +? 0 +? 0 +? 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Strategy 36: Accessible and Adaptable Homes and Care/Extra Care Homes	++?	+	0	++?	0	-	+	+/-?	+/-?	0	?	+	+?	+/-	+/-?	+/-	+/-	+	+/-	0
Ind Construction Circle by 39: Renewable and Low Description Descr	Strategy 37: Community Safety	0	0	0	+	++	+?	+	0	-?	+?	-?	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+
Carbon Energy Projects Strategy 40: Decentralised Energy O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Strategy 38: Sustainable Design and Construction	+?	0	0	0	0	+?	0	+?	0	0	+?	0	+?	++?	++?	++?	++?	0	0	0
Networks Strategy 41: Allowable Solutions 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Carbon Energy Projects	ŭ	_		_	_	_					,	,		++						
Strategy 43: Open Space	Networks	ŭ	Ů	Ů	Ů	Ů	Ů	Ů			·	Ŭ	,						,		-
Strategy 44: Undeveloped Coast Indicate		ŭ	_	Ť		_	_	Ť				_	,	_				_			
Strategy 45: Coastal Erosion	Standards Strategy 44: Undeveloped Coast	ŭ	_			Ť	_						,		·			_	_		-
Strategy 46: Landscape Conservation and Enhancement and AONBs O		1./	0	0	0	0	0	0	1/	1/2	1/2	1/2	0	0	0	. /	0	0	0	1/2	1/2
Conservation and Enhancement and AONBs Strategy 47: Nature Conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				_			_								U	_					+/-:
And Geology Strategy 48: Local Distinctiveness 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Conservation and Enhancement and AONBs	Ů			ŭ										-					J	
n the Built Environment Strategy 49: The Historic Environment Orange of the Strategy 49: The Historic Environment Orange of the Strategy 50: Infrastructure Orange of the Strategy 50: Infrastruc	and Geology	ŭ									·								·	_	
Environment Strategy 50: Infrastructure 0 +? +? +? 0 -? +? 0 0 -? +? +/-? -? +/-? -? 0 0 +? +? -?	in the Built Environment	ŭ		0			0	0	++	++	++		·						+?	_	
pelivery	Environment				Ť							_		_					_		_
	Delivery	ŭ					-?	+?	0	0	-?	+?	+/-?	-?	+/-?	-?	0	0	+?	+?	-3

Figure 3: Summary of SA Scores for the Development Management Policies (Part 2 of the Local Plan) and the Neighbourhood Planning Policy (Part 3 of the Local Plan)

	SA Objectives																			
Development Management Policies	1: Decent homes	2: Community Services	3: Education and skills	4: Health	5: Crime	6: Noise	7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	8: Historic assets	9: Landscape character	10: Amenity/ local environment	11: Biodiversity	12: Sustainable transport	13: Air, soil and water	14: Greenhouse gas emissions	15: Flood risk	16: Energy consumption	17: Waste reduction	18: Employment	19: Vitality and viability of towns	20: Encourage investment
D1: Design and local distinctiveness	+/-	0	0	0	++	0	+	+	++	++	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0
D2: Landscape requirements	-?	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0	++	++	++	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
D3: Trees and development sites	-?	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0
D4: Applications for display of advertisements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?
D6: Locations without access to natural gas	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
D7: Agricultural buildings and development	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	0
D8: Re-use of rural buildings outside of settlements	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+?	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EN1: Land of local amenity importance	-?	+?	-?	-?	0	0	0	0	++	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN2: The Valley Parks in Exmouth	-?	-?	-?	+	0	0	+?	0	+?	+?	+	+?	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN3: Land at the Byes in Sidmouth	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	+	0	+?	+	+	+?	+	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN4: Protection of Local Nature Reserves, County Wildlife Sites and County Geological Sites	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	0	+	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN5: Wildlife habitats and features	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	0	+	+	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN6: Nationally and locally important	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN7: Proposals affecting sites which	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN8: Significance of heritage assets and their setting	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN9: Development Affecting a <u>Designated Heritage Asset</u>	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	++?	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN10: Conservation areas	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	++	++	++	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN13: Development on high quality agricultural land	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	0	+	+	+	0	++	0	+?	0	0	-?	0	0
EN14: Control of pollution	?	?	?	?	0	++	?	0	+	+	+	0	++	+	0	0	0	-?	0	0
EN16: Contaminated land	+/-	+/-	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	+?	0	+	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	0
EN17: Notifiable installations	- ?	- ?	- ?	+	0	0	- ?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- ?	0	- ?
EN18: Maintenance of water quality and quantity	+/-	+/-	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	+?	0	++	0	++	0	0	+/-	0	0
EN19: Adequacy of foul sewers and adequacy of sewage treatment system	+/-	+/-	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	+?	0	++	0	+	0	0	+/-	0	0
EN21: River and coastal flooding	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	++	0	0	-?	0	0
EN22: Surface runoff implications of new development	-?	-?	-?	-?	0	0	-?	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	++	0	0	-?	0	0
EN25: Development affected by coastal change	+?	+?	+?	+?	+?	0	+?	0	+?	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0	0

							and													
Development Management Policies	1: Decent homes	2: Community Services	3: Education and skills	4: Health	5: Crime	6: Noise	7: Cultural, social ar leisure provision	8: Historic assets	9: Landscape character	10: Amenity/ local environment	11: Biodiversity	12: Sustainable transport	13: Air, soil and water	14: Greenhouse gas emissions	15: Flood risk	16: Energy consumption	17: Waste reduction	18: Employment	19: Vitality and viability of towns	20: Encourage investment
H2: Range and mix of new housing	+	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0
development									_							-	,	-		
H3: Conversion of existing dwellings	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+?	0	0	+	0	-?	0	0	0
H4: Dwellings for persons employed in rural businesses	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+?	+?	0	+?	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0
H5: Occupancy conditions on rural workers dwellings	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H6: Replacement of existing dwellings																				
in the countryside	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	+?	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0
H7: Sites for Gypsies and Travellers	++	+?	+	+?	0	0	0	0	+?	+?	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E2: Employment generating																				-
development in built-up areas	0	+?	0	0	0	-?	+?	+	+	+	+	+	-?	-?	0	0	0	++	0	0
E4: Rural diversification	-?	0	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	++	0	+	0	0	+?	0	0
E5: Small-scale economic development in rural areas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0
E7: Extensions to existing employment sites	-?	+?	+?	+?	0	0	+?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+?	0	++	+	+
E9: Town centre vitality and shopping areas	-?	+	0	0	0	+?	+	0	0	+?	-?	+?	0	0	0	0	+?	+	++	+
E10: Primary shopping frontages	-?	+	0	0	0	+?	+	0	0	+?	-?	+?	0	0	0	0	+?	+	++	+
E11: Large stores and retail related uses in town centres	+/-	+/-	0	0	0	0	+/-	0	0	+	+?	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+/-	++	+/-
E12: Neighbourhood centres and shops	+/-	+	0	0	0	+?	0	0	0	+?	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0
E13: Use of upper floors in shopping	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0
developments E14: Change of use of village shops or				-				-												
services	-?	++	+	+	0	0	+	+?	0	+?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0	0
E15: Retail developments in rural areas outside villages	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	-?	0	-?	0	0	0	-	+	-
E16: Proposals for holiday or overnight accommodation and associated facilities	0	+ ?	0	0	0	0	+ ?	0	+	+	0	+/-?	- ?	+/-?	0	- ?	- ?	+	+	+
E17: Principal holiday accommodation areas	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+/-?	0	+/-?
E18: Loss of holiday accommodation	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	0	0	+ ?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+/-?	0
E19: Holiday accommodation parks	0	+	0	0	0	+/-?	0	0	+	+	+	- ?	+/-?	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	+	+ ?	+
E20: Provision of visitor attractions	0	0	0	0	0	+?	++	+ ?	+?	+ ?	+?	+ ?	+/-?	+ ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	+	+?	+
RC1: Retention of land for sport and																				
recreation	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
RC2: New open space, sports facilities and parks	0	0	0	+	0	+	++	+	+	+	+	+/-?	+	+/-?	+	0	0	0	0	0
RC3: Allotments	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	+	+ ?	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	0
RC4: Recreation facilities in the countryside and on the coast	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	+	+	0	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	+	0	+

Development Management Policies	1: Decent homes	2: Community Services	3: Education and skills	4: Health	5: Crime	6: Noise	7: Cultural, social and leisure provision	8: Historic assets	9: Landscape character	10: Amenity/ local environment	11: Biodiversity	12: Sustainable transport	13: Air, soil and water	14: Greenhouse gas emissions	15: Flood risk	16: Energy consumption	17: Waste reduction	18: Employment	19: Vitality and viability of towns	20: Encourage investment
RC5: Community buildings	0	++	0	+?	0	0	+?	0	- ?	- ?	0	0	- ?	0	- ?	- ?	- ?	+?	+/-?	- ?
RC6: Local community facilities	0	++	+?	+?	0	+	+?	0	+	+	0	+	+/-?	+ ?	- ?	0	0	0	0	0
RC7: Shared community facilities	0	++	+?	+?	0	+ ?	+?	0	0	+?	0	0	+	+?	+?	+?	+	0	+?	0
TC1: Telecommunications	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	+ ?	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TC2: Accessibility of new development	0	0	0	+?	0	+?	0	0	0	+?	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	+?	0	0
TC3: Traffic management schemes	0	0	0	+?	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+/-?	+	+/-?	0	0	0	+?	0	+?
TC4: Footpaths, bridleways and cycleways	0	0	0	+	0	+?	+	0	0	+?	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	+?	0	0
TC5: Safeguarding disused railway	0	0	0	+	0	+?	+	0	0	+?	0	++	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
TC6: Park and ride and park and share/change	0	0	0	0	0	+?	0	0	+	+?	0	++	+/-?	+	- ?	+	0	+?	+?	+?
TC7: Adequacy of road network and site access	0	0	0	0	0	- ?	0	0	- ?	- ?	0	- ?	- ?	-	0	0	0	+	+?	+
TC8: Safeguarding of land required for highway and access improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	+/-	+/-	+/-	0	0	0	0	0	0
TC9: Parking provision in new development	0	0	0	+/-?	0	+/-?	0	0	0	+/-?	0	+/-?	+/-?	+/-?	0	+?	0	0	0	0
TC10: Rear servicing of shopping/commercial development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- ?
TC11: Roadside service facilities	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	- ?	0	- ?	0	0	0	0	0
TC12: Aerodrome Safeguarded Areas and Public Safety Zones	- ?	- ?	- ?	- ?	0	0	- ?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- ?	0	+
NP1: Neighbourhood Planning Policy	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?

- 1.123 A summary description of the SA findings for the strategic and development management policies in the Local Plan taken together is set out below in relation to each SA objective, with the SA objectives grouped into the same themes under which the baseline information and key sustainability issues were set out earlier in this Non-Technical Summary, i.e.:
 - Healthy and Prosperous Communities
 - Environmental Protection and Enhancement
 - Resource Consumption and Climate Change
 - Economic Growth, Education and Employment

Healthy and Prosperous Communities

Relevant SA objectives

- 1. To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home.
- 2. To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services.
- 4. To improve the population's health
- 5. To reduce crime and fear of crime
- 7. To maintain and improve cultural, social and leisure provision

Objective 1: To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home

- 1.124 The strategic policies are generally expected to have a positive effect on this objective, with most of the policies that were identified as having a potential effect being scored as either positive or significant positive. This is mainly attributed to the provision made within the Local Plan for large-scale housing development across the District (particularly through Strategies 20-26 which allocate specific sites for housing development in the towns of East Devon). The changes to the total housing figure in the Local Plan that have been proposed since it was submitted in 2013 reflect updated evidence about the level of housing need in the District. Similarly, where housing allocations have been removed from the Strategic policies (through the proposed changes since Submission of the Local Plan), this generally reflects the current situation with regards to existing permissions which have been taken into account in the revised housing figures set out in Strategy 2: Scale and Distribution of Residential Development.
- 1.125 As noted earlier in this Non-Technical Summary, the limited availability of affordable housing is a key sustainability issue in the District. The issue is addressed in Strategy 34: District Wide Affordable Housing Provision Targets which specifies that a certain proportion of all new housing developments must be affordable. The housing development proposed under other policies has been appraised in light of this requirement; the fact that a reasonable proportion of all of the new housing will be affordable, and therefore accessible to a larger proportion of the local population, adds to the positive effects expected in relation to housing provision sought in the Local Plan.
- 1.126 Although a number of the strategic policies are not expected to affect this objective, five were considered to have the potential for a minor negative effect: Strategy 7: Development in the Countryside, Strategy 8: Development in Green Wedges, Strategy 17: Future Development at or near Exeter International Airport, Strategy 44: Undeveloped Coast and Coastal Prevention Area and Strategy 49: The Historic Environment. In these cases the negative effect is based on the likelihood that the strategies may prove somewhat restrictive for developers and make it more difficult to get applications for housing development approved in certain areas.
- 1.127 No likely significant negative effects on this objective have been identified in relation to any of the strategic policies.

- 1.128 A large number of the development management policies are likely to have a minor negative impact on this objective, as they may prove to be restrictive for developers, and therefore discourage housing development within the District.
- 1.129 While Policy H1: Residential Land Allocation was removed from the Local Plan through the proposed changes in April 2015, most of the residential site allocations previously set out in this policy were moved into the strategic policies for the towns. Therefore, while the effects previously associated with policy H1 would no longer occur, those allocations are now taken into account in the SA of the relevant strategic policy (note that the specific site allocations have also been subject to SA separately from the policy as a whole, as summarised above).
- 1.130 Policy H2: Range and Mix of New Housing Development ensures that there will be a mix of dwelling sizes in larger developments, which will help to ensure that an appropriate range of housing types is available for all sectors of the population. No significant negative effects on this objective have been identified in relation to any of the development management policies.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 1

1.131 Overall, considering the cumulative effects of all the strategic and development management policies together, a mixed (significant positive with minor negative) effect is likely for SA objective 1: To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on ensuring everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district and have a high probability of occurring. The effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 1: To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home						nt home	
	Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
	++/-	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.132 No specific recommendations or mitigation measures are made with particular reference to SA objective 1.

Objective 2: To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services

- 1.133 The majority of the strategic policies that are expected to affect this objective are likely to result in either positive or significant positive effects. This is due to the broad provision made throughout the Local Plan for the development of services and facilities to support the population growth that will result from the housing development planned. In some cases, residential development is provided for without explicitly stating which facilities will be developed to support the growing population at that location. In such cases, it may be possible that certain existing services will become overloaded. However, all the strategic policies are scored in the light of Strategy 4 in the Local Plan, which aims to achieve balanced communities, including through the provision of social and community facilities to support housing development.
- 1.134 It should be recognised that, in rural districts such as East Devon, there is a tension between planning to concentrate development of services at key centres, often the market towns, and the dispersed provision of services in small communities. Whereas the concentration of services in key centres may be more economically efficient, it increases the travel requirements of rural residents and can contribute to declining economic and social self-containment and viability of small communities. Strategy 4 implies that services will be delivered 'in situ' alongside housing development. Where this is not the case for small housing developments that may be planned for in some of the more isolated communities (such as for the provision of health centre and secondary school places which are likely to be located in key centres), it is important that

- consideration is given to the transport implications and impacts on the viability of existing locally provided services.
- 1.135 A small number of the strategic policies could result in mixed effects due to uncertainties about whether the development proposed in a particular location will lead to the provision of services and facilities to support the growing population, or whether it may lead to existing facilities becoming overloaded. Three of the strategies were identified as possibly resulting in a minor negative effect Strategy 7: Development in the Countryside, Strategy 8: Development in Green Wedges and Strategy 44: Undeveloped Coast and Coastal Prevention Area. The potential negative effects were mainly due to the potential for development (including that of community services) to be restricted due to protection of other assets (e.g. the countryside, coast) or containing development e.g. through the Green Wedges.
- 1.136 No likely significant negative effects on this objective have been identified in relation to any of the strategic policies.

- 1.137 There are four development management policies where a significant positive impact is anticipated for community services in the District because they directly support the provision of new community facilities for a growing population. These are E14: Change of use of Village Shops and Services, RC5: Community Buildings, RC6: Local Community Facilities and RC7: Shared Community Facilities.
- 1.138 There are however, a number of the development management policies that are expected to have a minor negative effect on this SA objective. These are mainly the policies that relate to the protection of the environment, and the criteria that result in their potentially restrictive nature may discourage development of community facilities.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 2

1.139 Overall, considering both strategic and development management policies, a generally positive effect is expected for SA objective 2: to ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on ensuring that all groups of the population have access to community services are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 2: To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.140 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 2.

Objective 4: To improve the population's health

Strategic Policies

1.141 The effects of the strategic policies on the local population's health are mixed, with the majority being either positive or significantly positive due to the measures included in the Local Plan that will result in improved provision of green space for recreation and amenity, such as the green infrastructure proposals included in Strategy 43. Significant physical and mental health benefits are associated with the availability and accessibility of space for outdoor exercise and recreation. In addition, the provision of community services (included in the Strategies relating to the towns in the District) to support the growing population should include healthcare facilities such as GP surgeries. However, this is not made explicit within all such policies and the types of community

- services to be provided/enhanced should be made clear, at least in the supporting text for the policies.
- 1.142 However, where policies were considered likely to result in an increase in road traffic or other sources of noise and air pollution, potential negative effects on health have been identified. In particular, the development proposed around the Exeter Airport area of the West End may have adverse effects as the proposals focus solely on commercial development and do not include any form of development which may help to mitigate the potential health impacts. The negative impacts arising from increased traffic are likely to be felt more widely in the District along transport corridors, particularly the M5 and A30.
- 1.143 Where the strategic policies make provision for development, but do not specify whether the services to support the growing population will include healthcare facilities, the potential for either positive or negative effects has been highlighted. If new services are provided, positive effects would result; however if not, existing services may become overloaded, resulting in negative effects.

- 1.144 The effects of development management policies on health in the District are mixed. The majority of policies that would result in a potential effect include restrictions on the development of facilities, which could potentially include healthcare facilities. This may have a negative effect on health; however there is uncertainty regarding to what extent this would affect overall healthcare provision in the District.
- 1.145 The development management policies, however, do support the provision of open space through policies such as RC1: Retention of Land for Sport and Recreation and RC2: New Open Space, Sports Facilities and Parks, and these are considered to have a positive effect on this objective.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 4

1.146 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed effect is likely for SA objective 4: to improve the population's health, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on improving the population's health are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district and more widely throughout the south west region, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 4: To improve the population's health						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+/-	Direct	Local / regional	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.147 The following actions and amendments were recommended in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on SA objective 4:
 - Where housing development is proposed in the strategic policies and it is stated that community services/facilities will be provided to support the growing population, it would be beneficial to specify in all cases whether this will include healthcare facilities.
- 1.148 This recommendation was made in the SA Report for the Publication Draft Local Plan (2012) and has been addressed in part in the current version of the Local Plan.

Strategic Policies

- 1.149 Very few of the strategic policies were considered to have an effect on this objective; aside from the potential benefit that development and increased prosperity generally may have in terms of improved prosperity and therefore reduced crime. However, this is an indirect effect which cannot be assumed. Strategy 37: Community Safety is likely to have a direct significant positive impact on this objective, as the overarching purpose of the policy is to reduce crime and the fear of crime within East Devon's communities.
- 1.150 The other proposals that may affect this objective mainly relate to the provision of green space and the fact that, dependent on the design of open spaces, people's perceptions of their safety and vulnerability to crime may be affected. This issue is not mentioned within these policies, and it should be recognised that the design of green spaces is of great importance in determining the likely effects of its provision, particularly in relation to the issue of crime and fear of crime.

Development Management Policies

1.151 Very few of the development management policies were considered to have a direct effect on this objective. Policy D2: Landscape Requirements supports the incorporation of crime prevention measures into the design of new developments, while policy D1: Design and Local Distinctiveness is likely to have a significant positive effect because it specifies that green infrastructure and open spaces should be designed and located in a way that will minimise any potential security concerns for users.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 5

1.152 Overall, considering both strategic and development management policies, a minor positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 5: to reduce crime and fear of crime, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on improving crime and the fear of crime are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 5: To reduce crime and fear of crime						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+	Mostly indirect/ Direct (Strategy 37 and policy D1)	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.153 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on SA objective 5:
 - Policy D1 could be strengthened by specifically recognising that green infrastructure and open spaces should be designed and located in a way that will minimise any potential security concerns for users. For example, such spaces should be appropriately lit (although this will need to be planned with due regard to tranquillity and biodiversity concerns).
- 1.154 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the policy, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to this SA objective.

Strategic Policies

- 1.155 A large number of positive and significant positive effects have been identified in relation to this objective. The strategic policies in general place a strong emphasis on ensuring that the large-scale housing development planned is accompanied by services and facilities to support the growing population, and this is taken to include leisure and recreation facilities. In addition, the improvement of the District's green infrastructure offering (see Strategy 10 and Strategy 43) should go some way towards ensuring that the growing population has access to open space for undertaking leisure and recreation activities.
- 1.156 No negative or significant negative impacts in relation to this objective were considered likely to arise from any of the strategic policies, although a small number of potentially mixed effects were identified where policies could be seen as potentially restrictive to development that could relate to social, cultural and leisure provision. However, in all such cases positive effects were also possible due to the provisions made in the policies for enhancing the natural environment which is an important leisure and recreation asset in the District.

Development Management Policies

- 1.157 A large number of positive and significant positive effects have been identified from the development management policies in relation to this objective. Five policies are anticipated to have a significant positive effect, by providing for recreation land and facilities (E20, RC1, RC2, RC3, and RC4).
- 1.158 Some of the policies relating to environmental protection are likely have a negative effect on this objective by restricting development and therefore discouraging potential investment in leisure provision. However, these effects are outweighed by the many positive effects identified.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 7

1.159 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a significant positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 7: to maintain and improve social, cultural and leisure provision, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 7: To maintain and improve social, cultural and leisure provision						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
++	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.160 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 7.

Environmental Protection and Enhancement

Relevant SA Objectives

- 6. To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution.
- 8. To maintain and enhance built and historic assets.
- 9. To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon.

- 10. To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment.
- 11. To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon.
- 12. To promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths.
- 13. To maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality.
- 15. To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding.

Objective 6: To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution

Strategic Policies

- 1.161 The majority of the strategic policies are likely to have a negative effect on this objective. Large-scale development across the District is proposed in the Local Plan, and development of this type will inevitably increase noise levels, particularly during construction, and is likely to lead to an increase in traffic volumes and associated noise levels in both the short and long-term, particularly where development is proposed in the more rural areas of the District where public transport availability is limited. Note that the noise-related impacts of specific site allocations within the strategic policies are considered separately, where issues such as noise from construction and the impacts on new residents of noise from existing main roads near to the proposed development sites are considered.
- 1.162 The expansion of Exeter Airport under Strategy 17 may have a negative effect on noise levels affecting local people; however this is considered to be minor rather than significant as Strategy 17 states that developments that are near to, or could be affected by noise from, the airport will not be allowed unless evidence is provided that current or futures users or occupiers of new dwellings, schools, open spaces or other sensitive uses will not be significantly adversely affected, taking proposed mitigations into account.
- 1.163 The noise pollution arising from the dualled A30 west of Honiton has proved a significant local issue in the past. The proposed development in the West End is likely to increase traffic, emphasising the need for best practice in road design and materials to be used to mitigate increased volumes.
- 1.164 A very small number of potential positive effects are associated with this objective, namely a potential reduction in noise from anti-social behaviour resulting from Strategy 37: Community Safety and a potential reduction in car use as a result of Strategy 11: Integrated Transport and Infrastructure Provision at East Devon's West End. In addition, Strategy 38: Sustainable Design and Construction states that the potential noise impacts arising from developments both during construction and after should be mitigated (reflecting a previous SA recommendation).

Development Management Policies

- 1.165 A large number of the development management policies are not expected to have an effect on this SA objective, as most relate to criteria for development rather than making provision for new development which would lead to noise from construction and increased vehicle traffic.
- 1.166 However, a significant positive effect has been identified in relation to policy EN14: Control of Pollution as this policy specifically addresses the issue of noise pollution by stating that developments which will have an unacceptable impact in this sense will not be permitted. This policy should help to mitigate some of the construction noise impacts likely to arise from implementation of the strategic policies.
- 1.167 In addition, a number of minor positive effects have been identified, particularly in relation to the policies associated with recreation and community facilities and transport and communications. These positive effects are mainly associated with measures that will reduce vehicle traffic on the District's roads (e.g. by increasing sustainable transport provision) or that will reduce the extent of new development in close proximity to sensitive receptors.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 6

1.168 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a minor negative effect is likely in relation to SA objective 6: to reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of

people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on noise levels are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be both short and long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 6: To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution

Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
	Direct	Local	High	Both short- term (noise from construction) and long- term (noise from increased vehicle traffic).	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.169 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on SA objective 6:
 - Strategy 38 which relates to sustainable construction could be expanded into a more overarching development management policy, to include the requirement (amongst others) to mitigate any potential noise impacts arising from developments, both during the construction phase and after.
- 1.170 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the policy, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to this SA objective.

Objective 8: To maintain and enhance built and historic assets

- 1.171 Most of the strategic policies are likely to have either mixed or positive effects on the built and historic environment in East Devon. Where negative effects are likely these are mainly attributed to the fact that development may infringe on the setting of assets such as listed buildings, but until the precise location, nature and design of each development proposal comes forward at the planning application stage, this potential negative effect cannot be predicted with more certainty, and a positive effect may be achieved through innovative design or replacing/improving an unattractive development currently affecting the setting of historic assets. Potential effects on the historic assets of the East Devon towns through development of the site allocations in Strategies 20-26 have been considered separately. However, the overall quality of the built environment should be generally enhanced by the development of new housing, employment land and other facilities, as new development should be of a high quality and should conform to the requirements of the other policies in the Local Plan that are associated with high quality design and construction.
- 1.172 Strategies 48: Local Distinctiveness in the Built Environment and 49: The Historic Environment are likely to have significant positive effects, as they relate directly to the built and/or historic environment and have an overarching aim of ensuring that development within the District conserves and enhances such assets.

1.173 A large number of the development management policies are not likely to have an effect on this objective; however where effects have been identified, these are all either positive or significantly positive. Policies EN6-EN10 are all likely to have significant positive effects as they all relate directly to the protection of historic assets including archaeological sites and listed buildings. A number of other positive effects are identified, mainly where the protection of the historic environment is included as a criterion within policies that set out considerations for new development.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 8

1.174 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a minor positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 8: To maintain and enhance built and historic assets, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on built and historic assets are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 8: To maintain and enhance built and historic assets						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.175 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 8.

Objective 9: To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon

Strategic Policies

- 1.176 A number of potential significant negative effects are associated with this objective, including in relation to Strategy 9: Major Development at East Devon's West End, which proposes large-scale development in a relatively small area outside of the existing built up area on the west of Exeter, which will have an impact on landscape character. Strategy 22: Development at Exmouth directs development in and around the town towards the East Devon AONB; therefore a potential significant negative effect is also associated with that policy. The locations of the individual site allocations set out in the strategic policies in relation to the AONBs in East Devon are considered separately, where it is shown that a fairly high number of proposed development sites have the potential to affect the quality and character of these designated landscapes, particularly at Honiton and Budleigh Salterton.
- 1.177 Minor negative effects are also associated with a number of other proposals, although many of these scores are uncertain due to a lack of information available at this stage about the extent of development which is likely to take place on greenfield land. When this is made clearer at the planning application stage, the likely effects of these development locations on promoting the conservation of land will become clearer.
- 1.178 A small number of the strategic policies (e.g. Strategies 7 and 8) have the specific purpose of conserving and enhancing the landscape of East Devon and are therefore expected to result in significant positive effects as well as helping to mitigate some of the effects of new development across the District. In addition, Strategy 3: Sustainable Development now requires developers to maximise the proportion of their developments that take place on previously developed land (reflecting a previous SA recommendation).

Development Management Policies

1.179 Almost all of the effects identified in relation to this SA objective are either positive or significantly positive, due to the measures included within the development management policies to protect

- and enhance the high quality landscape of East Devon. In particular, policy D2: Landscape Requirements addresses this issue directly.
- 1.180 However, a small number of potentially minor negative effects have been identified, in relation to policies RC5: Community Buildings and TC7: Adequacy of Road Network and Site Access. Under policy RC5, community facilities may be allowed to be developed outside of built up area boundaries which could potentially have a negative effect on the landscape and local character. TC7 allows for potential improvements to the highways network which could have a negative impact on the appearance of the landscape although this is uncertain without more information about the nature and location of any such development that may come forward.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 9

1.181 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 9: to promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on the use of land and landscape character are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 9: To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and
enhance the landscape character of East Devon

Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+/-	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.182 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on SA objective 9:
 - The strategic policy relating to sustainable development (Strategy 3) should include reference to maximising the proportion of development that takes place on previously developed land, and the use of previously developed buildings.
- 1.183 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the policy, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to this SA objective.

Objective 10: To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment

- 1.184 A large number of the strategic policies are considered likely to have a negative impact on local amenity, mainly as a result of increased traffic movements and a general increase in noise and activity due to the large-scale development proposed across the District through the policies, although some of these effects will be temporary as only associated with construction periods.
- 1.185 However, a number of the proposals that are associated with landscape enhancement and green infrastructure provision should have significant positive effects on this objective, enhancing the open and rural character of the District and providing high quality green space for amenity use.
- 1.186 In addition, significant positive effects are likely in relation to Strategy 5B: Sustainable Transport which now specifically addresses the environmental impacts of transport (reflecting a previous SA recommendation). It requires new development to incorporate proposals for encouraging the use of transport modes with low environmental impacts such as walking and cycling and the use of public transport.

1.187 Almost all of the effects identified in relation to this SA objective are either positive or significantly positive, due to the measures included within the development management policies to protect and enhance the character of the local environment. Many of the effects are broadly very similar to those relating to SA objective 9 above (landscape). As is the case for that objective, a small number of potentially minor negative effects have been identified, in relation to policies RC5: Community Buildings and TC7: Adequacy of Road Network and Site Access. Under policy RC5, community facilities may be allowed to be developed outside of built up area boundaries which could potentially have a negative effect on local character. TC7 allows for potential improvements to the highways network which could have a negative impact on the amenity and appearance of the local environment although this is uncertain without more information about the nature and location of any such development that may come forward.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 10

1.188 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 10: to maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on local amenity and environmental quality are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be both short and long-term, ongoing and permanent.

		SA Objective 10: To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment						
1 - 1		Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility		
	+/-	Direct	Local	High	Both short- term (disturbance to local amenity from construction and traffic) and long- term (changes to quality or character of local environment).	Ongoing	Permanent	

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.189 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on SA objective 10:
 - A policy should be included in the Core Strategy making reference to sustainable transport provision across the whole District, rather than just in the West End (Strategy 11). Reducing vehicle traffic on the roads of East Devon, particularly the smaller 'B' roads and rural lanes, would help to preserve and enhance local amenity.
- 1.190 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to this SA objective.

Strategic Policies

- 1.191 The likely effects of the strategic policies on biodiversity are mixed, with those policies that are directly intended to conserve and enhance the environment being associated with significant positive effects, as well as those providing for green infrastructure. Even where biodiversity is not the direct focus of a proposal, enhancement of the environment generally can often have associated indirect benefits for biodiversity; for example the provision of green infrastructure may improve habitat connectivity and prevent fragmentation as a result of urbanisation.
- 1.192 However, a number of the strategic policies direct large-scale development in the vicinity of designated nature conservation sites, particularly in the West End where sites such as the East Devon Pebblebed Heaths SAC/SPA and Exe Estuary SPA/Ramsar site are within fairly close proximity of strategic development sites. Strategy 27 also directs development to some villages such as Beer which are in close proximity of designated sites. Although the biodiversity impacts of the plan extend well beyond impacts on designated sites, it is important to note the proximity of development to these sites, which is being considered in more detail through the Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The proximity of sites that are allocated for development in the strategic policies to biodiversity designations is also considered in more detail in **Chapter 5**.
- 1.193 The latest HRA work for the Local Plan is the August 2015 HRA Report, which has taken into account all of the proposed changes to the Local Plan as submitted in 2013, including the most recent proposed changes (August 2015). It concluded that, in light of the August 2015 proposed changes which reflect discussions between EDDC and Natural England, the Local Plan accords with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations, and parent European Directives, i.e. the implementation of the Plan would not have likely significant effects on the integrity of any of the European sites in and around East Devon.

Development Management Policies

- 1.194 The majority of the effects that have been identified from the development management policies are positive, due to the measures included in the policies to ensure biodiversity benefits either directly (e.g. including impacts on biodiversity as a criterion to be considered in new development proposals) or indirectly (e.g. by encouraging the inclusion of green infrastructure and open space within development proposals.
- 1.195 However, a small number of potential negative effects have been identified in relation to policies E9 and E10 due to the development that may result from these policies, which could potentially have a negative impact on biodiversity. However, these impacts are uncertain at this stage without more information regarding the location and nature of any development proposals.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 11

1.196 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 11: to conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on local biodiversity are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district (as well as nationally and internationally where designated sites and species are affected), and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be both short and long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Obj	SA Objective 11: To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon						
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility	
+/-	Direct	Local (or national/international where designated sites of national or international importance are	High	Long- term	Ongoing	Permanent	

SA Obj	SA Objective 11: To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon						
	adversely affected).						

- 1.197 In partnership with Natural England, EDDC and its neighbouring authorities of Exeter City Council and Teignbridge District Council have determined that housing and tourist accommodation developments in their areas will in-combination have a detrimental impact on the Exe Estuary and East Devon Pebblebed Heaths SPAs through impacts from recreational use. The impacts are highest from developments within 10 kilometres of these SPAs. The three Councils have therefore prepared the South East Devon European Site Mitigation Strategy¹⁵, which sets out the specific requirements for delivering SANGs sites within East Devon. Strategies 10 and 47 in the Local Plan refer to the Mitigation Strategy; therefore all new development proposals within 10km of the SPAs will need to meet the SANGs requirements set out in the Mitigation Strategy, which should help to avoid adverse effects on the integrity of the SPAs.
- 1.198 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 11.

Objective 12: To promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths

Strategic Policies

- 1.199 In general, the strategic policies make strong provision for public transport development and use, for example through Strategy 11: Integrated Transport and Infrastructure Provision at East Devon's West End. The policies which direct development towards the west of the District, or within existing urban areas, are likely to have generally positive effects on this objective due to the more extensive opportunities that are likely to be available for making short journeys (e.g. to work, shops, community facilities etc.) by means other than private cars. The appraisal of the site specific allocations in the strategic policies (summarised earlier in this Non-Technical Summary) found that they are generally within close proximity of public transport links and many have nearby walking and cycle paths which can be used by residents and employees at the sites. In addition, Strategy 5B now specifically addresses sustainable transport (reflecting a previous SA recommendation), requiring development to incorporate proposals for encouraging walking and cycling and requiring development to be located in areas that enable the use of public transport.
- 1.200 However, a small number of policies could result in development in rural areas, where private car use is likely to remain high as the only feasible form of day-to-day transport. Due to the rural nature of much of East Devon District, it is essential that public transport infrastructure and services are provided to match the growing population, in order to avoid increasing congestion and air pollution as a result of ever increasing private car use.
- 1.201 As noted earlier, the location of new service and employment places in key centres such as market towns to provide for small scale development planned in surrounding villages is likely to increase the need for car travel from these villages.

Development Management Policies

- 1.202 The effects of the development management policies on this SA objective are very mixed. A number of positive effects are identified, in particular in relation to policies TC2 and TC4-6, which encourage the use of sustainable transport modes by addressing the issues of access and infrastructure provision. A large number of minor positive effects are also likely, where policies steer development to locations that would be more likely to be well-served by public transport infrastructure.
- 1.203 However, a number of potential negative effects have also been identified where policies may encourage development in rural parts of the District which may necessitate high levels of car use (due to the relatively poor public transport provision in those areas).

 $^{^{15}}$ South-east Devon European Site Mitigation Strategy. Footprint Ecology. June 2014.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 12

1.204 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 12: to promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on sustainable transport are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

	ve 12: To pi irney length	romote and enco s	ourage non-c	ar based mo	odes of trans	port and
Score	Direct /	Geographical	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibili

Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+/-	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.205 As above, for SA objective 10, the following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:
 - A policy should be included in the Core Strategy making reference to sustainable transport
 provision across the whole District, rather than just in the West End (Strategy 11). Reducing
 vehicle traffic on the roads of East Devon, particularly the smaller 'B' roads and rural lanes,
 would help to preserve and enhance local amenity.
- 1.206 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and the policy remains in the current version of the Local Plan, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 12.

Objective 13: To maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality

Strategic Policies

- 1.207 The strategic policies are likely to have a very mixed range of effects on this objective, given that the effects are largely dependent on the precise location of the development proposed and on the characteristics and vulnerabilities of that site and surrounding area. Potential minor negative effects were identified in relation to the proposal for development at Axminster (Strategy 20) in close proximity to the River Axe, which is designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and which is particularly vulnerable to water pollution. However, the mitigation included in this strategy is strong; therefore the likely effects are minor rather than significant. A significant negative effect is identified in relation to the proposal for development at Exmouth (Strategy 22), as it is close to the Exe Estuary SPA/Ramsar site, which could be adversely affected by water pollution.
- 1.208 It is possible that development on greenfield land will lead to soil compaction, which also accounts for a number of the potential negative effects identified.

Development Management Policies

- 1.209 The majority of the effects associated with the development management policies are positive or significantly positive, particularly in relation to EN13: Development on High Quality Agricultural Land and EN14: Control of Pollution, as these policies specifically address the issues of soil quality and pollution prevention. Similar significant positive effects are also associated with policies EN18: Maintenance of Water Quality and Quantity and EN19: Adequacy of Foul Sewers and Adequacy of Sewage Treatment Systems.
- 1.210 However, potential minor negative effects are associated with a number of the development management policies that may result in development (albeit of a small, localised nature, e.g.RC5: Community Buildings and E16: Proposals for Holiday or Overnight Accommodation and Associated

Facilities), particularly where this may come forward on greenfield land where high quality soil is more likely to be affected.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 13

1.211 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 13: to maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on air, soil and water quality are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 13: To maintain and enhance the environment in terms of air, soil and water quality							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility	
+/-	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent	

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.212 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:
 - The policies for development at Axminster and Exmouth should make specific reference to the need to protect water quality at the River Axe and the Exe Estuary designated sites, within the Environment sections of the policies.
- 1.213 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the Local Plan, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 13.

Objective 15: To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding

Strategic Policies

- 1.214 In a large number of cases, the strategic policies are expected to result in mixed effects on flood risk as development overall will increase the area of land in the District which is impermeable, having a potential adverse effect on increasing run-off and risk of flooding. However, new developments should offer good opportunities for incorporating measures such as SuDS which will help to reduce the flood risk, particularly in areas that have experienced significant flooding on more than one occasion in recent years, such as the low lying parts of Ottery St Mary and Feniton. The proximity of the specific site allocations made through the strategic policies to areas of high flooding risk has been considered separately.
- 1.215 All development will take place in the context of the overarching sustainable development policy (Strategy 3), which makes specific mention of the need to mitigate the risk of flooding, now also requiring developers to reduce the risk of flooding by incorporating measures such as sustainable drainage systems into new development (reflecting a previous SA recommendation).

Development Management Policies

- 1.216 Most of the development management policies are not expected to have a direct effect on this objective, although where effects are identified, they are mainly positive. In particular, policies EN18: Maintenance of Water Quality and Quantity, EN21: River and Coastal Flooding and EN22: Surface Runoff Implications of New Development are likely to have significant positive effects as they directly address this issue. A number of the other development management policies include flood risk in the criteria to be considered for the new development proposed in the policy.
- 1.217 However, a small number of minor negative effects have also been identified, all of which relate to the policies associated with employment, economic development, retail and tourism; recreation and community facilities or transportation and communication. The development that is likely to

come forward under these policies could have an adverse impact on flood risk as a result of an increase in the extent of impermeable surface, but this is uncertain due to the lack of precise information about the nature and design of any such development, as well as its precise location in relation to areas of higher flood risk.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 15

1.218 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 15: to ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on flood risk are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 15: To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility	
+/-	Mostly Indirect	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent	

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.219 The following action was recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:
 - Strategy 3: Sustainable Development could be strengthened by making specific reference to reducing the risk of flooding by incorporating measures such as sustainable drainage systems.
- 1.220 This recommendation was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the Local Plan, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 15.

Resource Consumption and Climate Change

Relevant SA Objectives

- 14. To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases.
- 16. To ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible.
- 17. To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal.

Objective 14: To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases

- 1.221 The likely impacts of the strategic policies on this objective are generally very mixed and are strongly associated with vehicle traffic generation. Where new housing or employment development is proposed within or near the key centres, e.g. in close proximity to the urban fringe around Exeter, there may be relatively good opportunities for public transport use, whereas development in more rural areas is likely to encourage the continued and increasing use of private cars, which would lead to higher greenhouse gas emissions.
- 1.222 Strategy 17: Future Development of Exeter Airport, which supports the expansion of Exeter International Airport, may have particularly significant negative effects on this objective, due to the high level of greenhouse gas emissions from aircraft. In contrast, the proposals which endorse sustainable design and construction measures and renewable energy use within the District (Strategies 3 and 38-41) are expected to have significant positive effects on reducing levels of greenhouse gas emissions.
- 1.223 Although the District contains no deep organic soils that are an important international store of carbon (such as the peat deposits found on Dartmoor), it is likely that the shallower peat soils

found on the pebble bed heaths, the organic matter present in the soils under permanent pasture and ancient woodland, and the timber in woodlands and hedgerows, represent locally significant stores of carbon. Section 17 of the Local Plan (Climate Change and Renewable Energy) now makes reference to the benefits provided by, and need to conserve, these environmental resources (reflecting a previous SA recommendation).

Development Management Policies

- 1.224 A large number of the development management policies are not expected to have an effect on this objective, including most of the policies associated with design standards and the natural and built environment. Where effects have been identified in those areas, they are minor positive effects where policies aim to increase the generation and use of renewable energy in new developments.
- 1.225 A wider mixture of minor positive and minor negative effects has been identified in relation to the policies associated with employment, economic development, retail and tourism, recreation and community facilities and transportation and communications. In general, where development could result from those development management policies which would increase vehicle traffic or the broad extent of development, potential negative effects have been highlighted. However, where policies aim to increase renewable energy production within new development (e.g. Strategy E7) or focus development in more easily accessible locations where opportunities for the use of sustainable transport are likely to be higher, positive impacts are likely.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 14

1.226 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 14: to contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on greenhouse gas emissions are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Object gases	SA Objective 14: To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility		
+/-	Direct	Local/ International	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent		

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.227 The following actions were recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:
 - Although Strategies 9 and 10 make mention of sustainable transport provision in the West End, an overarching policy should be included within the Local Plan relating to improving sustainable transport provision across the entire District. It is particularly important to address this issue due to the rural nature of the District, and the relatively poor public transport links currently in place across much of East Devon
 - The importance of the natural stores of organic carbon present in the District's heathland, pasture and woodland soils and in timber vegetation should be recognised in Sections 17 or 18 of the Local Plan.
- 1.228 These recommendations were addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remain in the current version of the Local Plan, and no further specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 14.

Strategic Policies

- 1.229 A large number of the strategic policies are expected to result in mixed effects as, although development in general will inevitably result in increased energy usage, new developments may offer good opportunities for incorporating renewable energy generation.
- 1.230 Strategies 3 and 38-41, which endorse renewable energy development and promote sustainable design and construction methods, should have a significant positive effect on this objective.

Development Management Policies

- 1.231 A large number of the development management policies, in particular those relating to design standards and the natural and built environment are not likely to have any effect on this SA objective. However, a number of minor negative effects have been identified in relation to policies associated with employment, economic development, retail and tourism and recreation and community facilities, mainly associated with new development that could result from the policies and the associated inevitable increase in energy consumption resulting from occupancy and operation of new buildings etc.
- 1.232 A small number of minor positive effects have also been identified where development management policies directly endorse energy efficiency measures in new developments.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 16

1.233 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 16: to ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on efficient energy consumption are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objec	SA Objective 16: To ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility		
+/-	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent		

Recommendations and Mitigation

- 1.234 The following actions were recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:
 - The Local Plan policies could be strengthened by stating explicitly within more of the strategic policies that renewable energy will be incorporated into the development planned.
 - Strategy 3 (Sustainable Development) makes reference to the need to reduce fossil fuel use; and this could be amended to ensure that an explicit reference to renewable energy development is included.
- 1.235 While the recommendation for Strategy 3 was addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remains in the current version of the Local Plan, the recommendation regarding references to renewable energy remains valid.

Objective 17: To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal

Strategic Policies

1.236 A large number of the strategic policies are expected to result in mixed effects on this SA objective, as although development in general is likely to result in increased waste production, new developments may offer improved opportunities for incorporating waste reduction measures and for the provision of recycling facilities.

1.237 Strategies 3 and 38, which set out measures for sustainable development and sustainable design and construction, should have a significant positive effect on this objective, as waste minimisation and re-use comprise an important component of taking a sustainable approach to design and construction. In addition, Strategy 38 requires that housing developments meet at least Level 4 from the Code for Sustainable Homes from 2013¹⁶, and that other uses have a BREAAM rating of at least 'Very Good'. Level 4 requires new homes to have a site waste management plan in place during the home's construction, adequate space for waste storage during its use, as well as providing recycling capacity either inside or outside the home. No other significant impacts on this objective have been identified through the appraisal.

Development Management Policies

- 1.238 A large number of the development management policies, in particular those relating to design standards and the natural and built environment are not likely to have any effect on this SA objective. However, a number of minor negative effects have been identified in relation to policies associated with employment, economic development, retail and tourism and recreation and community facilities, mainly associated with new development that could result from the policies and the associated potential increase in waste generation.
- 1.239 A small number of minor positive effects have also been identified where policies encourage resource efficiency, e.g. the sharing of community facilities (policy RC7) or food growing which will help to reduce packaging waste (policy RC3). However, none of these impacts are likely to be significant.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 17

1.240 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 17: to promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on waste management are likely to be direct, occurring locally throughout the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term (from 2013), ongoing and permanent.

	SA Objective 17: To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility		
+/-	Direct	Local (District-wide)	High	Long-term (from 2013)	Ongoing	Permanent		

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.241 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 17.

Economic Growth, Education and Employment

Relevant SA Objectives

- 3. To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to meet the needs of the local population and meet local employment needs
- 18. To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce.
- 19. To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon.

¹⁶ It is noted that the Code for Sustainable Homes was withdrawn by the Government in March 2015, although the management of legacy cases is an exception.

• 20. To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment.

Objective 3: To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to meet the needs of the local population and meet local employment needs

Strategic Policies

1.242 A large number of the strategic Local Plan policies are not considered likely to affect this objective, but where effects are identified, they are generally positive or significantly positive. In most cases, the positive effects are associated with increased employment provision, and therefore a likely increase in the available opportunities for work-based training and skills development, rather than with the direct provision of school and college places. Strategy 31: Future Job and Employment Land Provision now makes particular reference to the opportunities for employers to provide work-based training opportunities (reflecting a previous SA recommendation).

Development Management Policies

- 1.243 None of the development management policies associated with design standards or residential development are likely to have an effect on this objective. However, a large number of potentially minor negative effects have been identified in relation to the policies associated with the natural and built environment. This is mainly because the policies include criteria aimed to protect the natural and built environment which could result in restrictions being placed on economic development and therefore the associated education and learning opportunities. As with the strategic policies, the effects are more to do with the extent of employment provision, and therefore the likely effects on opportunities for work-based training and skills development, rather than with education provision.
- 1.244 Most of the policies relating to employment, economic development, retail and tourism and recreation and community facilities are likely to have negligible effects on this objective; however a number of minor positive have been identified where policies aim to safeguard land for employment sites or extend existing sites, or where they will lead to the provision of employment opportunities in conjunction with new housing development.
- 1.245 The effects of most of the transport and communications policies on this SA objective are almost all negligible; however one minor negative effect has been identified in relation to policy TC12, which could potentially restrict development (including economic development which would otherwise provide employment opportunities) within aerodrome safeguarding areas and public safety zones.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 3

1.246 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a minor positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 3: to provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to meet the needs of the local population and meet local employment needs, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on education and skills are likely to be direct, occurring locally throughout the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 3: To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to meet the needs of the local population and meet local employment needs

Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

Recommendations and Mitigation

1.247 The following actions were recommended during the SA of the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan (May 2012) in order to improve the overall effects of the policies on this objective:

- Where housing development is proposed and it is stated that community services/facilities will be provided to support the growing population, it should be made consistently clear where this will include education facilities such as additional school and college places.
- More recognition could be made within the policies of the potential benefits that can be achieved through employment provision in terms of education and skills development, for example within Strategy 31: Future Job and Employment Land Provision, some reference could be made to the provision of work-based training opportunities.
- 1.248 These recommendations were addressed in the Publication Draft Local Plan (October 2012) and remain in the current version of the Local Plan, and no further specific recommendations were made with particular reference to this SA objective.

Objective 18: To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce

Strategic Policies

- 1.249 The Local Plan strategic polices overall are likely to have a large number of positive effects on this objective, as provision is made for a large number of jobs to support population growth, with these opportunities being reasonably well spread across the District rather than being focussed only in the West End. The proximity of the employment site allocations made through the strategic policies to existing and future residential development in East Devon has been considered in the separate SA of site options earlier in this Non-Technical Summary.
- 1.250 However, two of the strategic policies (Strategies 7: Development in the Countryside and 8: Development in Green Wedges) could have a minor negative effect on this objective, as the restrictions that they place on development could potentially restrict economic growth and therefore employment opportunities.

Development Management Policies

- 1.251 None of the development management policies associated with design standards or new residential development are likely to have an effect on this objective. A large number of potentially minor negative effects have been identified in relation to the policies associated with the natural and built environment, the majority of which are again related to the restrictions that the policies may put on economic development and therefore the associated employment opportunities in the District.
- 1.252 A large number of minor positive, and some significant positive effects, are associated with the policies relating to employment, economic development, retail and tourism, as the nature of these policies means that they aim to achieve economic development and employment benefits. In particular, significant positive effects are associated with policies E2 and E7 as they relate specifically to enhancing employment opportunities in the District. Most of the transportation and communications policies will have no effect on this SA objective.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 18

1.253 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a minor positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 18: to maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on employment are likely to be direct, occurring locally throughout the district, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 18: To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to
match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce

Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility
+	Direct	Local (District-wide)	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent

1.254 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 18.

Objective 19: To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon

Strategic Policies

- 1.255 The strategic policies that focus on developing East Devon's West End are generally considered likely to have negative effects on the smaller towns of the District, particularly Strategies 9: Major Development at East Devon's West End. This policy will focus significant amounts of economic development in the West End, which will draw people and resources away from the smaller towns which lie further east and may leave them vulnerable to becoming 'dormitory towns', in which people live, but commute westwards for work and other activities.
- 1.256 However, the strategic policies that describe the development to be undertaken in the towns of East Devon (Exmouth, Honiton, Ottery St Mary, Seaton, Sidmouth, Budleigh Salterton and Axminster) should have significant positive effects on this objective. As well as providing for housing development, these strategies set out a more rounded approach, with the provision of employment land and facilities to support the growing populations, and ensuring that the towns are able to retain a decent level of self-sufficiency (in terms of enabling residents to live and work in the same town). These effects are also discussed in relation to the specific site allocations for each town earlier in this Non-Technical Summary.

Development Management Policies

- 1.257 A large number of the development management policies, including all of the policies associated with design standards and the natural and built environment, are expected to have no effect on this SA objective. Where effects have been identified, these are largely positive, in particular the policies associated with employment, economic development, retail and tourism. In particular, policies E9: Town Centre Shopping Areas, E10: Primary Shopping Frontages and E11: Large Stores and Retail-Related Uses in Town Centres are all likely to have a significant positive effect on this SA objective as they are all focussed on enhancing the quality of the District's town centres and their service provision, which should help to maintain and enhance vitality and viability of the towns.
- 1.258 None of the development management policies are likely to have negative effects on this SA objective, although a small number of mixed effects have been identified. For example, policy E18: Loss of Holiday Accommodation will have a mixed effect as ongoing tourism in the towns which are listed in the policy will benefit the local economy and help to secure jobs; however if the change of use of holiday accommodation into uses such as affordable housing were to be permitted, there may be greater benefits to the vitality of these towns. Policy RC5: Community Buildings may also have mixed effects, as the provision of additional services and facilities could increase the viability of the District's towns if the facilities are provided within those towns, but where they are developed in villages, this may reduce the extent to which people use the towns for services and facilities.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 19

1.259 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a mixed (both positive and negative) effect is likely in relation to SA objective 19: to maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on the vitality and viability of the towns of East Devon are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the towns of the District, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Obje Devon	SA Objective 19: To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon								
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility			
+/-	Direct (Strategies	Local (towns	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent			

SA Objective 19: To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon								
for the East Devon Towns)/ Indirect (Strategies for West End)	in the District)							

1.260 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 19.

Objective 20: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment

Strategic Policies

- 1.261 The majority of the strategic policies are likely to have either a positive or significant positive effect on this objective, as the Local Plan overall makes significant provision for employment land and other development that will increase the attractiveness of the District to investors.
- 1.262 However, a small number of the proposals that relate to conditions for development, for example requiring financial contributions towards green infrastructure or affordable housing provision, may have the opposite effect, making developers less inclined to invest in East Devon. However, it is likely that any such requirements will be on a par with those in place in other Districts, and the benefits of developing in East Devon should remain such that they outweigh any potential deterrents to investors.

Development Management Policies

- 1.263 A large number of the development management policies are not expected to have an effect on this objective; however where effects have been identified, there are a mixture of minor positive and minor negative effects.
- 1.264 Most of the policies associated with design standards and with the natural and built environment will have no effect, although a potential minor negative effect is associated with policy D4:

 Applications for Display of Advertisements because the restrictions imposed by the policy could have a negative effect on local businesses.
- 1.265 A mixture of minor positive and minor negative effects are associated with the employment, economic development, retail and tourism and transportation and communication policies, due to the measures that they include to increase economic activity and encourage investment in the District, for example the measures associated with the provision of employment land and maintaining attractive and viable town centres that will be appealing for shops to locate in.

Summary of Effects on SA Objective 20

1.266 Overall, considering both the strategic and development management policies, a minor positive effect is likely in relation to SA objective 20: to encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment, as shown in the table below. In relation to the other considerations required by the SEA Directive, the effects of the Local Plan on encouraging investment are likely to be direct, occurring locally within the District, and have a high probability of occurring. Effects of the Local Plan on this objective are likely to be long-term, ongoing and permanent.

SA Objective 20: To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment							
Score	Direct / indirect	Geographical Scale	Probability	Duration	Frequency	Reversibility	
+	Direct	Local	High	Long-term	Ongoing	Permanent	

1.267 No specific recommendations are made with particular reference to SA objective 20.

Monitoring

1.268 The SEA Regulations require that monitoring is undertaken in relation to the significant effects of implementing the Plan in question. **Table 12** below sets out a number of suggested indicators for monitoring the potential significant sustainability effects of implementing the East Devon Local Plan. Note that the indicators proposed are included as suggestions, and reflect the indicators proposed within the monitoring framework for the Local Plan itself as the data collected will also be relevant to understanding sustainability effects in many instances.

Table 12: Monitoring Indicators for the East Devon Local Plan

SA objectives for which potential	Suggested indicators
significant effects have been identified 1. To ensure everybody has the opportunity to live in a decent home.	 Number of new homes built annually within the District. Percentage of all new homes delivered in the District that are affordable.
2. To ensure that all groups of the population have access to community services.	 Amount of residential completions within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, a hospital, a primary school, a secondary school, an employment centre and a major health centre.
3. To provide for education, skills and lifelong learning to: i. meet the needs of the local population, and ii. meet local employment needs. 4. To increase the result is a factor of the allegements.	 Number of new work-based apprenticeships offered in the District annually. Number of new school places created in the District annually.
4. To improve the population's health. 5. To reduce crime and fear of crime.	 Average life expectancy in the District. Number of crimes reported annually in the District.
6. To reduce noise levels and minimise exposure of people to unacceptable levels of noise pollution.	Number of noise pollution incidents reports annually.
7. To maintain and improve cultural, social and leisure provision.	 Percentage of eligible open spaces managed to green flag award standard.
8. To maintain and enhance built and historic assets.	 Number of heritage assets within the District on the 'Heritage at Risk Register'.
9. To promote the conservation and wise use of land and protect and enhance the landscape character of East Devon.	Percentage of new development taking place on brownfield land.
10. To maintain the local amenity, quality and character of the local environment.	Number of complaints made to EDDC regarding noise pollution.
11. To conserve and enhance the biodiversity of East Devon.	Change in areas and populations of biodiversity importance, including (i) change in priority habitats and species (by type); and (ii) change in areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value including sites of international, national, regional, subregional significance (changes arising from development, management and planning agreements, in hectares and numbers of priority species type).
12. To promote and encourage non-car based modes of transport and reduce journey lengths.	 Frequency of bus services in the District's villages.
13. To maintain and enhance the environment	Number of declared Air Quality Management

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Suggested indicators
in terms of air, soil and water quality.	Areas (AQMAs) in the District.
14. To contribute towards a reduction in local emissions of greenhouse gases.	Renewable energy capacity installed by type.
15. To ensure that there is no increase in the risk of flooding.	 Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on either flood defence grounds or water quality.
16. To ensure energy consumption is as efficient as possible.	Renewable energy capacity installed by type.
17. To promote wise use of waste resources whilst reducing waste production and disposal.	 Volume of waste generated in the District annually. Percentage of waste generated in the District that is recycled.
18. To maintain sustainable growth of employment for East Devon, to match levels of jobs with the economically active workforce.	 Amount of land (defined by completed sqm gross floorspace) developed for employment by type. Amount of employment land lost to residential development
19. To maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the Towns of East Devon.	Amount of completed retail development in town centres.
20. To encourage and accommodate both indigenous and inward investment.	 Amount of land (defined by completed SqM gross floorspace) developed for employment by type.

Conclusions

- 1.269 The East Devon Local Plan is likely to have generally positive effects on the SA objectives. There are relatively few adverse effects expected as a result of the policies in the Local Plan, with those that have been identified mainly relating to noise levels and the impacts of development on biodiversity interests within the District. Some caution is needed as there are still a number of policies with mixed or as yet uncertain effects and so the way in which the policies are implemented will be the key determinant of their eventual impacts.
- 1.270 While a range of both positive and negative effects have been identified in relation to the site allocations in the Local Plan, it is recognised that the likely effects of the allocated sites are broadly more favourable than the alternative sites considered. While there is some potential for negative effects from the development proposed (e.g. in relation to environmental considerations such as noise, landscape character and biodiversity), it is likely that such effects can be successfully mitigated through the appropriate implementation of other Local Plan policies.
- 1.271 A number of recommendations for amendments/additions to the Local Plan policies have been made throughout the SA process and the majority of these have been taken into account in the latest version of the Local Plan.
- 1.272 More detailed information about the SA process and its findings can be found in the full SA Report which is available from East Devon District Council, and on its website.

LUC August 2015