

**EAST DEVON DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**Minutes of a Meeting of the Cabinet**  
**held in the Council Chamber, Knowle, Sidmouth**  
**on Wednesday, 5 October 2011**

**Present:**

**Councillors:**

Paul Diviani (Leader/Chairman)  
Andrew Moulding (Vice Chairman)

Ray Bloxham  
Iain Chubb  
David Cox  
Jill Elson  
Graham Godbeer  
Ian Thomas  
Chris Wale

**Also  
Present:**

**Councillors:**

Mike Allen  
Roger Boote  
Peter Bowden  
Derek Button  
Geoff Chamberlain  
David Chapman  
Maddy Chapman  
Deborah Custance Baker  
Alan Dent  
Christine Drew  
Vivien Duval Steer  
Steve Gazzard  
Peter Halse

Ben Ingham  
Sheila Kerridge  
Jim Knight  
Frances Newth  
John O'Leary  
Helen Parr  
Geoff Pook  
Pauline Stott  
Graham Troman  
Phil Twiss  
Mark Williamson  
Tim Wood  
Tom Wright

**Also  
present:**

**Officers:**

Mark Williams, Chief Executive  
Richard Cohen, Deputy Chief Executive  
Denise Lyon, Deputy Chief Executive  
Simon Davey, Head of Finance  
Kate Little, Head of Economy  
Simon Smale, Head of Environment  
Karen Jenkins, Corporate Organisational Development Manager  
Rachel Pocock, Corporate Legal & Democratic Services Manager  
Donna Best, Principal Estates Surveyor  
Paul Lowe, Housing Enabling Officer  
Fliss Morey, Projects Director Exeter & East Devon Growth Point  
Diana Vernon, Democratic Services Manager

**Apologies**

**Councillors**

Stephanie Jones

**Non-Cabinet  
Members:**

Steve Hall  
Tony Howard  
John Humphreys

Ken Potter  
Peter Sullivan

The meeting started at 5.30 pm and ended at 8.20 pm.

**\*74 Public Questions**

Members of the public present wished to address the Cabinet on Agenda Item 21: Honiton Community Centre and opted to speak in advance of that item.

**\*75 Declarations of interest**

Councillor/ Officer	Minute number	Type of interest	Nature of interest
Paul Diviani	92	Personal	County Councillor – Honiton St Pauls
Mike Allen	92	Personal	Member of Honiton Town Council
Peter Halse	92	Personal	Member of Honiton Town Council

**\*76 Minutes**

The minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 7 September 2011 were confirmed and signed as a true record subject to the note at Minute 75 (Financial Strategy) to read:

“For clarification in 4.2 of the Report the Table (which sets out targets and actions to address the shortfall on the General Fund balance) has income shown of £450k for each of the five years. Although correct in cash terms, in that monies will be received each year, once adjusted in the base budget the monies cannot be used as a new item each year.

The Table is constructed assuming the Council will balance its budgets each year. Any deficit will be found and is not carried forward. Therefore, the £450k is used to balance the first year’s deficit and will then be incorporated in the base budget for subsequent years; consequently when a further deficit arises in the following year the £450k cannot be used as additional income again.

This amendment has no effect on the 2012/13 calculation but future years deficits have been mitigated by assuming more than the initial £450k will be received; closer to the service’s prediction of income levels.”

**\*77 Exclusion of the Public**

**RESOLVED:** that the classification given to the documents to be submitted to the Cabinet, be confirmed, and that the reports relating to exempt information, be dealt with under Part B.

**\*78 Forward Plan**

The contents of the Forward Plan for the period 1 October 2011 to 31 January 2012 were noted. Up-dates would be reported to the next meeting of the Cabinet.

**\*79 Matters referred to the Cabinet**

There were no matters referred to the Cabinet by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or the Council.

**\*80 Minutes of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting 1 September 2011**

The minutes of the meeting of the Housing Review Board held on 8 September 2011 had been included in the October Council minute book and would be included on the agenda of the November Cabinet for information. Councillor Stott, Chairman, asked Members to note that Jim Knight had been nominated as Board Member Champion – this appointment would be confirmed at the meeting of the Council.

The Cabinet noted the minutes of the meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 1 September 2011.

**RESOLVED:** that the following decisions be noted:

**Minute 24** (1) that an update on possible use of the Probation Service for Streetscene work be provided to the Committee by the next meeting;

(2) that the performance management report for the first quarter of 2011/12 be noted.

**Minute 25** that the Committee's Forward Plan be up-dated with additions considered at the meeting including a future item on Section 106 agreements and Council responsibilities in respect of the new ECC bathing directive.

**81 Progress on the Council Plan & associated strategies – Key Decision**

Councillor Bloxham, Portfolio Holder – Corporate Business, introduced this agenda item. He began by thanking members of his Think Tank, Denise Lyon and other Senior Officers for their valued contribution. The Council Plan 2011-2015, currently in draft form was a strategic document that underlined Council priorities and values and now included a greater focus on Council services. Objectives were 'smart' and included time-scaled targets. It was an ambitious forward looking succinct Plan. It included the underpinning strategic plans for the Council's three main service areas - Housing and Community, Economy and Tourism and Environment. The Plan, together with the Financial Strategy, was the main driver for the work of the Council's services.

The content detail and text were likely to be amended but, at this stage in its draft preparation, Members were invited to comment on the way forward and proposed design and layout of the Plan.

The Think Tank would include recommendations from the Cabinet and further refine the content before it was referred back to the Cabinet at its meeting at the end of November.

## 81 **Progress on the Council Plan & associated strategies – Key Decision (cont)..**

A number of suggested amendments were made including:

- That the environment be ‘enhanced’ rather than ‘protected’
- Inclusion of ‘digital by design’ as an under-pinning strategy.
- Greater reference to ‘localism’ within body of text
- Use of time-lines and appropriate costing within an action plan to facilitate on-going monitoring
- Emphasise the importance of achieving best value for money
- Need to identify how to narrow the gap between housing provision and the needs of young people – emphasise the need to provide sites for housing and improve economic opportunities.

The Leader congratulated those involved for drafting such a useful and readable document.

- RECOMMENDED**
- (1) that the proposed purposes, priorities and values within the Council Plan be agreed in principle;
  - (2) that the structure, design and layout of the Council Plan and the three underpinning strategies be agreed in principle;
  - (3) that the content of the Council Plan to date be agreed in principle with Denise Lyon, Deputy Chief Executive, being given delegated authority to complete the Plan after consulting with the Portfolio Holder – Corporate Business – the Plan to be brought back to the 30 November 2011 Cabinet meeting for consideration before being referred to Council for final approval.

**REASON**

Members recognised the importance of getting the Plan and underlying strategies right as this, together with the Financial Strategy, was the main driver for the work of the Council’s services. The Plan and the three strategic plans would be aligned with Council budgets to detail how the Council aimed to enhance the District as a place to live, work and play.

## \*82 **Exeter and East Devon Growth Point Progress report on major projects**

Members considered the report of the Projects Director, Exeter and East Devon Growth Point. This together with a power-point presentation gave an update on major Growth Point projects. Over £38m of public funding for Cranbrook and its associated infrastructure had now been secured.

Updates given included Cranbrook (Phase One), Cranbrook Main Local Route and Multi Purpose Building, First Primary School Phase One, Cranbrook Town Centre, Railway Station, Clyst Honiton Bypass, Skypark, Energy Centre and District Heating Network, Science Park, Inter-Modal Freight Terminal, Flybe Academy and Hotel, M5 – J29 Road Improvements and Redhayes Bridge.

**\*82 Exeter and East Devon Growth Point Progress report on major projects (cont)..**

Members were made aware that due to challenging market conditions, alternative options were currently being explored to help bring forward delivery of the employment sites at the Science Park and Skypark.

The Chairman of the Local Development Framework Panel described the Growth Point initiative as visionary and a positive example of effective partnership working. He advised that it should be given wide publicity in order to attract further economic growth and innovation.

A further presentation to give an update to a joint local parish meeting was being arranged.

The Portfolio Holder – Strategic Development and Partnerships was amongst the Members present who valued the up-date given and praised the significant work carried out by the Growth Point Team.

**RESOLVED** that the report and progress on the major projects within the Growth Point be noted.

**REASON** Members noted progress being made against key milestones and the current position in relation to all the major projects in the Growth Point.

**\*83 Exeter and East Devon Growth Point Delivery Team - funding**

Members considered the report of the Projects Director, Exeter and East Devon Growth Point which set out the current budget position for the Growth Point Delivery Team. Members were asked to consider proposals in respect of staff contracts for the next two financial years and a future work programme in line with the bid for Transitional Funding recently awarded to the Growth Point. The Team would continue to explore further funding opportunities. The Growth Point's vision was to realise the full economic potential of Exeter and East Devon. The importance of sustainable development and high standards of design quality was emphasised in the report.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the award of Transitional Funding providing a Grant of £90,158 for 2011/12 and £72,967 for 2012/13 be noted together with the agreed match funding in relation to this grant from the Growth Point Partnership of £45,000 for 2011/12, including a financial contribution of £15,000 from EDDC;
  - (2) that match funding of the Transitional Funding award for 2012/13 be agreed with a financial contribution of £36,484 from the Growth Point Partnership, including a financial contribution of £12,161 from EDDC;
  - (3) that the Delivery Team continue to be hosted within EDDC, with the Delivery Team staff being employed in accordance with the details set out in the main body of the report which includes the team structure and work programme;

\*83 **Exeter and East Devon Growth Point Progress report on major projects (cont)..**

- (4) that the funding options for the future of the team be reviewed within the next 12 months with a view to finding a solution to the long term financial sustainability, in order to continue the agreed work programme and maintain the capacity and skills built up to date.

**REASON**

The Delivery Team had the support of the Council, the Growth Point Partnership, private sector partners and the Government in carrying out its work programme. It has a proven track record of working in partnership to deliver housing and employment growth. There was an agreed work programme in place and it was acknowledged that the knowledge, skills and capacity provided by the team were essential components of the successful delivery. There was now sufficient funding in place to sustain the team for a further two years, on the basis of the projected costs set out in the report. The Council wished to continue hosting and providing additional financial support to the team for these reasons.

\*84 **Introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and potential governance arrangements**

The Head of Economy presented the report on the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) which allowed local authorities in England to raise funds from developers undertaking new building projects in their areas. Money raised could then be used to fund a wide range of infrastructure needed as a result of the development. The Cabinet had agreed in principle, at its July meeting, to introduce the Levy in association with the Core Strategy/Local Plan. The report set out how this could be achieved in practice including the publication of a Charging Schedule and governance arrangements, particularly in respect of the Growth Point area which straddled three local planning areas.

**RESOLVED**

- (1) that the main procedural issues that will need to be addressed in introducing the Levy and the likely timescales be noted;
- (2) that Option 2 for a cross boundary joint committee (or other appropriate delivery vehicle) made up of elected representatives of EDDC, Exeter City Council and Devon County Council be promoted for the provision of infrastructure;
- (3) that the selection of options be reported back to the next meeting of the Exeter and Heart of Devon Growth Board on 31 October 2011

**REASON**

To establish the main procedural issues (including potential governance options to address cross boundary provision of infrastructure) associated with the introduction of the Levy and the likely timescale for it becoming operational.

\*85 **Local Revolving Infrastructure Fund**

Members considered the report of the Head of Economy in respect of the Local Revolving Infrastructure Fund (considered at the July Cabinet meeting). This would be 'forward funding' to help ensure the provision of infrastructure to support development. It needed to be considered alongside the introduction of other incentives, including the New Homes Bonus and the local retention of Business Rates. The initiative would help overcome the up-front infrastructure costs which proved a major barrier to delivery of development. The Fund would be recouped over time as the development proceeded and the Community Infrastructure Levy was collected.

Members raised concerns about the potential risks involved in the initiative. They were assured that a further paper would be presented to a future meeting of the Cabinet giving examples and a risk analysis.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the concept of a Local Revolving Infrastructure Fund and its potential application alongside the Community Infrastructure Levy and other incentives be noted;
  - (2) that the identified next steps including identifying and working up specific examples of how the Fund could operate be endorsed;
  - (3) that a further paper be presented to the Cabinet on how the Fund could operate in practice detailing potential benefits and drawbacks.

**REASON** To progress the introduction of a Local Infrastructure Levy and how this could be applied. The Council needed to be aware of the implications; including financial and the benefits and drawbacks which would be further considered in a future report.

\*86 **Financial monitoring report 2011/12 – Month 5**

Members considered the financial monitoring report which gave a summary of the Council's overall financial position for 2011/12 at the end of month 5 (31 August 2011). Current monitoring indicated that:

- The General Fund Balance was being maintained at or above the adopted level.
- It was estimated that the Housing Revenue Account Balance would be maintained at or above the adopted level
- There was sufficient Capital Reserve to balance this year's Capital Programme but it was estimated that only £0.702m would be available in the Reserve to support the Programme from 2012/13 onwards.

**RESOLVED** that the variances identified as part of the Revenue and Capital Monitoring process up to Month 5 be noted;

**REASON** To keep the Council's finances under monthly review, including considering whether any corrective actions were required for the remainder of the financial year.

**\*87 Consultation on the localisation of Council Tax Benefit**

Members considered the report of the Revenues and Benefits Manager setting out the details of a consultation document issued by the Department for Communities and Local Government on their proposals for new localised schemes to replace the National Council Tax Benefit System. (The consultation document had been made available electronically with the agenda).

Areas of concern within the proposals were highlighted with the main concerns being in respect of ensuring the scheme was fair, that vulnerable people were safeguarded and that it could be delivered with reduced funding by April 2013. Members were advised that due to the high proportion of the East Devon population being above retirement age and therefore protected within the proposed scheme, the Council's working age customers would be worst affected.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the Government's proposal for a new localised Council Tax Benefit Scheme and what this could mean for EDDC be noted;
  - (2) that delegated authority be given to the Head of Finance in consultation with the Portfolio Holder – Finance to respond to the consultation questions;
  - (3) that EDDC engage with local MPs and the Local Government Association to raise the Council's concerns in respect of the Government's proposals.

**REASON** To highlight this Council's concerns in respect of the Government's proposals and the significant implications for the Council and the people living in East Devon.

**\*88 Local Government Resource Review: Proposals for Business Rates retention**

Members considered the report of the Head of Finance setting out proposals for Business Rates retention. The Council had been asked to respond to a Government consultation paper. Members recognised the value of this initiative in helping to encourage business growth and supported the proposals in general and awaited further detail on the scheme. The Council's response would be in line with the Local Government Association stance.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the Government's proposals for Business Rates retention be noted;
  - (2) that delegated authority be given to the Head of Finance in consultation with the Portfolio Holder – Finance to respond to the consultation questions.

**REASON** To provide feedback on the Government proposals – Members were supportive of the main principles of the scheme.

**\*89 Performance monitoring report until August 2011**

Denise Lyon, Deputy Chief Executive, presented the performance information for the 2011/12 financial year until August 2011.

The information indicated that all services showed good steady performance.

**RESOLVED** that the progress and proposed remedial action for performance measures for the 2011/12 financial year until August 2011 be noted.

**REASON** To enable the Cabinet to continue to monitor the Council's progress in achieving excellent customer service using relevant performance measures.

**\*90 Private Sector Housing Enforcement Charges**

Members considered the report of the Private Sector Housing Manager setting out proposed charges for the service of enforcement notices where informal action had failed to remedy private housing in poor condition. Members noted that the Housing Act 2004 permitted the Council to charge for costs incurred in carrying out certain duties under the Act.

**RESOLVED** that the charges to cover Private Sector Housing Enforcement costs (where enforcement action is taken in respect of housing in poor condition that is a risk to health, safety or welfare of the occupiers) ,as set out in Appendix A to the report, be agreed

**REASON** To make charges to cover costs incurred in respect of Private Sector Housing Enforcement as permitted under the Housing Act 2004.

**\*91 Exmouth Imperial Recreation Ground, Landfill Protection**

Members considered the report of the Senior Engineer (Technical) in respect of a request from the Environment Agency for the Council to carry out maintenance work on the boundary of the Council's landfill site at the Imperial Recreation Ground to stop material leaching out into the Exe Estuary. The two possible options were set out in the report, namely either to lift and repair the mattresses (25 year design life - £650,000) or to replace the mattresses (50 year design life - £125,000).

**RESOLVED** that an application for planning permission be made to replacement the landfill protection mattresses on the Council's landfill site at Imperial Recreation Ground to give a design life of 50 years.

**REASON** The Council is acting as responsible landowners in taking steps to prevent pollutants escaping into controlled waters. The agreed replacement scheme would meet the preference of the Environment Agency for a scheme with a 50 year design life and would achieve best value for money.

## Honiton Community Centre

Syd Taylor, Honiton resident posed a number of questions for the Cabinet to consider. He made reference to the proposed costs, lack of public backing for the scheme, the significant usage of the Dowell Street Car Park by private and commercial vehicles, potential congestion issues and questioned whether the Centre could ever be self-financing.

Town Councillor Vernon Whitlock, Honiton Mayor, referred to his note circulated to Members in advance of the meeting. He said that Honiton needed community facilities and confirmed that the Town Council was committed to building the Community Centre and hoped to work in partnership with the district council. The aim would be for the Centre to be a viable concern.

The Chairman invited Ward Members to speak on the planned construction of the new community centre for Honiton and the following points were raised:

- The Town Council could increase its borrowing.
- There were concerns in respect of revenue costs.
- The local community was concerned about the burden of cost.
- It was important to use the most suitable site.
- The Centre needed a sound/robust business plan.
- There had been very limited public fund-raising.
- The Town Council would need support in taking the project forward – including legal and tender advice and guidance.
- There was an opportunity to include the Town Council offices within the proposed new EDDC offices in Honiton.
- The Community Centre should be scaled down to meet current budget, be fit for purpose and be able to support future revenue costs.
- The Town Council should be given guidance on how to apply for grants and funding.
- The Town Council needed more time – appropriate to ‘stop the clock’. The Town Council had a two year time limit set by the District Council in terms of its funding availability. This limit needed to be ‘stopped’ pending a final decision on site location and project continuation.

Richard Cohen, Deputy Chief Executive advised that survey work at the Dowell Street site had revealed ‘soft spots’ and contamination which would require remedial engineering works at an estimated cost of £140,000. He had included a range of options, (with pros and cons) in the report to address with this unforeseen additional cost. Members noted that Honiton Town Council advised that it had reached its borrowing limit for this project.

The Portfolio Holder Economy recognised that the Town Council needed professional help in preparing a business plan. This needed to be robust and demonstrate public support and levels of future use.

Following discussion in respect of the £140,000 shortfall, the Chief Executive advised that if the Cabinet was minded to increase its contribution or provide security to a loan, adjustments would have to be made to the Council’s budget.

92

**Honiton Community Centre (cont)..**

- RECOMMENDED**
- (1) that the existing budget made available for the construction of a new community centre for Honiton be retained and not increased to cover the £140,000 shortfall;
  - (2) that Richard Cohen, Deputy Chief Executive help the Honiton Town Council prepare a robust and feasible business plan with delegated authority being given to the Chief Executive to progress this work within the guidelines discussed by the Cabinet.

**REASON** To continue to support the Honiton Community Centre initiative whilst recognising that the Council had to control its budgets.

\*93

**Exclusion of the Public**

**RESOLVED** that under Section 100(A) (4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the public (including the press) be excluded from the meeting as exempt information, is likely to be disclosed and on balance the public interest is in discussing the items in private session (Part B).

\*94

**Exmouth Regeneration Programme Board – 9 September 2011**

Members considered the action points from a meeting of the Exmouth Regeneration Programme Board held on 9 September 2011. It was noted that an Extra Ordinary Meeting of the Board would be held on 10 November 2011 to consider responses to consultation on the Draft Master Plan.

It was noted that the document needed to be amended to include Councillor Jill Elson' in the list of those present.

\*95

**The Strand, Exmouth**

Members considered the joint report of the Principal Estates Surveyor, Richard Cohen, Deputy Chief Executive, Acting Streetscene Manager, Head of Environment and Property Services Manager in respect of the Strand enhancement work - in particular the construction of a multipurpose pavilion and the future cleansing and maintenance of the Strand area. Relevant appendices in respect of Heads of Terms and other Strand issues including licences and by-laws were circulated to Members at the meeting.

Members spoke in favour of the enhancement work and its usage. Concerns raised included in respect of cleanliness, skateboarding, crossing, and the need for a shelter. It was suggested that take-away establishments could be targeted to ensure that they complied with the terms of their licence conditions in respect of keeping the area clear of rubbish. Members were also advised that the local Police and Community Safety Officer were keeping a watching brief of the area in respect of skateboarding/ cycling usage.

It was noted that catering and food businesses within the Strand had benefited from the enhancement.

\*95 **The Strand, Exmouth (cont)..**

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the submission by Devon County Council of a revised multipurpose pavilion building for the Strand be endorsed;
  - (2) that the purchase of a Hako sweeper/washer/scrubber and the additional associated running costs as detailed in the report be approved to enhance street cleansing capability to the Strand public realm;
  - (3) that discussions be pursued with Devon County Council to explore a shared outlay on the costs of maintenance and cleaning of the Strand public space;
  - (4) that a management and licensing regime be encouraged that promotes The Strand as a lively public space for the enjoyment of Exmouth's residents and visitors and for the commercial benefit of our businesses.

**REASON** The reasons are as set out in the report.

\*96 **Seaton Regeneration – Visitor Centre**

Members considered the report of the Principal Estates Surveyor in respect of a request to use the pre-development budget to commence marketing the Visitor Centre with a view to seeking an operator. At its meeting on 13 July 2011, the Cabinet had agreed to commence marketing the Visitor Centre.

**RESOLVED** that a maximum of 50% of the pre-development fund set aside for the Visitor Centres in East Devon be made available to spend on a high quality marketing exercise amongst the widest possible range of potential occupiers of the Seaton Visitor Centre;

**REASON** To help progress the initiative.

\*97 **Affordable Housing – Phase 3 Bonners Glen, Axminster**

Members considered the report of the Housing Enabling Officer seeking approval to the disposal of its site at Bonners Glen to a Housing Association partner (Sanctuary Housing) to help deliver a development of ten new affordable homes.

**RESOLVED** that approval be given to the transfer of land by way of a 125 year lease at a nominal rent to Sanctuary Housing Association; and the demolition of two existing council houses to provide approximately ten new affordable homes;

**REASON** To help provide ten new affordable homes.

\*98

**Affordable Housing – Holmdale, Sidmouth**

Members considered the report of the Housing Enabling Officer seeking approval to transfer land at Holmdale to a preferred Registered Provider (Devon and Cornwall Housing) for the provision of twelve affordable flats.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that approval be given to the transfer of a site (including the former gym building and adjoining car park) by way of a 125 year lease agreement at a nominal amount to the Registered Provider for the provision of affordable homes.
  - (2) that the Council exercises the power to promote social wellbeing under the General Disposal Consent 2003 by disposal of the non-housing land at an undervalue as set out in the report, taking into account that provision of affordable housing is a priority in the Sustainable Community Plan.

- REASON**
- (1) To help provide twelve affordable flats.
  - (2) The housing land disposal at undervalue is permitted under a separate Government General Consent.

\*99

**Exemption from Standing Orders – Priority Disposal Programme – Sale of Electricity Substation sites**

Members considered the report of the Senior and Principal Estates Surveyors seeking approval, in accordance with the priority disposal programme, to sell a selection of electricity substation sites. Members were also asked to agree to an exemption from Standing Orders to appoint a preferred national auctioneer to dispose of the identified sites for reasons given in the report.

- RESOLVED**
- (1) that the sale of the recommended electricity substation sites be approved;
  - (2) that an exemption from Standing Orders be approved to enable the appointment of Lambert Smith Hampton to auction the recommended sites, with delegated authority being given to the Portfolio Holder – Economy and the Principal Estates Surveyor to agree a reserve price.

- REASON** To help achieve best price for the disposals.

\*100 **Selection of Storage Area Network (SAN) vendor**

Members considered the report of the Corporate ICT Manager seeking exemption from Standing Orders to obtain quotations from three vendors (rather than going out to full tender for reasons given in the report) to replace ICT infrastructure.

**RESOLVED** that an exemption from Contract Standing Orders be approved to allow the use of three quotations rather than full tendering for the selection of the SAN vendor and IT solution.

**REASON** To use the expertise and knowledge of trusted vendors to reduce timescales, reduce resources needed and produce a good solution.

Chairman ..... Date .....