



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Withycombe Common Pitches
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth A
Site Type	existing pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
existing pitches
good grass cover and potential use
no survey needed
could enhance maintenance to increase usage potential

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat plateau	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	currently accomodating upto 3 adult pitches	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none noted	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	4	sandy loam but destructured	4	16	8.0	
5	Drainage potential	4	good but smeared	5	20	11.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	ryegrasses and 95% cover	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	4	current sports site but out of town	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	5	low	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	purpose made access	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	5	none noted	3	15	8.0	
Sum of Scores		28		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		176	94
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Exmouth Town
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth B
Site Type	Park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
Single football pitch with no space for additional areas
Good cover but worn
no survey required but some increased maintenance would improve sites.

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	3	site large enough to accommodate 1 adult pitch	5	15	8.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	3	potential to be wet	5	15	8.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports grasses and moderately worn	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	within town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	low	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	via football club	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	trees along southern boundary causing light issues	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		26		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		148	79
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Rolle recreation
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth C
Site Type	disused playing field
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
flat plateau potentially able to accommodate 2 adult and mini pitches
Poorly drained
under development plans
no survey needed but worth progressing

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	cut and filled plateau	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	site large enough to accommodate 2+ adult pitch especially if redgra is converted.	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports grasses, used casually with loss of cover	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	central location	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	1	high weed content with clover, buttercup and daisy	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	3	access needing to be redesigned as part of constuction	5	15	8.0	
11	Other risks	5	limited	3	15	8.0	
Sum of Scores		20		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		140	75
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Warren View
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth D
Site Type	Football pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
existing site with 2 senior and a practice area
site former landfill, in a quarry
site surrounded by housing.
managed by local football club
Possible site for 3G

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	site flat, some surface undulations possibly from landfill	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	site large enough to accommodate at least 2 adult pitches or would give a good sports hub and accommodate a 3G	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports pitches	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	central to town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	limited	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	excellent access	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	former landfill would need careful planning to achieve a 3g	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		24		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		148	79
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Exmouth Cricket club
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth E
Site Type	Existing football on cricket outfield
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	

Notes
2 near adult sized football pitches on cricket outfield.
located on the Maer, dune with wind blown sand.
Playable in most conditons

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site with minor surface undulations.	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	4	On cricket outfield and so 2 pitches just below full adult size.	5	20	11.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	5	Freedraining sandy loam	4	20	11.0	
5	Drainage potential	5	Very good no wetness noted	5	25	13.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	Pitches in good health	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	within town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	Few	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	Good, from highway	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	4	Conflict between cricket and football at season start and ends	3	12	6.0	
Sum of Scores		29		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		182	97
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Imperial
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth F
Site Type	Rugby pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
two rugby pitches with no limited for additional areas
Good cover but worn
ground uneven
no survey required but some increased maintenance would improve sites.

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	site large enough to accommodate 2 adult pitches	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	3	potential to be wet	5	15	8.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports grasses and moderately worn	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	within town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	low	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	via club	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	4	possibly on landfill and adjacent to river	3	12	6.0	
Sum of Scores		27		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		161	85
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Raleigh Park
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth G
Site Type	Rugby Pitch
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
single rugby pitch used for trainign and matches
No additional space
Heavily worn
no survey required

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	2	site large enough to accommodate 1 adult pitch	5	10	5.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	4	rugby pitch at playing height, heavily worn with loss of cover	1	4	2.0	
8	Location	5	central	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	low due to high wear	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	good access	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	training activity causing significant damage	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		23		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		132	70
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary criterial criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	St Johns road
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 1
Site Type	Existing football in a small park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
Large cut an filled plateau with 1 pitch (needs survey)
located within housing estate.
steeply sloped additional space
Pitch unplayable due to extreme wetness
Possibility to increase utilisation of plateau

				Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores				
1	Slope	5	Pitch plateau flat, locally site had steeply sloped additional areas.	5	25	13.0
2	Size of site	5	Site could accommodate 1 full sized and one junior pitch	5	25	13.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0
4	Soil type	1	clay, very wet	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	unplayable, significant surface softness	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	5	Pitch in good health	1	5	3.0
8	Location	5	within town	5	25	13.0
9	Weed content	1	High level above 5%, mainly clover, buttercup and daisy	1	1	1.0
10	Access	1	Poor access from busy road and down steep banks to pitch plateau	5	5	3.0
11	Other risks	3	park actively used by public risk of dog fouling	3	9	5.0
	Sum of Scores	16		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores	124	67
	No of Scores	10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores	190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Knapp Cross
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 2
Site Type	Park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
Enclosed park with limited access
Limited space for a junior pitch with no changing facilities
site actively used as a park and dog walkers
no further action or low priority for sport

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	4	slight slope but would not required alteration	5	20	11.0
2	Size of site	2	site large enough to accommodate 1 junior pitch	5	10	5.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	5	park	1	5	3.0
8	Location	4	In Town but isolated	5	20	11.0
9	Weed content	2	significant clover and buttercup	1	2	1.0
10	Access	1	very poor up steep slope and initially along a narrow lane. Would be difficult to drain	5	5	3.0
11	Other risks	3	dog walking area	3	9	5.0
Sum of Scores		16		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		100
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						55
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
- Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	King George fields
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 3
Site Type	Park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
Enclosed park with basketball court, next to Exmouth town pitch
Limited space for a junior pitch with no changing facilities
site actively used as a park and dog walkers
Could be easily brought back into usage possibly with drainage

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	2	site large enough to accommodate 1 junior pitch	5	10	5.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	park	1	5	3.0	
8	location	5	sports grasses	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	2	significant clover and buttercup	1	2	1.0	
10	Access	5	good access but through housing estate	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	dog walking and trim trail area	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		21		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		130	69
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Littleham Primary school
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 4
Site Type	Football pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
existing site with 1 marked pitch
site with moderate slopes and large enough to accommodate 2 junior pitches
site surrounded by housing.
access difficult
would benefit from drainage, survey needed

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	3	slope to w, one pitch within range second outside	5	15	8.0	
2	Size of site	5	site large enough to accommodate at least 2 adult pitches or would give a good sports hub and accommodate a 3G	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports pitches	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	4		5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	5	over 5% mainly clover and buttercup	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	1	very poor access, unable to get machinery in. Possible to create access via proposed new estate.	5	5	3.0	
11	Other risks	3	would need some cut and fill and so high cost and may restrict plateau available. Survey needed	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		19		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		113	62
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Brixington Park
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 5
Site Type	Existing football in a small park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
1 junior pitch within local park
located within housing estate.
Pitch unplayable due to extreme wetness
Site small and would not easily provide additional pitches

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	3	Pitch moderately flat with surface undulations. Surrounding area significant falls	5	15	8.0
2	Size of site	4	Site could accommodate 70 x 55m pitch with little room to expand.	5	20	11.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0
4	Soil type	1	clay, very wet	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	unplayable, significant surface softness	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	5	Pitch in good health	1	5	3.0
8	Location	5	Within housing estates	5	25	13.0
9	Weed content	1	High level above 5%, mainly clover, buttercup and daisy	1	1	1.0
10	Access	2	Relatively poor as no wide entrance and join use with pedestrians	5	10	5.0
11	Other risks	3	park actively used by public risk of dog fouling	3	9	5.0
Sum of Scores			17	Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		114
No of Scores			10	Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						62
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Imperial recreation ground
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 6
Site Type	Park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
site on edge of estuary
Former landfill with significant undulations
site actively used as a park and dog walkers
no further action due to location and landfill

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	3	site significantly undulating, former landfill	5	15	8.0	
2	Size of site	2	site large enough to accommodate 1 adult pitch	5	10	5.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	3	none, but landfill	4	12	6.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	park with sports grasses	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	Within town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	4	some mainly clover and buttercup	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	5	no issues	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	1	landfill would need mitigation and close proximity to ramsar / sssi wildlife site	3	3	2.0	
Sum of Scores		21		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		108	57
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Brixington Primary School
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 1
Site Type	Existing football in a small park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
plateau with space for 2 junior pitches. Dividing footpath
located within housing estate.
Pitch unplayable due to extreme wetness

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	Pitch plateau flat, locally site had steeply sloped additional areas.	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	3	Site easily accommodate 2 junior pitches	5	15	8.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	unplayable, significant surface softness	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	Pitch in good health	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	within housing estates	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	2	High level above 5%, mainly clover, buttercup and daisy	1	2	1.0	
10	Access	1	Poor access from busy road, new access required	5	5	3.0	
11	Other risks	3	no changing facilities, currently used by school and for evening after school	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		17		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		115	62
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	St Joseph's Primary School
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 8
Site Type	Park
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
small park with large mature tree
junior pitch marked out spreading under tree canopy
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat site	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	1	site not large enough and with mature tree preventing works	5	5	3.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	amenity grasses used casually with loss of cover in goals	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	central to town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	1	high weed content with clover, buttercup and daisy	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	1	poor access via car park and buildings	5	5	3.0	
11	Other risks	1	Large tree would prevent any improvement works as well as small size of site	3	3	2.0	
Sum of Scores		14		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		98	54
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Salterton Road
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 9 and 16
Site Type	Fields to grazing
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
Site comprising of 2 fields adjacent (9 & 16)
sloped and would need grading, this may restrict development
access from main road
Possible site for next stage

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	2	both sites had moderate slopes and plateau would be needed to create pitches	5	10	5.0	
2	Size of site	4	two field would accommodate at least 3 full sized pitches on plateau	5	20	11.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	4	limited stone of small size	4	16	8.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	5	on edge of town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	3	moderate	1	3	2.0	
10	Access	4	Access via splay on main road	5	20	11.0	
11	Other risks	3	would need changing rooms and facilities, visually near ANOB	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		19		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		115	62
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Lower Halsdon Farm
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 10
Site Type	Field
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
steeply sloped field adjacent to exe estuary trail
site limited for space
soils heavy
No further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	1	steeply sloping to exe estuary	5	5	3.0	
2	Size of site	1	site very tight for space especially if cut and fill required	5	5	3.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	grasses healthy, pasture	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	2	little outside town with poor road links	5	10	5.0	
9	Weed content	1	pasture weeds noted	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	1	limited or no access	5	5	3.0	
11	Other risks	1	no changing facilities, no reasonable access for users	3	3	2.0	
Sum of Scores		11		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		63	36
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Courtlands Cross
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 11
Site Type	Agricultural field
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
large moderately flat site with 2 fields
central devon bank separating fields
access very poor down lane
Possible future site (survey needed if possible)

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	4	Site with slight fall towards south, may need grading survey needed	5	20	11.0
2	Size of site	5	2 large fields possibly accomodating upto 4 adult pitches	5	25	13.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	3	cobbles noted	4	12	6.0
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	1 field to grazing 1 to maize	1	3	2.0
8	Location	3	outside of town	5	15	8.0
9	Weed content	4	limited	1	4	2.0
10	Access	2	very poor access along a narrow lane, field access good	5	10	5.0
11	Other risks	3	electric pylon across lower site.	3	9	5.0
Sum of Scores		16		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		107
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						57
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Hulham Road
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 12
Site Type	Fields to grazing
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
site comprising of 2 moderate fields separated by devon hedge
significant mature trees on edge
access difficult and site would need grading and survey
Possible site for next stage

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	4	site moderately flat, some significant undulations	5	20	11.0	
2	Size of site	4	site split into 2 fields with significant devon banks and mature trees.	5	20	11.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	3	soils rich in cobbles	4	12	6.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	4	on edge of town near to proposaed new development	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	3	moderate	1	3	2.0	
10	Access	4	Access would need to be via lower field entrance in lane rather than busy main road	5	20	11.0	
11	Other risks	3	would need changing rooms and facilities	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		18		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		116	64
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Canterbury Way
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 13
Site Type	Field
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
small field partially wooded
very small with steep slopes
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	1	steep slopes	5	5	3.0	
2	Size of site	2	site large enough to accommodate 1 adult pitch but when cut and filled would not fit	5	10	5.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	1	partially wooded and pasture	1	1	1.0	
8	Location	2	next to housing estate but isolated	5	10	5.0	
9	Weed content	1	pasture weeds	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	5	good access through estate	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	significant cost to undertake works	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		13		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		90	49
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Sowden Brake
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 14
Site Type	Fields to grazing
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
site comprising of 3 moderate fields separated by devon hedges
significant mature trees
access difficult and site not conducive to sports hub
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	4	site moderately flat, some significant undulations	5	20	11.0
2	Size of site	3	site split into 3 fields with significant devon banks and mature trees, difficult to create a sports hub	5	15	8.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	3	soils rich in cobbles	4	12	6.0
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture	1	3	2.0
8	Location	2	outside of town	5	10	5.0
9	Weed content	3	moderate	1	3	2.0
10	Access	1	poor off busy road, would need full splays to gain access	5	5	3.0
11	Other risks	1	power cable across one field	3	3	2.0
Sum of Scores		11		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		80
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Withycombe Barton
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 15
Site Type	Fields to grazing
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
one large field with areas of significant slope
electric pylon through middle
access poor from narrow lane and farm gate
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	1	flat plateau not large enough to accommodate full sided pitch, significant slope across rest of field.	5	5	3.0
2	Size of site	4	site would accommodate 1 pitch	5	20	11.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	4	limited stone of small size	4	16	8.0
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture	1	3	2.0
8	Location	1	isolated site out of town	5	5	3.0
9	Weed content	1	moderate with significant dock	1	1	1.0
10	Access	2	access from narrow lane and farm gate	5	10	5.0
11	Other risks	2	would need changing rooms and facilities, power cable through site	3	6	3.0
Sum of Scores		10		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		75
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						41
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Withycombe Common Archery
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 17
Site Type	existing pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
existing archery club
actively used at weekends and evenings, this would negate potential use as pitch
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	flat plateau	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	currently used as archery club, approximately 1 full sized pitch	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	2	cobbles noted	4	8	4.0	
4	Soil type	4	sandy loam but destructured	4	16	8.0	
5	Drainage potential	5	good	5	25	13.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	fineturf grasses indicating acidity, with 80% grass cover	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	4	next to existing pitches but out of town	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	3	moderate	1	3	2.0	
10	Access	5	purpose made access	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	1	currently in use at same time pitches would be required. Score would not	3	3	2.0	
Sum of Scores		21		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		153	81
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Littleham Brook Valley
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 18
Site Type	Fields to grazing
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
one large field with areas of significant slope
next to river
access poor
in ANOB
no further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	1	steep slope that flattens toward river, would need significant cut and fill	5	5	3.0
2	Size of site	4	site would accommodate 4 pitches	5	20	11.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	4	limited stone of small size	4	16	8.0
4	Soil type	1	silty clay loam.	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially very wet	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture	1	3	2.0
8	Location	4	Adjacent to existing Rolle site	5	20	11.0
9	Weed content	3	moderate	1	3	2.0
10	Access	1	no easy access except through farms	5	5	3.0
11	Other risks	2	would need changing rooms and facilities, visually near ANOB	3	6	3.0
Sum of Scores		14		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		87
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						48
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Withycombe Common
Pitch Reference No.	Exmouth 19
Site Type	existing pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Date	30-Nov-15

Notes
pasture field with gentle slopes
opposite side of road but next to current pitch site
site large enough to accommodate 3-4 pitches
worth considering and surveying

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	4	Plateau with slight fall and undulations	5	20	11.0	
2	Size of site	5	retangular field, could possibly accommodate upto 3-4 pitches	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	3	cobbles noted	4	12	6.0	
4	Soil type	5	sandy loam	4	20	11.0	
5	Drainage potential	5	good	5	25	13.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture grasses with good grass cover	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	4	adjacent to existing pitches but out of town	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	4	low	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	3	access easy off main road, but busy	5	15	8.0	
11	Other risks	2	may need separate changing facilities and /or bridge or lights to allow crossing to main site.	3	6	3.0	
Sum of Scores		21		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		150	80
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30 - 55	56 - 70	71 - 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Mountbatten Park
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton A
Surface	Pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Existing pitches and cricket square. Also a Petanc court
surfaces wet but satisfactory
No CAD survey needed.

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	pitches flat with a slight fall to north	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	site accommodates 2 football plus cricket square	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	2	wet surface but not poached	5	10	5.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports grasses in fair health, 80% grass cover and loss of surface	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	central	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	1	buttercup and clover levels high	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	5	good	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	5	none	3	15	8.0	
Sum of Scores		23		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		155	82
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	St Ritas pitches
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton B
Surface	Pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Existing pitches
surfaces wet and some slopes
CAD survey needed.

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	3	pitches located on flattest area but slopes would preclude full use of space	5	15	8.0	
2	Size of site	5	site would accommodate 2 football plus 1 mini	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	wet surface	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	5	sports grasses in fair health around 80% grass cover, goals worn	1	5	3.0	
8	Location	5	Central	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	1	buttercup and clover levels high	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	2	poor down unmade up lane	5	10	5.0	
11	Other risks	4	mature trees on boundary	3	12	6.0	
Sum of Scores		18		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		122	65
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	All Hallows
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton C
Surface	Pitches
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Existing rugby pitches in a park
Pitch surface badly worn, very wet and rutted
Dog walkers using the park
No CAD survey needed.

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	4	slight slope but one pitch badly undulating	5	20	11.0	
2	Size of site	5	2 rugby pitches and no room for additional area	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet and poached on surface	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	2	sports grasses in fair health, 50% cover and surface loss	1	2	1.0	
8	Location	5	Central to town	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	5	low, worn out	1	5	3.0	
10	Access	5	good	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	open park used by dog walkers, park has skate park and tarmac ball court	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		21		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		140	75
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	St Ritas
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 1
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Pasture field gently sloping fields
Next to St Ritas retreat but near to Honiton fc
possible site undertake cad survey

				Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores				
1	Slope	5	limited slopes	5	25	13.0
2	Size of site	5	moderate site would provide 2 pitches but has added benefit when the surrounding pitches are included	5	25	13.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none seen	4	20	11.0
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0
8	Location	4	Adjacent to existing pitches but next to retreat	5	20	11.0
9	Weed content	1	high in agricultural weeds	1	1	1.0
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0
11	Other risks	4	mature trees surround site and next to retreat	3	12	6.0
	Sum of Scores	18		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores	140	75
	No of Scores	10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores	190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Tower Hill
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 2
Surface	Pasture fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Very large flat pasture fields
Out of town
Very poor soils
no need to undertake CAD survey

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	site flat except to north	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	Site could fit many pitches even with fitting into numerous small fields	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	1	very high stone content especially flint	4	4	2.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	very wet	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	pasture grasses	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	2	Out of town	5	10	5.0	
9	Weed content	4	low	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	2	long way out of town, has power cables across part of site, many devon hedges and mature trees	3	6	3.0	
Sum of Scores		17		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		111	58
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Honiton Showground
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 3
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Pasture field with steeply sloped areas and flat flood plain
Flat areas only suitable and on floodplain
Site some way out of Honiton
Large site
No further action unless no further possibilities

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	4	land has variety of slopes. Flat areas were significant but next to river otter. Steeper parts would be nearly impossible to grade	5	20	11.0	
2	Size of site	5	very large site even if only the flat areas were used	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	2	stones with soil profile	4	8	4.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	2	Good cover	5	10	5.0	
9	Weed content	3	agricultural weeds including nettles	1	3	2.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	1	main showground site for honiton. Best areas on flood plain and would be difficult to protect. Long way from Honiton.	3	3	2.0	
Sum of Scores		15		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		106	57
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Former Showground
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 4
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
large pasture field with moderate slope
large pylon across part of field
possible site undertake cad survey

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	3	limited gentle fall to north	5	15	8.0	
2	Size of site	4	large site but available space would be reduced if graded and the presence of pylon. Possibly could fit 3 adult pitches	5	20	11.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	4	limited	4	16	8.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	4	on edge of town, but far side of dual carriageway.	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	4	low	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	2	On edge of town, but far side of dual carriageway. Large pylon across site.	3	6	3.0	
Sum of Scores		19		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		118	63
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Manor House
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 5
Surface	Former cricket field
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Large field formerly a cricket field
Large pavillion renovated for business use?
no need to undertake CAD survey

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	site flat	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	Site could fit 2 pitches and possible renovate the cricket square	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	4	limited	4	16	8.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	long sports pitch grasses present	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	2	out of town	5	10	5.0	
9	Weed content	4	low	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	2	long way out of town, curent use may preclude desire to revert to sports use.	3	6	3.0	
Sum of Scores		17		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		123	64
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary criterial criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Hayne Lane
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 6
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Pasture field with steeply sloped areas
pylon across flat part of site
No further action

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
1	Slope	1	land has variety of slopes that would need significant grading	5	5	3.0
2	Size of site	3	if graded then only space for 2+ pitches	5	15	8.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	2	stones with soil profile	4	8	4.0
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0
8	Location	1	Good cover	5	5	3.0
9	Weed content	3	agricultural weeds including nettles	1	3	2.0
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0
11	Other risks	1	pylon across site, would require hedgerows to be removed.	3	3	2.0
Sum of Scores		14		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		76
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						42
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Kings Arms Farm
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 7
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Pasture field with steeply sloped areas and flat flood plain
Flat areas only suitable and on floodplain
Moderate site
possible site undertake cad survey

				Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores					
1	Slope	4	land has variety of slopes. Flat areas were significant but next to river otter. Steeper parts would be nearly impossible to grade	5	20	11.0	
2	Size of site	4	moderate site would provide 4+ pitches	5	20	11.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	2	stones with soil profile	4	8	4.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	4	on edge of town bur across dual carriageway	5	20	11.0	
9	Weed content	4	low	1	4	2.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	2	Power cable across part may reduce layout options, pitches would be on flood plain	3	6	3.0	
Sum of Scores		19		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		115	62
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	Awliscombe road
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 8
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Pasture field with steeply sloped areas
major pylon across lower flat part of site
No further action

				Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100
	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores				
1	Slope	1	Steep slope	5	5	3.0
2	Size of site	1	if graded then only space for one pitch	5	5	3.0
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	2	stones with soil profile	4	8	4.0
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0
8	Location	2	Out of town	5	10	5.0
9	Weed content	3	agricultural weeds	1	3	2.0
10	Access	3	off busy road although farm track exists	5	15	8.0
11	Other risks	1	In area of ANOB?, pylon across site	3	3	2.0
Sum of Scores		13		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		61
						34
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190
						100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments:



ASSESSMENT OF EAST DEVON POTENTIAL SPORTS PITCHES

Site Name	St Ritas field next to dual carriageway
Pitch Reference No.	Honiton 1
Surface	fields
Weather Conditions Prior To and During Inspection	Wet
Assessor	Steve Gingell
Time and Date	01-Dec-15

Notes
Narrow Pasture field that could possible accommodate junior pitches
Next Honiton fc pitches
possible site undertake cad survey

	Site Assessment Criteria	Scores		Priority Ranking Factor 5 (High) - 1 (Low)	Ranked Score	Adjusted Ranking Score out of 100	
1	Slope	5	limited slopes	5	25	13.0	
2	Size of site	5	small site would provide mini pitches but has added benefit when the surrounding pitches are included	5	25	13.0	
3	Stones rock and debris on surface	5	none seen	4	20	11.0	
4	Soil type	1	Silty clay loam	4	4	2.0	
5	Drainage potential	1	potentially poorly drained	5	5	3.0	
6	Current vegetation and health	3	Pasture grasses	1	3	2.0	
8	Location	5	next to existing pitches	5	25	13.0	
9	Weed content	1	high in agricultural weeds	1	1	1.0	
10	Access	5	through farm gates	5	25	13.0	
11	Other risks	3	mature trees surround site and next to dual carriageway. May not be large enough to consider	3	9	5.0	
Sum of Scores		18		Sum of Weighted & Adjusted Scores		142	76
No of Scores		10		Maximum Weighted & Adjusted Scores		190	100

Explanatory Notes

- The scores are rated from 1 lowest quality to 5 highest quality
- The colour coding system responds directly to the score awarded for each of the pitch quality criteria.
- The descriptions provide a general statement of condition and are inserted automatically from the data sheet. Additional comments can be added where necessary
Some of the primary critical criteria for the overall pitch performance will have a major impact on the playing surface quality and where these are poor, within the 1 - 3 category they will override other quality and performance criteria. e.g. overall drainage performance, stony playing surface, extremely steep/uneven surface presenting unsafe playing conditions.
- Other criteria such as grass cover are important but are generally a result of other factors and will not necessarily require a large capital expenditure for this factor alone.
- A weighting factor is also applied to arrive at a weighted score to reflect the importance of each factor.
- The weighted score is then adjusted for each of the criteria with a maximum total of 100 for a perfect pitch

Individual Factor Scores				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
1	2	3	4	5

Overall Weighted and Adjusted Scores /100				
Unacceptable	Poor Quality	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good Quality
≤ 30	30-55	56-70	71- 85	> 85

Comments: