

Filtered Data Export

Full name: Scott Mills

Organisation (where relevant): Scotty Mills Consulting

Other party name (if relevant):

-

Proposal:

5. Development in the Towns and Villages

1. To which part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter does your representation relate?: Policy

1(a). Please write down the paragraph, policy or figure number that your representation relates to.: SD01

2. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is legally compliant?:

-

2(a). If yes, and you wish to support the legal compliance of this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

2(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

2(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter legally compliant, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Introduction chapter legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

3. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is sound?: No

3(a). If yes, and you wish to support the soundness of this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

3(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not sound. Please be as precise as possible.: The development proposed at Exmo_20 could and should be located on an alternative site where it would cause less or no harm to the Pebblebed Heaths(SAC/SPA). Developing Exmo_20 is contrary to POLICY PB01 of the local plan and not in accordance with the mitigation strategy.

? Exmo_20 would destroy the “tranquillity, wildness and dark skies” of the countryside, which is contrary to POLICY OL01.

? Developing Exmo_20 would fragment and isolate habitats and destroy wildlife corridors, contrary to PARAGRAPH 13.23 of the Local Plan. It would be detrimental to irreplaceable habitats, veteran trees and species rich hedgerows across the site, contrary to PARAGRAPH 13.9

? The Habitats Regulations assessment (30.01.25) confirms that there is “currently not sufficient certainty to rule out adverse effects in integrity in relation to...air quality (East Devon Pebblebed Heaths SAC/SPA). Exmo_20 is in conflict with POLICY OL09 and POLICY PB04.

? Exmo_20 would have significant adverse effects on St John in the Wilderness Grade II* listed church and churchyard, drastically altering the peaceful setting for worship which currently exists for the congregation. This is contrary to POLICY HE02.

? Exmo_20 is upstream of Exmouth on the main rainwater catchment area of Withycombe Brook, on a subsoil of heavy clay which makes soakaways ineffective. It would exacerbate the already identified flood risk through the lower lying land at the bottom of the site and through Withycombe. This is in conflict with POLICY AR01B.

? Exmo_20 would increase the need for car travel and increase traffic congestion, in conflict with PARAGRAPH 11.2. It is unsustainable development. It is isolated and people would need to travel much further than 800m to existing key services and facilities, contrary to PARAGRAPH 11.6. It is too far (and too steep) from any town centre

for people to use walking or cycling as their choice of transport. This is contrary to POLICY TR01.

? The main access point to Exmo_20 is significantly closer to Budleigh Salterton than Exmouth, making Budleigh its most convenient and accessible town. The services and infrastructure of Budleigh (High Street, Doctor's, school, beach etc) would be the obvious choice for residents of Exmo_20. This would lead to coalescence of Budleigh and Exmouth, contrary to POLICY OL05 of the local Plan.

3(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: Remove Exmo_20 from the local plan.

4. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter complies with the duty to cooperate?: Yes

4(a). If yes, and you wish to support this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter's compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

4(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?: Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.: To ensure that our views are heard and taken into serious consideration.

Full name: Scott Mills

Organisation (where relevant): Scotty Mills Consulting

Other party name (if relevant):

-

Proposal:

5. Development in the Towns and Villages

1. To which part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter does your representation relate?: Policy

1(a). Please write down the paragraph, policy or figure number that your representation relates to.: SD01

2. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is legally compliant?:

-

2(a). If yes, and you wish to support the legal compliance of this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

2(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

2(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter legally compliant, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Introduction chapter legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

3. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is sound?: No

3(a). If yes, and you wish to support the soundness of this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

3(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not sound. Please be as precise as possible.: The development proposed at Exmo_20 could and should be located on an alternative site where it would cause less or no harm to the Pebblebed and Heaths (SAC/SPA). Developing Exmo_20 is contrary to POLICY PB01 of the local plan and not in accordance with the mitigation strategy.

Exmo_20 would destroy the 'tranquillity wildness and dark skies' of the countryside, which is in contrary to POLICY OL01.

Developing Exmo_20 would fragment and isolate habitats and destroy wildlife corridors, contrary to PARAGRAPH 13.23 of the local plan. It would be detrimental to irreplaceable habitats, veteran trees and species rich hedgerows across the site contrary to PARAGRAPH 13.9.

The Habitats Regulations assessment (30.01.25) confirms that there is “currently not sufficient certainty to rule out adverse effects in integrity in relation to...air quality (East Devon Pebblebed Heaths SAC/SPA). Exmo_20 is in conflict with POLICY OL09 and POLICY PB04.

? Exmo_20 would have significant adverse effects on St John in the Wilderness Grade II* listed church and churchyard, drastically altering the peaceful setting for worship which currently exists for the congregation. This is contrary to POLICY HE02.

? Exmo_20 is upstream of Exmouth on the main rainwater catchment area of Withycombe Brook, on a subsoil of heavy clay which makes soakaways ineffective. It would exacerbate the already identified flood risk through the lower lying land at the bottom of the site and through Withycombe. This is in conflict with POLICY AR01B.

? Exmo_20 would increase the need for car travel and increase traffic congestion, in conflict with PARAGRAPH 11.2. It is unsustainable development. It is isolated and people would need to travel much further than 800m to existing key services and facilities, contrary to PARAGRAPH 11.6. It is too far (and too steep) from any town centre

for people to use walking or cycling as their choice of transport. This is contrary to POLICY TR01.

? The main access point to Exmo_20 is significantly closer to Budleigh Salterton than Exmouth, making Budleigh its most convenient and accessible town. The services and infrastructure of Budleigh (High Street, Doctor's, school, beach etc) would be the obvious choice for residents of Exmo_20. This would lead to coalescence of Budleigh and Exmouth, contrary to POLICY OL05 of the local Plan.

3(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: Remove Exmo_20 from the local plan.

4. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter complies with the duty to cooperate?: Yes

4(a). If yes, and you wish to support this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter's compliance with the duty to co-operate, please use this box to set out your comments.:

-

4(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.:

-

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?: Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.: To make sure that our views are heard and taken into serious consideration.