

[REDACTED]

From: Atherton, Sally [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 January 2023 11:12
To: Planning Policy
Subject: Planning objection - LP_Lymp_01, LP_Lymp_07, GH/ED/72, GH/ED/73, and LP/GH/ED/75

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

Dear Sir/Madam

I strongly object to the following local plans:- **LP_Lymp_01, LP_Lymp_07, GH/ED/72, GH/ED/73, and LP/GH/ED/75.**

Between, GH/ED/72 and GH/ED/73, these two sites would constitute a housing estate of 177 houses which could easily result in an increase of 30% in the size of the population of Lympstone, significantly altering the character of the village

All the sites are within the Coastal Preservation Area. Run off would carry material into the estuary, negatively affecting the estuary, causing increase pollution which will in turn affect the health of people that enjoy the estuary and businesses.

The GH/ED/72 – 73 and 75 sites, which I am sure many residents are unaware of, are within the Woodbury Parish Council so therefore, Woodbury Parish Council would be collecting income and making decisions which impact on Lympstone rather than their own village. These sites will not be counted towards Lympstone quota and more housing will be required to fulfil Lympstone's quota of housing, this is very detrimental to Lympstone.

Increase flooding incidents - Meeting Lane, Nutwell Lane and Gulliford dip on the A376 already flood and building on greenfield sites will only increase the run-off and increase the likelihood of further floods. Run-off will also increase the movement of materials from the land into the brook at Gulliford and then through Nutwell into the estuary. This will undermine the integrity of the Coastal Preservation Area.

The A376 is already at capacity with huge tailbacks during rush hour already causing raised levels of fuel consumption and pollution. Significantly increased numbers of vehicles attempting to join the road will exacerbate the tailbacks.

Development on high quality arable land is not sustainable. (Gulliford gets its name from the "Golden Fields" which have always been particularly productive). There will be a negative impact to wildlife. Any development in greenfield sites will affect wildlife. These fields are used by many species of wildlife, including otters, roe deer and shelducks which move between the estuary and the common.

All of the new housing plans will put more strain on an already stretched to capacity infrastructure, sewage, water, roads and schools this is simply unsustainable and very short-sighted of the council to just increase housing.

The village primary school is already at capacity and increased numbers of village children will need to travel to schools outside the village. This will further increase traffic and affect the social cohesion of the village.

The doctor's surgery will also be over capacity forcing some residents to travel for health care. The increase to population will lead to a crisis.

Exmouth community college is one of the largest secondary schools in European, and is at full capacity, an increase could potentially force families already living in the Area to look at other schools further afield as the college will not be able to accommodate them all.

Please accelerate the plans to build a new community in the area north of Hill Barton and the identified sites in Exmouth within the existing 'built up area boundary'.

Kind Regards

Sally Atherton

This message has been sent using TLS 1.2 Information in this message may be confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this message by mistake, please notify the sender immediately, delete it and do not copy it to anyone else. We have checked this email and its attachments for viruses. But you should still check any attachment before opening it. We may have to make this message and any reply to it public if asked to under the Freedom of Information Act, Data Protection Act or for litigation. Email messages and attachments sent to or from any Environment Agency address may also be accessed by someone other than the sender or recipient, for business purposes.