

Additional Statement 4

Prepared for the examination of the
Cranbrook DPD

Response to the Inspector's Additional Questions

Additional Highway detail/Sustainable transport
AQ17 – AQ19

Date – 30 September 2020

Contact details

Planning Department – Cranbrook Team

East Devon District Council

Blackdown House, Border Road, Heathpark Industrial Estate,

Honiton, EX14 1EJ

DX 48808 Honiton

Phone: 01395 516551

Email: planningcranbrook@eastdevon.gov.uk

eastdevon.gov.uk

[@eastdevon](https://www.facebook.com/eastdevon)

Contents

Additional Highway detail/Sustainable transport	4
AQ17 – Clarity of Section 278 costs ?	4
AQ18 – Justification of sustainable transport contributions	4
AQ19 – Clarification over contributions requested	5
Appendices	7
There are no appendices to this statement	7

Additional Highway detail/Sustainable transport

Full question and response	Question No. and Summary
<p>1.1 Are there any comments or views to take into account from the clarity provided in table 3.10 relating to Section 278 costs relating to highway improvements relating to London Road??</p> <p>1.2 In the preparation of responses to the additional questions, the Council have received queries from participants about the location of the junctions that are labelled A-L within table 3.10 of the viability report psd21a¹. For clarity it is possible to refer to plans on pages 14 and 15 of psd24 - updated infrastructure delivery plan (July 2020)² where the junction locations are shown.</p>	<p>AQ17 – Clarity of Section 278 costs ?</p>
<p>1.3 Is the revised position of Devon County Council in relation to sustainable transport contributions justified in the light of the available evidence? If it is not, what evidence do you rely on to support your comments?</p> <p>1.4 No Council comments</p>	<p>AQ18 – Justification of sustainable transport contributions</p>
<p>1.5 The revised amount requested from DCC is lower than that now included in the revised IDP of £7,363,000. The Council is requested</p>	

¹ <https://eastdevon.gov.uk/media/3720808/psd21a-cranbrook-updated-viability-report-july-2020.pdf>

² <https://eastdevon.gov.uk/media/3720813/psd24-infrastructure-delivery-plan-july-2020.pdf>

to clarify why there is a variance from the £6,378,000 in the DCC document)?**AQ19 –
Clarification
over
contributions
requested**

1.6 Reference to £7,363,000 is found as item 2 of the Section 106 summary (page 24 of the WWA costs plan) in psd 21b – [Updated Viability Appendices \(July 2020\)](#)³.

1.7 The figure presented here is an amalgamation of four transport related components comprising:

item	value	Reference in IDP
Public transport contributions	£6,378,000	Line 18
Car club vehicles/e-bikes	£300,000	Line 20
Travel Planning	£285,000	Line 21
EV charging/ducting	£400,000	Line 62*

1.8 * EV charging was previously to be subsumed within the sustainable travel costs and therefore while listed separately within the IDP at line 62, this entry was zero rated. However in preparing the costs plans for the revised viability work, and in recognising the [Impact Assessment](#)⁴ which was published by the MHCLG and considers the cost of EV charging in residential environments, an additional allowance of £400,000 was included within the costs plan. This allowance ensures that the requirements of Policy CB20 (Plug in and ultra-low emission vehicle charging) which requires as a minimum, ducting to be installed in most scenarios, is fully addressed.

³ <https://eastdevon.gov.uk/media/3720809/psd21b-cranbrook-updated-viability-appendices.pdf>

⁴ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/817069/impact-assessment-residential.pdf

1.9 In future iterations of the IDP it would now be possible to include the allowance of £400,000 in line 62. This entry would simply record costs which have already been factored into the viability appraisal/cost plan and therefore would not be adding any additional or unconsidered costs into the overall equation.	
--	--

Appendices

There are no appendices to this statement