

**Question 26 - Additional infrastructure and service provision policy objectives**

Paragraph 12.9 of the Issues and Options report identifies five other infrastructure and service policy objectives. We sought views on whether these were appropriate.

Those that made comments said.

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-297949549	Martin Thurgood	yes	Yes but please see answer to preceding question.  Moreover, it is essential that the items in your 'wish list' are not seen as something that are either/or. Such issues need to be provided at all new developments and across existing settlements. The enhancement of existing settlements requires especial policy development: it is far too easy blindly to focus on the 'new' and ignore what we currently have that cries out for enhancement.
FS-Case-299349815	Allan Punton		Library services should be considered to be part of a strong community asset portfolio.
FS-Case-299861217	Heath Nickels Exmouth Wildlife Group		" 5.... facilities that are needed in villages and rural areas, such as shops, schools and meeting places and approaches we may take to sustain these into the future, including whether additional housing or other development initiatives may support service provision."  I think this is an important way to sustain facilities, locally, IF the HELAA suggests a pattern of distribution at the village level.
FS-Case-300714787	Blank - No Name	yes	Yes. Building community for the well being and mental health of people is important. There is a need for help with those who have addictions too
FS-Case-301179421	Mark HUMPHRIES	no	developers pay lip service to community infrastructure and local authorities seldom enforce what was promised
FS-Case-301424628	ERIC BOWMAN	yes	USE COASTAL FERRIES BUILD / LEASE JETTYS THE ABOVE WOULD CREATE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES AND TAKE SOME VEHICLES (COULD BE USED FOR FREIGHT AS WELL PASSENGERS) OPEN UP DISUSED TRAIN LINES FOR TOURISTS FREIGHT AND LOCALS AGAIN CREATING BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES AFTER THE PANDEMIC ..... HAVE A MASSIVE PARTY OR / BUILD SOMETHING THAT HAS A USE AND REFLECTS HOW PEOPLE FEEL
FS-Case-303140818	David Buller	no	Community initiatives to encourage a more sharing society, e.g. Library of Things, community fridge. Social care & mental health services. plus provision for the homeless, are very important services in any area & are missing from the listed facilities.
FS-Case-304210544	David Beazley Sainthill Baptist Church	yes	I think it is vital that we invest in things that will encourage family and community life and cohesion locally.
FS-Case-305076755	Jeff Powley	yes	Stop wasting money on personal pet projects, the cost of the work to reroute the road in Exmouth seafront for a project that has no real plan is a disgraceful waste. The relocation of the council offices out of Sidmouth are another example of enormous waste, its clearly going to cost the local residents more in the long run due to the lost value in the property sale.
FS-Case-306256851	Simon Rennie	no	You are missing a GOLDEN opportunity by not thinking about including Dunkeswell Airfield, the runway is both good enough and long enough to take small turbo props / jets which can have enormous business benefits spin offs that many areas now regret letting their airport slip through their fingers due to massive ignorance and miss conceptions from incorrect rumours. Take a look at what Lee on Solent Airport has done for the Gosport area as a very good example not that far away. West Wales for Drone development which North Wales now wants to copy as does Oban. Drone and electric aircraft could be a massive UK winner in the near years following Brexit. EVERY MP & Politician should at least join the APPG for General Aviation to learn more to the benefit of all residents.

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
			There is a huge amount of aviation knowledge available at Dunkeswell and in the area sadly now without Richard of the flying School RIP and recently Terry Case of Farway Common RIP. Don't be a Plymouth, Manston Hatfi
FS-Case-307482014	G. Millard	yes	Esp' themes # 4 & 5.
FS-Case-307956780	Lorraine Snell	no	More Supermarkets
FS-Case-307991316	Susan Cooper		<p>Villages are unlikely ever to be able to reach a population threshold size to fully support many types of facilities i.e. more than a small community shop. Growth of the size required would be environmentally unsustainable. People generally live in villages rather than towns due to their more rural environment and landscape character. They accept facilities are less than towns. If villages are made more like towns and experience extensive growth they lose the very essence of what they are. If people want more facilities they move to towns.</p> <p>Other facilities like community halls, churches etc. can often be sustained quite easily in a village on a limited population size.</p> <p>Village lack adequate infrastructure i.e sewage systems, electricity sub stations etc. that are required for extra growth. It is more cost efficient to concentrate development in west end/towns/new towns.</p>
FS-Case-308046866	Simon Greenslade	yes	The key is to get locals to use the facilities, visit and shop in their local towns etc. A lot of locals in Sidmouth don't venture into town at all.
FS-Case-308105398	Jane Ashton Please choose	yes	Yes. Appropriate.
FS-Case-308168070	Norman Reeder	yes	The plan needs to be long-term and flexible enough to adapt to changes (political, environmental, commercial), taken in bite-size chunks so that real results can be delivered, rather than having a dozen initiatives underway and none being delivered
FS-Case-308520714	Peter Brain	yes	Following the previous response, the issue is that 'need' is not what a majority prefer, nor what those in power would like. This is an admirable exercise (if rather long - much more than an hour) but cannot do much more than signal preferences and possible dangers for decision-makers.
FS-Case-308690532	Martin Weller	no	More clarity required here.
FS-Case-308895922	Diana Wynn	yes	Allotments
FS-Case-308930499	Lisa Bowman Exmouth Town Council	no	The emerging plan should consider facilitating and supporting the production of locally produced foods and renewable energy.
FS-Case-309254127	J Nickels		Sustaining communities, can be achieved, in part, by allowing small development around existing settlements
FS-Case-309293778	Paul Smith		<p>Local residents and potential home buyers are drawn to East Devon because of its rural green/open space environment and small town/village community feel, an excellent coastline.</p> <p>Where finite monies preclude all but basic essentials of infrastructure provision, it is incumbent upon EDDC and the developers to be completely honest with potential new residents together with reliable definitive time scales for delivery.</p> <p>It cannot be overstated, the minimalization of air and noise pollution and increased access to green open space for leisure and well being is far preferable to grandiose undeliverable sports centres and unviable commercial enterprise.</p>
FS-Case-309318809	SUSAN AVIS	yes	Point 5 needs emphasis. Support seems often to be negative. Refusal to recognise that a small shop, for instance is no longer viable and holding the owner to the current planning consents until the situation has become worse can mean missed opportunities
FS-Case-309383731	Val Ranger	yes	Once smaller villages lose their schools, shops, pubs and medical facilities, it rips the heart out of the village. On the other hand villages that have these services are not necessarily suitable for development if those services are at capacity. I

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
			support the use of whole village surveys to assess whether exception sites should be allowed, as long as the 66% affordable housing ratio is adhered to no matter what the size of the site.
FS-Case-309401093	Philip Jordan	yes	I understand that the government pay for roads by the mile and as a low population density we are therefore penalised. This needs changing...!
FS-Case-309456508	Ian Birch	no	Need to add car parking in areas subject to significant tourism/visitor traffic.
FS-Case-309529320	John Stuckey	yes	Reopening of Beeching cut rail lines at least to Ottery and Colton in the short term. Once A303 improvements are in place put a weight limit on A30 from Ilminster to Honiton to further protect the AONB.
FS-Case-309588076	Carol Jay Transition Exmouth	yes	Genuine establishment of net biodiversity gain enshrined at every stage
FS-Case-310194190	WAYNE SUMMERS	no	the infrastructure is crumbling and not keeping up with the times
FS-Case-310202766	Anthony Derrick	no	Public libraries are essential
FS-Case-310214396	Karan Bennett Bishops Clyst Parish Council	no	A policy of actively promoting and supporting new bus routes to improve communications between settlements and links to employment areas and major transport infrastructure.
FS-Case-310515497	David White Devon and Cornwall Police	no	How the authority ensures that development is sustainable by securing developer contributions or CIL payments to fund the additional police infrastructure required as a direct result of development in the district. Police infrastructure and associated services are not restricted to physical buildings; we propose that police infrastructure is defined in the local plan to include buildings, vehicles and equipment. Funding received from central government and the council tax precept is not, as many will assume, sufficient to cover the capital cost of providing this extra infrastructure; there is a funding gap that must come from development if new and existing communities are to benefit from the essential services provided by Devon and Cornwall Police. Only in this manner can development be deemed to be sustainable.
FS-Case-310579594	Richard Lawrence	no	Appropriate infrastructure provision should go hand in hand with development, not just keep building houses and then worry about the lack of infrastructure afterwards AKA Cranbrook
FS-Case-311300471	David Gill	no	cleaning, maintaining existing roads, clean beaches, see council workers tidying up, stop digging up roads
FS-Case-311693209	Jeremy Woodward Vision Group for Sidmouth	yes	These are appropriate questions to ask. In addition: What are the true costs of infrastructure – in particular its environmental impact? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/wdaje6u4">https://tinyurl.com/wdaje6u4</a> How can “added weight be given to all environmental policies in the current local plan”, especially with regard to infrastructure? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/6vwhkna3">https://tinyurl.com/6vwhkna3</a> Specifically: How can high levels of nitrogen dioxide emissions be reduced? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/2wu7ctz9">https://tinyurl.com/2wu7ctz9</a> How can airport and road expansions take air quality into consideration? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/3me3ea9n">https://tinyurl.com/3me3ea9n</a> For example, the Sidford industrial estate: <a href="https://tinyurl.com/f6ds7jfn">https://tinyurl.com/f6ds7jfn</a> How can light pollution be kept to a minimum? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/rcer57rj">https://tinyurl.com/rcer57rj</a> How can “embodied carbon” in buildings and infrastructure be avoided? <a href="https://tinyurl.com/kavtt9sb">https://tinyurl.com/kavtt9sb</a>
FS-Case-311868155	Martin Dowse	no	Roads into and out of towns should altered to have a parking area where people can access the main shopping area and if suitable a Land Train that everyone can use to move around to the various areas of the town. Pedestrianise the centre.
FS-Case-312184112	Linda Lowes N/A	yes	Please consider the significant number of holiday makers who swell numbers in small towns and villages, often numbers are significantly higher than residential numbers. This puts a strain on roads, parking and leisure facilities. Some of the towns you mention have a massive influx of tourists i.e. Sidmouth. Please do not ruin what people love about living here.

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-312251067	Neal Jillings Place Land Ltd	yes	no comment
FS-Case-312255566	Stephen sadler	no	I believe no matter how it is written, the LDP reads as a charter to bring in new mass housing development. It supports house builders (and misguided central government ideas) with existing communities only added as a postscript. This is the reality of the LDP 2015-2031 that we are living in (the divergence between what residents were consulted on and agreed) and then the reality of what is happening now -- classic example is that in Ottery local residents said back in 2013-5 that a new primary school was needed if all the 300 new houses proposed were built. We were told it wasnt. We have now been told it was unforeseeable that a new school is needed as Tipton St John school has to move, and a recent plan was to tie a new school, to another 150 house development. Therefore this time LISTEN to the local residents and plan to support them and their needs NOW and in the foreseeable future before discussing NEW houses .
FS-Case-312449388	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	no	By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development. By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased. Urban locations and brownfield sites, in comparison to edge of village locations, will do little to bridge the gap in funds for infrastructure delivery.
FS-Case-313070314	Kevin Finch Whimble Parish Council	no	Appropriate infrastructure provision should go hand in hand with development and not just keep building houses and then lack infrastructure (Cranbrook being the prime example)
FS-Case-313155665	Catherine Dandridge	yes	Is there more to learn from community run shops & pubs with view to making more use of this model particularly in smaller villages?
FS-Case-313343575	Joanna Burkey	yes	I think, as I have mentioned in previous answers that it is important that we make developers a lot more accountable for the amount of support they give for the development of the local area. It cannot be allowed that they just throw the houses up and then do not deal with issues that arise from it, like flooding of the local play area and do not uphold their promises to provide walk ways etc.
FS-Case-313428092	John Cooper		Villages often lack adequate infrastructure i.e sewage systems, electricity sub stations etc. that are required for extra growth. It is more cost efficient to concentrate development in west end/towns/new towns
FS-Case-313445139	Brenda Plumer N/A		Tighter control of dogs on beaches.
FS-Case-313523797	Heather Broadbent	no	We need more Doctors Surgeries, and generally more Health Care services
FS-Case-313533757	Patrick Sinnott	yes	especially item 12.9.4 - engaging with the community (eg in open meetings)
FS-Case-313584761	Richard Norman Musbury Barn	no	should be taken case by case; policy should not restrict alternatives such as these and indeed new options
FS-Case-313613307	Madeleine Blu		i dont know
FS-Case-313643991	Douglas Smith	no	There needs to be a clear link between number of houses/ residents to number of hospital beds ... there is a problem in east Devon and building more homes makes this worse
FS-Case-313718525	Robert Maynard	yes	Support Key Issues 1 to 5.  Utility (such as water, sewage, gas, electricity, broadband) and Service Providers (such as NHS, Fire Service, Health Providers, Library, and other relevant agencies

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
			should contribute to the preparation of the Plan in terms of identifying their land need and ensuring services such as water supply, sewage, electricity, public transport, rail providers etc can be provided to accommodate future planned development.
FS-Case-313779995	Stephanie Hidson-Jones Seaton Town Council	yes	The local Town Bus service is an important facility within the town as many people use it and depend on the service to get around, particularly the elderly if they do not have a car.
FS-Case-313812606	Richard Bates	no	I already addressed the local needs
FS-Case-313848718	Julia Bove	no	Parking for residents in local villages needs to be a priority especially during weekends and holidays. Parking restrictions need to be introduced and enforced for visiting public to prevent roads becoming too narrow to allow access for emergency and council vehicles. For example on Church Hill in Otterton cars regularly park opposite our house, this restricts the road so that cars are unable to pass safely, children and walkers are in danger of being hit by cars, council and emergency vehicles are unable to gain access to properties on Church Hill. We have contacted Highways about this on many occasions, but they seem uninterested. I hope it doesn't take a serious accident or the death of someone because an ambulance could not gain access to make them see sense and take the simple measure of painting double yellow lines on the entrance to Church Hill.
FS-Case-313857211	Linda Johnson	yes	Point 5 is especially important in order to maintain village communities,. many of which are faced with declining services and declining population. Rural life has much to offer as we have seen in the current pandemic and has great appeal especially to families who are concerned about quality of life.
FS-Case-313997024	Richard Holman	yes	Trying to bring to many policy's into play in each area makes things confused in the long run. Just a sensible planned outcome is advantageous.
FS-Case-314090147	Rob Longhurst	no	A376
FS-Case-314113114	Caroline Wilson Chardstock Parish Council	yes	EDDC needs to be sensitive to village needs (those Neighbourhood Plans again!). Creeping urbanisation is not welcome and destroys the reason for living in a rural community. Second-home ownership is similarly to be discouraged.
FS-Case-314133727	Ian Jewson Walsingham Planning Ltd		no comment
FS-Case-314217529	Don Mildenhall	yes	A better desitric-wide plan for spending CIL and related funds
FS-Case-314261371	David Valentine Gittisham Parish Council	yes	It is essential that a very clear understanding is established of deficiencies in the existing infrastructure serving each community. Development should not be permitted until funding to overcome the deficiency has been provided.
FS-Case-314278250	Keith Bungay	yes	A frustration here in Exmouth is that there has been significant housing developments in recent times and whilst both the Local Plan and the Neighbourhood Plan have highlighted infrastructure/services needs, eg completion of Dinan Way, provision of Transport Interchange, etc., these have still not been forthcoming.
FS-Case-314313978	Gary Nicholson Hydrostar	no	Creating Green Hydrogen in specific areas to replace Oil fired Heating especially for Industrial Parks and other areas of high usage of fossil fuels. Demand that the bus companies and Trucking companies that are polluting the air in Devon switch to Carbon Free vehicles (Currently impossible if we do not have Charging Stations and Hydrogen Filling stations in the region.
FS-Case-314536685	Andrew Butler National Farmers Union	no	There should be an objective to ensure there is a renewable energy infrastructure fit for purpose across the area
FS-Case-314758581	Peter Ball Kilmington Parish Council	yes	Are these consultation documents completed by many of the Town or Parish councils? If forums were held or appropriately trained members of EDDC staff were to periodically attend Parish & Town Council meetings you would engage better and gain local knowledge of many of these issues.
FS-Case-314760502	christopher Heal Private	yes	We need to provide Housing within easy access to employment even better if it is within walking or cycling distance or on public transport

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-314782794	Nicola Daniel	no	Second Homes are absent from these policies. Their presence in a town or village results in loss of housing for the young as these are the homes second home owners buy. These homes stifle the lifeblood of a community
FS-Case-314828418	Richard Maunder Devon County Agricultural Association	no	With business growing on the A3052 better interconnected highways should be created linking M5 and A30 to take pressure off J30 and Clyst St Mary, including the Westpoint facility and home of Devon County Show.
FS-Case-314894688	John Colby	yes	But listen to the views of local communities and organisations and policies in NPs
FS-Case-314937410	Eleonore Pang		Restaurants, take-aways, café, space for community and voluntary group activities, parks and gardens.
FS-Case-315126013	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	yes	By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development. By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased. Urban locations and brownfield sites, in comparison to edge of village locations, will do little to bridge the gap in funds for infrastructure delivery.
FS-Case-315168747	Liz Shortland		12.9.5. I do not support the idea that a house building contract can only be awarded if it supports provision of schools and other necessary facilities.
FS-Case-315184341	Richard Pratt	yes	Can alternative options be made available for communities in receipt of S106 monies? It always seems to be for sports & leisure facilities. Whilst this is commendable it seems restrictive when other uses may be more relevant - e.g. community shops or public houses, village halls etc.
FS-Case-315275424	Rob Phillips Broadhembury Neighbourhood Community Land Trust (BNCLT)	yes	We recognise that the provisions need to be proportionate to the needs of the specific locations.
FS-Case-315287680	Jennifer Hiley-Payne N/A	yes	A good basic provision with sustainable transport facilities locally.
FS-Case-315320410	Richard Power Diocese of Exeter	no	Before moving on to additional policies regarding school pupil place provision in East Devon it is important to ensure that EDDC deliver on the existing Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plans in this regard
FS-Case-315423597	Kim Dearsly	no	Provision of safe pedestrian paths, most villages do not have these facilities and with the growth of new housing comes the growth in vehicle use which discourages walking to local shops and interaction with other members of the community if villagers feel unsafe.
FS-Case-315476876	Graham Long Upottery Parish Council	no	We emphasise again that infrastructure and service provision is driven by demand from local residents who need housing. Allowing housing growth in small villages and hamlets drives demand for infrastructure and service provision which should be anticipated as small villages and hamlets grow both physically and economically. Preventing small villages and hamlets from growing consigns them to stagnation and their eventual demise.
FS-Case-315542900	Joanna Boyce	yes	Broadband to all - even the homes in between villages and towns.
FS-Case-315550412	Iain Fairbairn	yes	It would make sense to utilise as far as possible existing transport infrastructure, particularly the railway lines, and otherwise to create comprehensive developments incorporating housing, employment and support services all in one community.

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-315622017	Robert Martin Clyst Honiton Parish Council	no	<p>The money available for infrastructure particularly between facilities such as sports pitches and play areas needed by the local community and other needs such as affordable housing are all best identified by the local communities.</p> <p>To balance the government requirement to ensure that developments are viable and deliverable with the need to deliver the infrastructure needed to support them? The District Council needs to stand firm rather than giving in to the non-delivery of facilities. If developers cannot make the sums work, maybe that land is not the right land to build on.</p> <p>Community interest needs to be listened to, so that facilities that are needed in villages and rural areas, such as shops, schools and meeting places are provided appropriately.</p>
FS-Case-315678300	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt		<p>By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development.</p> <p>By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased.</p> <p>There should be no charge for employment development which already carries significant financial burdens.</p>
FS-Case-315681467	Jacqui Best	yes	Maintaining ongoing collaboration between service providers and other stakeholders
FS-Case-315685113	Lauren James MMO	no	<p>Look into sustainable, green and blue infrastructure which can then provide protection and take the strain off already in place grey infrastructure. We have an infrastructure policy for our South Marine Plan which explains more about this. Detailed information can be found within our technical annex.</p>
FS-Case-315690761	Naome Glanville	yes	<p>I note the mention of the 15 villages as possible development zones also providing facilities and services - and see that Newton Poppleford is one of the villages listed. There was a recent development approved in Newton Poppleford on the understanding that there would be a GP surgery there as part of the development (an outpost of Ottery's surgery) but the developers instead used the land for two houses. In reality it is unlikely that any surgery will open when there's new housing in a village as it won't be viable/affordable - so existing facilities will experience further strain. Also corner shops/post offices are unlikely to open when there are new developments as they are already struggling/closing.</p>
FS-Case-315804855	Sophie Minter	yes	<p>Push back against the Government demanding that more houses be built in this part of the country. Engage with communities by listening to people in their local area, (when its safe to do so..) hold meetings in schools, colleges; do surveys at sports centres, railway stations, supermarkets; engage through social media and through workplaces. Facilitate access, feedback and comments through conduits other than online.</p>
FS-Case-315939416	linda aucott	yes	<p>Affordable housing should be provided as rentable council owned or housing association and specifically allocated in future plans. If extra money is required this should be raised in specific ring fenced levies on council tax. Councils should have policies for land provision to manage affordability. Any right to buy should be limited to very long term tenants with no discounts under the market value.</p>
FS-Case-315947650	Rosalind Rapley	yes	<p>Local plan, must mean local, giving more authority to the elected representatives of the villages and town. Those that live and/or work in those areas, thus giving them the local knowledge they need to make the correct decisions as to what is required most,</p>
FS-Case-315963133	George Koopman	no	As previously outlined
FS-Case-315967632	David Daniel	no	Need to address the thorny issues of second homes that hollow out communities and create an artificial market for housing

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-315984133	Daphne CURRIER	no	I understand that Cranbrook ran into difficulties because the houses had been built but no-one wanted to set up a retail or services business there until there were enough people to support it. So there are transitional problems. Grants to help people set up until they get enough people to support the enterprise might help, as might very flexible retail and services spaces such as a sort of indoor market as a start up, with larger premises being added on as required. C.I.L.S. payments help. Perhaps more services could be supplied in buses, similar to the ones used for breast screening. If this was provided at the start, it could be used across several locations until populations settled. Schools can only be added on retrospectively as the numbers arise unless the development is substantial and will sell to families (not second homes.) Again, a supported school bus to collect children to a central school, new or existing, could bridge the gap. Funding is always going to be an issue.
FS-Case-316012231	Terry Sweeney	yes	And to achieve this, it is vital that more of the uplift in development value is retained by the community ( ie. You) in order for the right level of infrastructure to be provided. I would welcome strong arguments from you to Government for this to be in Planning legislation.
FS-Case-316019433	Jennifer Morgan	yes	Again, each community needs to be consulted because requirements can differ.
FS-Case-316023654	lauren allan	yes	Broadband was held as an electoral priority and continued failure to deliver means we all need to consider our voting habits.
FS-Case-316056741	Helene Jessop RSPB	no	The RSPB considers the provision of nature-rich accessible greenspace is essential infrastructure. Such greenspace could also be multi-functional, combining for example roles as SuDS, being part of walking and cycling routes and informal play areas and in this way delivering considerable value for money than if such elements were designed and provided separately.
FS-Case-316057937	irene Wyndham	yes	Developers make far too high profits and very little of this benefits the community. Developers should by law have to provide local amenities /facilities, including affordable housing, green spaces and contributions to community assets.
FS-Case-316091613	Malcolm Dicken Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust	no	Without additional support from developers there is a risk that healthcare provision may not be able to keep pace with the all the developments within a local plan. Therefore in order to protect the Health and Wellbeing of the local population health needs to be appropriately prioritised.
FS-Case-316135438	George Williams Greensalde Taylor Hunt		By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development. By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased. Urban locations and brownfield sites, in comparison to edge of village locations, will do little to bridge the gap in funds for infrastructure delivery.
FS-Case-316159794	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	yes	By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development. By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased. Urban locations and



Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
			brownfield sites, in comparison to edge of village locations, will do little ... (Please refer to submitted Consultation Response document).
FS-Case-316188495	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt		By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development. By increasing the housing target and allowing for growth in those areas where the market wants to deliver, the likelihood of securing full contributions and affordable housing (on and/or off site) and increased. Urban locations and brownfield sites, in comparison to edge of village locations, will do little to bridge the gap in funds for infrastructure delivery.
FS-Case-316240170	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	no	By being ambitious with its housing delivery targets, the Council will be able to secure Community Infrastructure Levy charges and financial contributions (where the threshold is met) to go towards local services. The increased number of houses will foster healthier economic conditions and will allow the Council to collect money through business rates, Council Tax, and new homes bonuses. The alternative is to continue to deliver the minimum acceptable number and to struggle to apportion the necessary funds across community projects and infrastructure, whilst potentially sacrificing the viability of development.
FS-Case-316444654	Eva Ingleson	yes	If the infrastructure isn't in place then development should not be allowed.
FS-Case-316464599	Conrad Libischer	yes	It is essential that developments only proceed where the necessary infrastructure is delivered before or at the same time as the development.
FS-Case-316475096	Elizabeth Legowska	no	Primary school needs to be built in Tipton and not in the surrounding area. Schools are the beating heart in our villages, especially where pubs and shops have closed (more so recently with the pandemic). Villages will be left with nothing.
FS-Case-324952647	Lawrence Turner Boyer Planning		No comment.
FS-Case-324964822	Sarah Jackson		Liaison with non-housing development stakeholders to work in a coordinated way to identify needs of the local communities which they could deliver and contribute toward where mutually beneficial. Point 5 is very loaded and suggests only one solution to the wider problem of sustaining and delivering rural infrastructure provision.
FS-Case-324977684	Simon Collier Collier Planning		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324988465	Simon Collier Collier Planning		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324999075	David Morgan Not Applicable	yes	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325022897	DLP Planning DLP Planning DLP Planning		See attached letter
FS-Case-325041454	East Devon and Tiverton & Honiton CLPs		· Ensure all policy objectives consider accessibility for all groups to access services provided by the council and privately. · It is important that EDDC recognises and plans for the inclusion of community hospital beds and an integrated care and out-patient system.
FS-Case-325070883	Emma Russell	yes	As in all matters, the public will trust the authorities better when there is evidence their views actually matter. There is a shocking preponderance to dumb down planning objections in approval decisions and to not hear constituent voices. The Highways Authority for instance should get out more and stop responding to planning consultations from maps and desk alone.
FS-Case-325077531	I.G. Cann Exmouth Civic Society	yes	Please see attached submission.

Ref No	Respondent	Q26	Question 26 Comment
FS-Case-325085151	Matthew Kendrick Grass Roots Planning	yes	N/A
FS-Case-325107229	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325124589	Glynnis Poole LiveWest		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325131855	Michelle Dobrota-Gibbs N/A	yes	I can't think of anymore as I am getting questionnaire fatigue.
FS-Case-325156112	Peter Dobbs	no	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325170882	Dorothy Taylor Exmouth Mental Health St John's Court Carers' Group	yes	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325812938	Nick Matthews Savills		See attached.
FS-Case-325829612	Dan Yeates Savilles		no comment
FS-Case-325831870	Cem Kosaner Lichfields		N/A
FS-Case-325838141	Dan Yeates Savills		no comment