

Question 1 - Local Plan Objectives

In paragraph 2.1 of the Issues and Options report we set out a series of suggested objectives for the future local plan that covered issues to include climate change, meeting housing needs and supporting the economy. We asked people if they were the right objectives.

People who made comments on Question 1 said.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-297785613	Kim Sankey Angel Architecture Ltd	yes	I am essentially interested in Chapter 3 - Designing for Health and Wellbeing (as it affects residential care homes) and this section is very well considered in my opinion. Chapter 5 - Housing which fails to mention design of 'Lifetime Homes' those that can be adapted into larger units if the owner chooses to extend or wants to work from home. (There should be initiatives for loft conversions providing there are no means of escape issues). Chapter 6 - To promote high quality beautiful developments is all very well but what is generally built is poor quality without any local distinctiveness and lacking chimneys which are an essential feature of our sky line. Maybe chimneys are now redundant with electric heating schemes but these could be considered in historic areas. You don't mention the excellent work produced by Cabe in the Building for Life 12 (principles for delivering good design) which appears in the NPPF. Chapter 9 - Our outstanding heritage - meaning of non-designated?
FS-Case-297949549	Martin Thurgood		The objectives are a little 'thin' on a focus on the needs of local people - and their involvement in the plan's preparation so that it is 'their plan' and not simply one imposed on them. To that extent, extant neighbourhood plans have taken a lot of local investment and must of necessity be accorded a very high standing in any new plan proposals not least because they provide a wealth of local knowledge and expectations.
FS-Case-299349815	Allan Punton	no	Climate change should be top of the list
FS-Case-299861217	Heath Nickels Exmouth Wildlife Group	yes	Yes these objectives are broad enough. The most fundamental aspect of this plan should be inherent DESIGN principles and STANDARDS, that reflect the desires to enhance health and well being; tackle the climate and biodiversity emergencies and other priorities These DESIGN principles/ standards should be overtly clear for all, whether citizen, councillor, planner or DEVELOPER meaning that development applications come forward based on these STANDARDS so that " beautiful places and buildings can be planned at the outset through the local plan rather than relying on subsequent master planning or individual planning applications. " (Chapter8 (8.5)
FS-Case-300714787	Blank - No Name	yes	Rather vague and I worry that they will be ignored when the reality of the economy hit
FS-Case-300872971	Ian Wasson	no	I think an objective should be to focus as much development as possible on brownfield sites, and also within existing BUAB's
FS-Case-301179421	Mark HUMPHRIES	yes	Objective 3, should limit housing to within existing boundaries of villages and towns, no further expansion outside of the existing footprint Development should only be allowed where there is existing infrastructure in place for any new occupants
FS-Case-301702992	John Stevens	no	Retain youth Control building
FS-Case-302312889	john aldred	no	This planet cannot comfortably sustain more and more people with their associated needs for infrastructure and housing.Taking the natural environment away fro wild life for our needs will,inevitably, end in extremely uncomfortable circumstances whereby such events as pandemics originating from crossover of animal viruses will become more common. Furthermore,our children's right to be left a sustainable natural environment must not be denied them by our generation's greed for gobbling up the natural environment.
FS-Case-302912562	Paul Gamble	no	Consideration should be given to maintaining/increasing the "vitality" of the many small villages/hamlets across East Devon.
FS-Case-302971165	Richard Thurlow Sid	no	Ob 3; should read "To provide high quality new homes to meet local people's needs Ob 5 :delete "to increase footfall and spend" The aims are a "a healthy town centre economy, convenient access to local services and an attractive town centre environment

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
	Vale Association		Ob 10; should be broader, not just focusing on Infrastructure in new development, but looking at connectivity across the district Replace with " To ensure that residents and businesses in both existing and new developments have the connectivity they need" Add Objective; One which relates to local employment and services
FS-Case-303403737	Mr&Mrs Wood	no	Yes, the right objectives, with a few additions please! Ob.2: Not giving planning permission to build on any traditionally natural flood plain. Aim to ensure net zero before 2040. Ob.3: Utilise existing empty property and incentivise the public to do this and down size when possible, therefore freeing up family accommodation. Ob.4: Giving priority to Eco business and helping existing businesses trying to be more eco friendly. Ensure local hubs small business start ups and low rent, to encourage entrepreneurship. Reduce business rates, otherwise we will have ghost towns. Up taxes on non eco friendly businesses and services. Ob.9: Reduce the cost of public transport for users, otherwise it is just easier and cheaper to take use the car.
FS-Case-303791282	Maureen Chandler	no	objective 3 - housing - no more retirement blocks of flats, we are now over run with these - what we need is small housing for our young people either to rent, buy, or part buy, rent - when we see affordable housing at £250.000 like Plumb Park etc, many of our local young do not earn enough money to get a mortgage - EDDC has sadly never addressed this issue in the 50 years I have lived in Exmouth
FS-Case-304210544	David Beazley Sainthill Baptist Church	no	Under 'Health and Wellbeing, provision should be made for the spiritual lives of people: places of worship, etc.. (Possibly shared with community centre facilities, etc..)
FS-Case-304854668	John Catchpole None	yes	However I would like to see more of an integration between Objection 3 and 10. Meeting future housing needs needs to be linked with Connections and Infrastructure. Without the adequate infrastructure any development needs to be severely restricted.
FS-Case-305076755	Jeff Powley	no	Why are you putting so much emphasis onto an imaginary issue - Climate Emergency, this is simply a political policy being introduced into what should be non political local affairs
FS-Case-305714384	Miles Butler	no	The proposed set of objectives is confusing in its order. It would be clearer to lead with those that express what the plan is essentially there to provide space for; the "What's", Objectives 3 (housing), 4 (jobs), 5 (services) and 10 (infrastructure). Then follow with the supporting objectives that express the manner in which it is hoped the substantial objectives should be achieved; the "Hows", Objectives 1 (well-being), 2 (zero carbon), 6 (design quality), 7 (conservation), 8(environment protection), 9 (sustainability). I would also suggest a further "How" - Objective 10 "Protecting established communities from the adverse impacts of new development including increased flood risk, traffic nuisance and danger, environmental degradation and overloading of existing services and infrastructures"
FS-Case-305765435	Aurora Aykroyd		Yes. But I also believe that you should create some opportunities for young people, to design and think about planning. if you want to keep young talent to stay in the area then invest in the futures of our amazing Exeter College and Tiverton College students by providing opportunities in which they can take part in shaping the world, environment, locality in which they live.This can be done through design competitions in partnership with certain design lead courses as well as open brief put out to young professionals and architecture agencies in Devon.
FS-Case-305767901	david stewart	no	The priority is wrong, too much money is wasted on local climate emergency activities when this needs to be led by national government. The saved resources need to be spent on housing and amenities for local people as priority.
FS-Case-305882808	Kay Lane		Disagree with number 9. Prioritise parking and do not penalise car users
FS-Case-306175815	chistopher Burton	no	Greater diversity to minority groups of people
FS-Case-306256851	Simon Rennie		no comment see objective 10 section 12 questions
FS-Case-306308166	Sylvia Meller	yes	With emphasis on Nature and Climate Change!
FS-Case-306915743	Catherine Kingham	yes	There should be no ribbon development in East Devon. It has ruined Torbay and other parts of the UK. Villages should be left as villages - good communities, small and self

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			supporting. Joining up villages, towns/cities is not a good or viable option as people will not want to live in such large groups.
FS-Case-307126895	Daren Richards	no	There are people in the area who want to live off grid and be self sustainable and this would not only release housing for other people it will also be more environmentally friendly and help environmental issues but these people seem to be snubbed by the planners this needs to change people should have the right to go off grid and enjoy the things they own
FS-Case-307313176	Jonne Ceserani	yes	I think they are a good list of objectives and I think numbers 9 and 10 are more strategic and should be given a higher rating, I suggest becoming 3 and 4 with the remainder moving down two levels.
FS-Case-307482014	G. Millard	yes	No direct reference to promoting the Tourism Industry.
FS-Case-307693356	Michael Horn	no	In light of the recent approval for a gas fired power station in Exmouth, and an attempted application at Woodbury, there needs to be an objective concerning power generation including renewables.
FS-Case-307956780	Lorraine Snell	no	Infrastructure and lack of amenities need to be addressed. Exmouth requires more supermarkets to accommodate the increase in people living in the town. Tesco has the monopoly and charges higher prices because of no competition
FS-Case-308051900	Sean Parker	no	Sustainability, regeneration and conservation
FS-Case-308057683	Ian Holmes	no	More facilities and funding for youth projects
FS-Case-308058616	Carol Royal	no	East Devon needs to retain its special appeal and not become an overloaded housing development. This is an area whereby people choose to live because of its current ambiance. There is so much housing development in close proximity- there is no need to expand in this area. This would only satisfy the local land owners not consider the current local communities.
FS-Case-308062599	Susan Tyrrell	no	Transport
FS-Case-308075592	Wendy Blackham	no	The environment.
FS-Case-308111547	janice watkins	no	I think there is a conflict between high quality and beautiful developments and meeting local housing needs which have to be affordable to locals
FS-Case-308132300	Trevor Heptinstall		At least 6 years ago Councillor Bruce de Sarum came to a meeting of Foxholes Residents' Association, touting his involvement in the preparation of your last Local Plan. I cannot see that the resulting current plan makes any difference to the way that gullible councillors, stupid planners and greedy developers either collude with or employ 'smart Alec' planning advisors (often ex planning officers) to gain permission to 'run rings round' and to 'work the system' in order to do whatever they like. Until the Government change the Planning Laws in a meaningful way to provide good common sense, but most importantly, ENFORCIBLE development in this country, your efforts on this new plan are a waste of everyone's time and my portion of your Council Tax. PLEASE APPLY THIS COMMENT TO ALL YOUR QUESTIONS. Please do not just disregard my opinion as frivolous and unimportant. These are my honestly held beliefs.
FS-Case-308199882	Bernadette Steadman	no	I agree with the objectives, but would ask council to look more deeply into the sustainability of new houses, in terms of solar panels, grey water capture etc.
FS-Case-308203057	Andrew Northfield	no	Brighten up the tired seafront areas and encourage investment therein
FS-Case-308231255	Martin Seymour	yes	I believe its important to retain the facilities and environment the east Devon offers. Significant develops like Cranbrook and West Clyst do not retain the East Devon environment or facilities that people desire.
FS-Case-308288718	Emily Davis	no	That ANY housing built should have solar panels and rainwater collection built as standard. The houses should be insulated using recyclables. And you have the goals in the wrong order. What separated East Devon is that it's beautiful countryside. The more we build, the less that the case. Yes, to improving access

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			for eco tourism, healthy activities. Yes, to bigging up our current, beautiful heritage. Yes to finding more of it! Yes, to protecting flood-plains, woods, marshes, heathland. Yes, to bigging up the small businesses that supply the communities in East Devon.
			Before we can can build more houses we need to secure our community services - hospitals, gp surgeries, fire departments, etc.
FS-Case-308324058	Jack Slim	yes	I think the road system around our neighbour Exeter proves that just increasing road capacity only increases car journeys and light railway might be an alternative
FS-Case-308326362	Peter Eastwood N/A	yes	It is vital to have a comprehensive Plan for all these objectives as they are likely to affect the lives of all living in East Devon. Also the Council will have better control on it development.
FS-Case-308401840	Duncan Harvey	no	Traffic volume and road safety
FS-Case-308520714	Peter Brain	yes	There is a limit to what a Dictrict Council can achieve but the emphasis on environmental issues is still crucial and is the key to several of the other objectives.
FS-Case-308588780	Patricia Boyd	yes	10 objectives represent a substantial body of work to deliver. Any additional objectives will dilute the effort Objective 6 is very subjective. It would be better to be more objective in the wording
FS-Case-308618646	Christopher Eccles	yes	They are excellent objectives - the implementation is the key and being BOLD enough to do them justice
FS-Case-308638086	Robin Barker	no	Need to maintain 'Devon look and feel' for the region not letting it become a clone of others regions. Also need to fulfil promises made in previous developments and plans - eg the green wedge to the east of Cranbrook
FS-Case-308685349	Carol Wheeler Company Name (optional)	yes	Sounds good but need deal with practicalities, Egs is housing affordable?
FS-Case-308801635	L Martin	yes	It broadly covers all areas necessary for 21st century living, health, housing, businesses, environment (including climate), infrastructure and investment. Where it says 'To provide high quality new homes to meet people's needs.'... I would like this to say 'To provide high quality affordable new homes to meet people's needs especially families with children'.
FS-Case-308816947	Heather Elgar Woodland Trust	yes	We welcome that tackling the climate emergency and protecting & enhancing the natural environment are key objectives. We highlight the importance of responding to the climate and ecological crises in an integrated way.
FS-Case-308927978	Bruce Allen	yes	I am only interested in the environment issue at present, and as I have mentioned previously I believe Hydrogen fuel is the long term answer to a better air quality. Battery power has limitations that will not with current technology provide sufficient benifits. Speaking as a retired engineer from my experience.
FS-Case-308930499	Lisa Bowman Exmouth Town Council	yes	Members are broadly supportive of the objectives but feel that they closely mirror the white paper (Planning for the Future) and had the following comments: Objective 3: should include the words "safe" and "for rent and purchase" Objective 5: what does greater flexibility mean? Does this imply that use classes will be undermined? Objective 6: "beautiful" is very subjective. Also how does this fit with meeting the needs of the community? Objective 8: the scope should be expanded to include the words "protect and conserve" Objective 9: "promote" is a better word than prioritise. Members wondered how this can be achieved in rural parishes with little/ no public transport and how the reduction in reliance on fossil fuelled vehicles fits with Devon County Council's objectives as well as that of the current public transport stakeholders? Objective 10: should be broadened to include "and correct infrastructure deficiencies of the past." Do the objectives and scope address Hierarchy of needs?
FS-Case-308931289	clive paul	no	Generally agree, but more should be done to discourage car use---park and ride eg. And the seafront should be reconfigured in Exmouth to give pedestrians and cyclists priority over cars. So more sustainable and environmental planning.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-308948443	Karin Goodfellow	yes	Have the objectives been listed in order of priority? If they have I don't agree with that order. However, that is probably not too important at this stage.
FS-Case-309131539	Daniel Bristowe	no	Less restrictions for self-builders looking to build out of the BUAB
FS-Case-309139032	David Lord	no	To protect the existing infrastructure of the district from the inevitable effects of climate change and the extreme weather events that will increasingly occur.
FS-Case-309216926	Ivor Sims	no	Far too costly, unachievable and there are greater priorities.
FS-Case-309231413	April Arnatt	no	There is no mention of community/communities or cultural aspects of the area. The pandemic has raised awareness of the need for a strong community to support individuals and organisations stay healthy, sustainable, supporting public services and voluntary organisations. We have been lucky in "normal" times to have a strong cultural economy which contributes to wellbeing of residents and which promotes tourism and day visits.
FS-Case-309293778	Paul Smith		Policies required for Spatial targeting of development & Environmental land Management, as per Agriculture Act 2020, and Environment Bill & 25 yr Government strategies
FS-Case-309383731	Val Ranger	no	I support the objectives but In terms of development, we need to demand standards, not merely promote or encourage. We must move away from being fobbed off by 'feasibility' part way through development. Outline planning should be given cautiously as this is where the slippery slope into poor end result begins. Feasibility plans need to go in early.
FS-Case-309385676	Roger Pell	no	\More social housing on developments , Less room for developers to get out of providing this, Less affordable housing as this is not affordable more than initial purchase
FS-Case-309401093	Philip Jordan	yes	Due to East Devon location it is becoming a place where more and people wish to live. Corvid and working from home will compound this so environment, housing and infrastructure are vital.
FS-Case-309425268	Pamela Dean	no	AIDS to crossing major roads with slowing traffic or pedestrian lights. A376 in particular at Clyst St George.
FS-Case-309456508	Ian Birch	yes	Glad to see an objective related to tackling the climate emergency! Also pleased at the increased emphasis on building higher-quality properties in attractive settings rather than driving for house numbers at all costs.
FS-Case-309465143	Daisy mclauchlan	no	Objective 6: Beauty is in the eye of the beholder. When considering development that is sustainable and affordable east Devon has to consider superb design not beauty. Design is key, well designed modular housing can look fantastic against a backdrop of trees or parks/lakes. Look to Scandanavian countries to bring Devon a very modern look which can live in harmony alongside the old.
FS-Case-309561897	Maria Malinowska	yes	Focus on connecting local domestic buyers and suppliers/producers to reduce food miles, increase quality and choice, and drive down costs. This builds a vibrant local economy and the Devon brand.
FS-Case-309588076	Carol Jay Transition Exmouth	no	Objectives 8 & 9 could be higher up the list - reading objective 1 as "healthy lifestyles on a healthy planet" makes it a good over-arching aim
FS-Case-309593710	Vivien Heath	no	More help should be given to local residents in the form of affordable housing and homes to rent
FS-Case-309775222	Cheryl Wood	no	Please commit to actions not just words. These are all worthy objectives but I don't see much action happening to deliver them.
FS-Case-309879551	David Goodfellow	yes	Re Objective 9 I agree with the the objective of reducing reliance on fossil fuels, but this does not automatically create a need to provide charging for electric vehicles. The whole transport strategy needs to be considered as a whole system which may not need to spend huge sums of money, use of 3rd world cheap labour for mining natural resources (producing copper for large capacity cables, for example) and plastic the cable and its infrastructure. Better public transport; use of shared or dial-up driverless transport which will come about in thye future will reduce the need for individuals' cars in the local shopping and town centres - indeed the use of personal vehicles could be discouraged not encouraged..
FS-Case-310202766	Anthony Derrick	no	Reduce the number of 2nd homes in ED by preventing new sales for 2nd homes and aplying extra charges to current owners.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-310214396	Karan Bennett Bishops Clyst Parish Council	no	No reference is made to solving the existing traffic congestion which seriously affects this parish. There is a desperate need to improve road networks, particularly in the East Devon 'west end'. Objective 9 will not improve traffic flows!
FS-Case-310479155	Mary Pike	no	I object strongly to further planned building on our countryside green sites. You have over delivered by 37 percent over the last three years and 33 percent over the past five years. The planning of Cranbrook is a disgrace, have you driven around at the weekend? there are cars parked everywhere, badly parked preventing emergency vehicles from getting through. Extra houses have been built by the greed of the Contractors, with no thought except for themselves. The thought about Climate change completely ignored. I know we need affordable housing, but to encroach on more green belt in this area is ludicrous.
FS-Case-310515497	David White Devon and Cornwall Police	no	We would like to see this expanded, or an additional objective created, so that the objective is to not only encourage but to provide a safe and secure environment with low crime with the necessary police infrastructure in place to help police, and facilitate, a healthy lifestyle which can be enjoyed free from crime and the fear of crime. The local plan needs to acknowledge the importance of policing and police infrastructure in achieving this objective. It is clear that a safe and secure environment, free from crime and fear of crime, is key to health and wellbeing; this can be achieved by proportionate investment in the necessary police infrastructure. We would like to see objective 10 and paragraph 12.1 amended to include police infrastructure.
FS-Case-310532925	Mike Cordery	no	Coastal erosion
FS-Case-310965690	Victoria Serag- El-Din	no	Objective 2 sets out to deliver net zero carbon emissions by 2040. This is in 20 years time and a lot of damage can be done between now and then. I wonder if this can be brought forward and more immediate preventative measures put in place? Committing to using property developers that build more sustainably and recycle recycle materials and waste generated from all the new homes being built. Objective 8- could be expanded to include no development on green belt sites and planting of new trees to compensate for the additional housing.
FS-Case-311300471	David Gill	no	Your website is very difficult. You should stick to what we have got and improve that first before moving on./
FS-Case-311693209	Jeremy Woodward Vision Group for Sidmouth	yes	The VGS consider all the objectives to be 'right'; however, others could be added to the LP: 1) 'Agriculture' is only referred to as forming part of the 'traditional economy'; however, supporting an actively sustainable agricultural system should become a key objective, as outlined in the the government's recent 'Path to Sustainable Farming' which considers biodiversity, local food production and distribution, and support for small-scale traditional family farms: https://tinyurl.com/yrayctsf 2) 'Young people' are scarcely mentioned and 'future generations' only once; issues such as 'more housing' or 'climate change' only imply a concern with the next generation; rather, the interests of young people and real long-termism should be made a key objective, on the lines of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015: https://tinyurl.com/6ar7m7cp
FS-Case-311868155	Martin Dowse	no	Transport links and infrastucture. As an example if you wish for example Ottery St Mary to thrive as a town it needs a Bi-pass road crcling the town to take away through traffic and allow the town centre to be pedestrianised . This would attract businesses to the centre, provide a focal point for town events and improve the carbon footprint of the town centre.
FS-Case-312095051	Terry Darrant	no	Climate change needs to take a backseat in light of the difficult financial times out country faces and that couples up with the great need to support the high street, small and local businesses. Much more is needed to be done with regards to our heritage including enforcement on those who do not sufficiently maintain such structures/buildings. Housing is a priority but needs to be designated more towards brownfield sites as open countryside is fast becoming a rare commodity
FS-Case-312184112	Linda Lowes N/A	no	Objective 3: The majority of new homes should be for local people & robust research should be carried

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			<p>out to understand the needs of everyone and not just jump on the bandwagon of affordable housing. There are older people in the East Devon who would like to step down to easy care/supported living in the villages they have lived in all of their lives and yet is only executive homes or un/affordable housing that are built.</p> <p>Today houses are built without infra structure or community facilities. Second homes destroy communities and do little to contribute to the local economy. East Devon should become a great place to live and work and not a theme park for holiday makers and second home owners.</p> <p>Objective 4.</p> <p>It would be great to see better paid year round jobs for local people. You also need to provide training so that those who were born here can obtain better paid jobs and remain in the area. If you keep increasing the population you will lose what people love best.</p>
FS-Case-312251067	Neal Jillings Place Land Ltd	yes	no comment
FS-Case-312255566	Stephen sadler	no	<p>1. There is nothing about enhancing the local existing community. New developments should be at a pace to enhance the local community rather than replace. In Ottery the present LDP has seen 550 new homes built/approved between 2015 and 2021. This has increased the population by around 20% in less than 5 years (in fact a bigger increase in Ottery than in the preceding 110 years). What makes Devon towns are the character of their communities - their differences. Recent development fails to take this into consideration and is leading to homogenised towns. If the character of the town changes so does its cultural uniqueness/diversity</p> <p>2. Shouldnt the objective be the order in which things are done. At present housing is thrust into towns when there is inadequate resources (school places, doctors surgeries, water supply, sewage capacity, road ways, parking for residents who live in older buildings which give the town its character). Towns are becoming commuter towns for mainly Exeter</p>
FS-Case-312290113	Patrick Sparks	no	<p>Development needs more control keep the hedge rows and trees, you can't keep taking away the homes of wild life. The UK has the highest wildlife extinction level of the whole of Europe, I want to see councils showing genuine concern before it is to late!</p> <p>The planet before profit!</p>
FS-Case-312317917	Steven Walton	yes	The objectives are very high level soundbites. This is usually difficult to translate in to meaningful practical actions. Each point should have subsections with how it is possible to acheive the objectives and what impact this is going to have on the lives of the local community.
FS-Case-312449388	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	yes	<p>Our client supports the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be “sound”, in-line with the NPPF.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requirements create a platform for financially viable development.</p>
FS-Case-312482944	Peter Bowler NA	no	It is unrealistic to expect cycling and walking to be a major means of travel in a country area. Distances are too great. It is also foolish to provide affordable housing in villages that force people to run two cars that they cannot afford. Affordable housing is needed, but should be built near centres of employment and shopping centres.
FS-Case-312781179	Carine Silver	no	The objectives are not, in my opinion, of equal importance. I am in full agreement with objectives 1, 2, 8, 9 and 10. Whilst the others are important, they should not complete on a the same playing field as those five, and should be progressed in such a way as to support these five.
FS-Case-313116054	A Davidson	no	As part of the sustainable transport and in particular public transport more should be done to make buses in towns electric.
FS-Case-313155665	Catherine Dandridge	no	Objective 1, 3 & 4 need to give clarity about how the needs of people with disabilities including those with Learning disability will be met to ensure equitable access & opportunity.
FS-Case-313233811	Phil Golder	yes	If the objectives are in order of priority then I think the objective for protecting our natural environment should be listed in at least the top three and arguably top given it's importance to all species.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-313445139	Brenda Plumer N/A	no	I would like to see more information regarding improvements to road for pedestrians, particularly on roads that have a high percentage of traffic. The main road leading from the Exmouth roundabout to Budeilgh High Street is a major concern as there is little provision for footpaths so pedestrians have to zig-zag across the road from one pathway to another. The increase in traffic over the last few years has been enormous and if the Plan as stated goes ahead, I can only see there being more traffic. We have seen this already since Evans Fields has been developed on the outskirts of Knowle Village - which could become an area where further housing could be developed. It is also clear that many vehicles do not observe the 30 m.p.h., limit as they approach the T junction at Knowle Village and some totally ignore the 30 m.p.h. light that flashes on the main road. Could something also be done about the T-junction at Knowle Village - the noise of vehicle horns is extremely worrying.
FS-Case-313459444	Mike Allen EDDC	no	There need to be very clear quotas for disabled access and affordable housing on all potential sites both in Rural and Town areas. There needs to be a clause about viability assessments being based on existing land values if agricultural land is to be used for housing or commercial development and there needs to be a clear allocation of small and large business units to specific zones including Honiton and Dunkeswel. There needs to be very clear design principles and community quality of life design policies built into the new plan
FS-Case-313484477	Mark Dewick		I would like to see investment to increase tourism in East Devon all year around, rather than just over the traditional peak months. I would like to see Sidmouth and Seaton developed into watersport destinations.
FS-Case-313492568	Kevin Blakey Cranbrook Town Council & EDDC		Please refer to my answer to chapter 14
FS-Case-313521692	Louise Dean	no	Infrastructure and public transport
FS-Case-313523282	Gary Barlow	yes	They are just management speak words on a page. Anyone can write them, very few can follow through.
FS-Case-313542858	Judith Heathcock	yes	Health and wellbeing are important as well as zero carbon. Vitality of our towns could be helped by using all of the empty floors of buildings above shops to bring more people to live in towns. NO NEW DEVELOPMENTS are needed particularly on greenfield sites. Re-use brownfield sites. Affordable housing is not necessary.
FS-Case-313585518	berri bennett	no	
FS-Case-313608804	Susan Child	no	Generally excellent objectives, but I think there ought to be provision to ensure that habitat & biodiversity loss do not occur as a result of following the other objectives.
FS-Case-313613307	Madeleine Blu	no	Security from criminals and from terrorists. Safety for children from pedophiles.
FS-Case-313618009	Elizabeth Twining	yes	I would give conserving the natural environment a higher priority
FS-Case-313643991	Douglas Smith	no	Large scale development needs to be considered along side available hospital beds and facilities. The pandemic highlighted Devon would have been in serious trouble if COVID had hit us hard, due to over development without comparable beds in hospital
FS-Case-313678330	june glennie	yes	that's enough to handle
FS-Case-313693362	Gary Tubb	no	A scheme where lower priced properties, usually in or on the outskirts of towns are purchased by Councils and improved, instead of perpetual 'new builds' would not only provide alternatives to new build affordable housing, but would speed up housing needs as these properties already exist, usually in areas where employment exists. Improvement of these types of property would enhance the local area and add a much needed boost to the housing market. There seems to be a trend of CLT's claiming a need for affordable housing in rural areas with no infrastructure or employment prospects, which is not in keeping with the objectives of a sustainable development.
FS-Case-313698487	Craig Daley		What a waste of time. You can not control what developers do, and yet this is trying to set out that you are doing all the buildings in the area which is false therefore this statement is a waste of time
FS-Case-313710620	Jacqueline Cox	no	To allow for affordable housing solutions to enable local families to remain in the area. To consider a balanced housing stock for a wide mix of households

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-313712377	Susan Mackie	yes	nice objectives but several are pipe dreams. In past 5 years employment is still dire, public transport not fit for purpose and proposals to reduce reliance on the car obviously not coming from those attempting to live in the area. Need for more housing now decreasing.
FS-Case-313718525	Robert Maynard	no	The objectives for the Local Plan are a mixture visions, aims, desires and aspirations without any clear rational or structure for their selection. The Local Plan needs to make a clear distinction between: The Vision for the future High Level Aims Objectives It is critical for the Vision, Aims and Objections to be clearly stated so they can be used as a benchmark to ensure appropriate planning and land use polices and proposals are included in the Plan. The Local Plan Plan should set out Objective that seek to: A - Conserve and Enhance East Devon's Environment B - Prudent use of Resources C - Community Self Sufficiency D - Meeting the need for Housing E - Dynamic and Healthy Economy F - Sustainable Transport Networks G - Meeting the need for Infrastructure The suggested "List of Objectives" are set out in the pdf attached to this response.
FS-Case-313736654	Kate Williams	no	Rejuvenation of high streets and town centres is also essential
FS-Case-313771026	John Connolly	no	I agree all the given objectives. I think you are missing an objective related to pollution and recycling. While CO2 emissions and habitat loss are major causes of concern, we often overlook the impact of plastics, chemicals and other human generated waste. I would like to see an objective specifically aimed at reducing / eliminating locally generated waste.
FS-Case-313777879	Janice Low	no	In objective 5 there could also be reference to charities social enterprises voluntary and community groups,
FS-Case-313780058	Megan Lowe	no	Support people to be able to buy and live in existing property in East Devon. Many properties are snapped up as second homes/holiday lets - it is difficult for first/second-time buyers to buy, move, and live in East Devon (new homes alone will not solve this). Objective 8 - we should strive to increase biodiversity with measurable outcomes, not just 'support it'.
FS-Case-313780822	Jim Stacey		It's not clear whether these objectives are in any order of priority. Since there are inevitable conflicts, surely they need to be? Objectives 8 & 9 should be very high priority.
FS-Case-313812606	Richard Bates	no	It has been glaringly obvious for several years that the A376 needs to be upgraded for productivity and safety reasons. Despite the population growth of Exmouth and some other nearby settlements nothing has been done for many many years. Is the council unaware that productivity is one of the UK's major economic problems and that infrastructure improvements are known to make significant improvements to these improvements.
FS-Case-313846023	Paul Garnham	no	"Climate change" should not be a major priority for a local district council.
FS-Case-313849237	Kathleen Ellett	yes	I applaud the ethos the plan is based upon
FS-Case-313857211	Linda Johnson	no	Plan for younger generations to live and work in East Devon and in particular rural areas to balance the age demographic of the population and ensure healthy vibrant rural communities for the future.
FS-Case-313884230	Andrew Roberts	yes	These are all good general aims - but think EDDC should concentrate on objectives 3, 4 5 and 10.
FS-Case-313893034	Steven Hepplestone	no	Only build where the area has resources to support the additional population
FS-Case-313969913	colin rundle	no	There is a need to prioritise these objectives at some point.
FS-Case-313997024	Richard Holman	no	The plans in relation to the economy and housing etc are totally inadequate. On every endeavour dealing with the EDDC is so difficult as there overriding remit is to prevent and stall all and any development they have the capability of doing this too which does not fall into their aim of keeping any development to an absolute minimum as required by law and letting all the surrounding areas take the strain. It is a clearly visible in everything

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			they do and as a show of this they recently pulled out of the area development plan which the other areas were involved in, which means they lose funding and other incentive but this is irrelevant as they are not interested in funding and development.
FS-Case-313999789	Jayne Blackmore	yes	I think an additional objective relating to established communities: Protecting these communities from adverse impacts of new development for example in our current climate flood risk, increased traffic nuisance and added danger on the narrow lanes, overloading of existing services and infrastructure.
FS-Case-314090147	Rob Longhurst		Yes - fine
FS-Case-314113114	Caroline Wilson Chardstock Parish Council	no	The date in Objective 2 should be reduced to 2030 in line with the wishes of the Devon Interim Carbon Plan. Objective 3 should include the refurbishment of existing dwellings to reduce reliance on new build, which is NOT carbon friendly, and more brownfield development should be encouraged. Objective 10: new infrastructure, particularly roads, should be minimal to discourage vehicle use.
FS-Case-314133727	Ian Jewson Walsingham Planning Ltd	no	In accordance with the NPPF the presumption in favour of sustainable development should be a fundamental aspect of the plan's focus. This will ensure that new development is located in sustainable places, in close proximity to complementary uses (i.e houses close to jobs, shops and community infrastructure) with the least environmental impact. Whilst climate change, design and the environmental are important issues to consider the plan should fundamentally identify and provide for objectively assessed needs (NPPG Paragraph: 036 Reference ID: 61-036-20190723).
			Linked to the 'presumption' the inter relationship between East Devon and Exeter should also be included as a fundamental issue. The concentration of jobs, facilities and services in Exeter cannot be overlooked. Locating development near to Exeter is likely to result in the most sustainable form of development, subject to relevant environmental constraints and designations.
FS-Case-314217529	Don Mildenhall	no	There should be a specific objective to support retail and related services in villages
FS-Case-314345492	Martyn Smith Feniton Parish Council	yes	An additional Objective: Protecting established communities from the adverse impacts of new development including increased flood risk, traffic nuisance and danger, environmental degradation and overloading of existing services and infrastructures.
FS-Case-314403709	Fiona Legg		My only comments are, having spent several years now since living in Whimple making my own objections to planning proposals, making my own remarks and attending planning meetings, so far absolutely zero, absolutely nothing of what I have said, suggested or offered as an opinion has been taken into consideration or made any difference. I absolutely believe in my heart that this is purely a paper exercise. I have no belief or evidence to suggest the east Devon district Council will give any consideration whatsoever to any personal individuals remarks. Therefore in my opinion there is no point whatsoever in completing this document because I do not believe that the Council are going to pay any attention to it whatsoever. You are offering this opportunity to make our comments out of obligation but in my opinion you have absolutely no intention whatsoever to listen to what the individual residents request, or desire. It is incredibly sad and disappointing.
FS-Case-314536685	Andrew Butler National Farmers Union	no	Objective 2 - please expand to include encouraging renewable energy and its infrastructure Objective 3 - please add 'in the right places'
FS-Case-314585169	Peter Faithfull	no	There is no clear plan to support rural communities to keep them as vibrant communities in their own right.
FS-Case-314606312	RICHARD GETLIFFE	no	All houses built should be of low energy, low carbon design. A programme of retro fitting heritage housing stocks to be more energy efficient. Encouragement for rainwater collection projects. Some areas where very dense growth of trees and plants can be achieved
FS-Case-314622730	Timothy Legg	no	Reduce development. Preserve our rural landscape.
FS-Case-314646596	Alex Pryor	no	Maintaining the existing character of Devon's towns and villages (not trying to stop all development, but future development should be sensitive and in character with existing styles, size/proportions, of individual homes etc.
FS-Case-314731257	Fiona Lawson	no	More cycle paths. Ottery at Mary to Sidmouth Sidbury to Sidford

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			Kilmington to Seaton Sea Front Propper designated cycle paths no roads. Every new road built should have a cycle path.
FS-Case-314758581	Peter Ball Kilmington Parish Council	yes	Objective 3 needs to be more of a focus on housing needs for local residents. If Consultation is key, then include it as an objective and also add: Respect and take into account Local Neighbourhood Plans.
FS-Case-314760502	christopher Heal Private	yes	Develop more villages to make them more sustainable encourage housing ,business development therefore encouraging the retention of generated monies for the benefit of the local community to enhance the vitality and facilities of the village community
FS-Case-314762361	ZOE H BETTERTON Cornerstone Design and Build	yes	I think environmental considerations should be at the for front.
FS-Case-314782794	Nicola Daniel	no	Large scale areas for recreation must be included. Large scale areas for biodiversity Problem of second homes
FS-Case-314806759	Stuart Yerrell	yes	Most of the objectives are so self-evident as to hardly need stating. No-one in their right mind would argue for the contrary.
FS-Case-314832307	Anthony Carthy James Carthy and Company Limited	no	Education needs more prominence. A radical solution is required to the affordable home problem that a substantial percentage of our residents have.
FS-Case-314846841	Wendy Van Der Plank The Beehive- community complex	no	Would support these objectives but feel that current infrastructure particularly a community resource such as The Beehive, Charity no 1162412, which belongs to East Devon residents, should be supported financially. This would help sustain the charity and enable more support for the community health & wellbeing and add to the economic and cultural/tourism offer for the region. Can you include building upon and increasing the offer of current infrastructure ?I'm sure this would be a cost effective approach.
FS-Case-314894688	John Colby	no	Address the planning implications of the Covid pandemic eg retail/town centres, employment and transport issues - both current and anticipated (para. 2.6)
FS-Case-314910549	James Barnes- Phillips	no	Provide more Electric Vehicle public Charging points, both on street and in Car Parks, but without a charge being made to use them at the latter.
FS-Case-314921504	robert wiltshire	no	Objective 6. Sadly the word 'beautiful' does not spring to mind when I see some of the appalling designs of housing which have been approved and built. Some even in the Conservation Area of Budleigh Salterton.
FS-Case-314926201	Richard Crabtree	no	Several of our rivers in East Devon are degraded and polluted. They need to be upgraded and then protected as part of the environmental objectives.
FS-Case-314937410	Eleonore Pang	no	Little/no involvement of the public - other than where there is a valid neighbourhood plan which must be respected fully.
FS-Case-314951782	Daniel Mumby N/A	no	More protection for green corridors between Exeter and the nearby villages, to prevent the likes of Broadclyst merging with either the city or Cranbrook
FS-Case-314963509	Trudi Franklin	no	Think of the younger generation , support them with more local opportunity
FS-Case-314985086	Sarah Cox	no	I couldn't see any plans for promoting affordable housing/addressing local housing needs. we live in an area where house prices are not matched with salaries and there is an abundance of second homes.
FS-Case-315062740	Ann UPCHURCH	no	I do not think that land at The Grange field Rockbeare or around Cobden towards Whimble should be built on. Villages are being swallowed up by Cranbrook
FS-Case-315064417	Susan Clayton	no	For both residents and visitors to the area greater introduction of connected cycle paths for both leisure and commuting. I would love to cycle to work but the lanes and roads I would use have high volumes of car, lorry and bus traffic it would be unsafe.
FS-Case-315078619	David Venner	no	Community Care or Care in the Community. Supporting/funding the use of solar, wind and other alternative energy provisions to existing buildings.
FS-Case-315100989	Elaine Wade	no	Heritage: to preserve the heritage of Exmouth; the villages within and the history which has led this to be a visitor town. To preserve the open spaces, i.e., the Maer valley as it is. To preserve with English Hertiage and NT the ancient lanes of Gore and Castle. In short to limit the amount of building in and around Exmouth which has become too large and with

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			too many 'sink' estates.
			Exmouth: now is too large, too many estates. We must have a mission to use all available empty buildings for housing and limit second homes here which fuels the high cost of housing. Help for real local people should be available to help get on the housing ladder or rent at reasonable cost. Other towns must now take more of the building quota.
			Anti social behaviour: which comes from the sink estates and from those visiting, mostly local to other parts of ED and Exeter and other towns. We need to come down hard on all types of anti social behaviour; littering, dog fouling, bad behaviour etc.
FS-Case-315126013	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	no	Our client supports the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be “sound”, in-line with the NPPF as mentioned above. Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requirements create a platform for financially viable development.
FS-Case-315136864	Jill Butler	yes	The objectives in themselves are “right” as principles, in that most people won’t disagree with the broad sentiment. The key issues however are “how” will these be achieved, particularly when some of them may conflict eg objective 3 (meeting future housing needs) while still conserving and enhancing our built heritage and natural environment (objectives 7 and 8). This includes protecting established communities from the worst impacts of development.
FS-Case-315168747	Liz Shortland	no	Objective 3 - I would like this to specify more affordable and/or social housing. And Objective 7 - to Use derelict sites for infill housing and to encourage those who own heritage sites that have been derelict for years to do something to correct those particular eyesores.
FS-Case-315169040	Bryan Rayner	no	Objective 2, Tackling the climate emergency: Target date should be more ambitious, i.e. 2030.
FS-Case-315186818	Mervyn H Clapp	yes	Need to allow people the right to build, adhering to guidance.
FS-Case-315216760	Elizabeth Campbell	no	Objective 2 - I would like to understand if local government can set its own higher standard for any new construction. Current planning seems to allow vast estates to be thrown up with a relatively poor standard particularly in terms of sustainability. Why can developers not be forced to include more insulation, ground source pumps, solar panels etc instead of conventional approaches. Objective 3 - How can the council control/limit 2nd home ownership which drives prices up and prices locals from the market. More affordable and social housing should be incorporated in any new developments - not just a token gesture. Objective 7 - Many older properties are structurally sound, but could benefit from investment to bring up to a higher standard of sustainability and to reduce emissions. Objective 9 - I totally support sustainable local transport, the plan should also consider the importance of Exeter airport to local tourism and business. The loss of Flybe was a major blow to the area.
FS-Case-315275424	Rob Phillips Broadhembury Neighbourhood Community Land Trust (BNCLT)	yes	We particularly note Objective 7 (To conserve and enhance our outstanding built heritage), and Objective 8 (To protect and enhance our outstanding natural environment and support an increase in biodiversity). With respect to Objective 7 - we endorse the conservation of our built heritage. However, we find the words 'and enhance' lacks clarity in the context of conservation – ‘enhance’ implies an alteration that maybe in conflict with conservation.
FS-Case-315423597	Kim Dearsly	no	Protection of green wedges between communities and important landscape features in smaller settlements. Imposing designs on developers to avoid generic house builds within communities to avoid the emergence of a loss of identity.
FS-Case-315476876	Graham Long Upottery Parish Council	no	Objective 3 should recognise that urban and rural housing needs are different and be restated as: “To provide high quality homes to meet people needin both urban and rural areas”. Objective 10 talks about “Connections”. It’s not clear what this means and we suggest the word should be Connectivity. Only infrastructure is mentioned in the objective. It should

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
			read: "Objective 10: Connectivity and Infrastructure: To secure infrastructure and connectivity needs at an appropriate time to support new development"
FS-Case-315539551	Gary Parsons Sport England	no	support objective 1 and objective 6. synergy with Active Environments - one of the 5 key issues in our New Strategy 'Uniting The Movement' is a 10-year vision to transform lives and communities through sport and physical activity. We believe and will advocated sport and physical activity has a big role to play in improving the physical and mental health of the nation, supporting the economy, reconnecting communities and rebuilding a stronger society for all.
FS-Case-315542900	Joanna Boyce	no	There needs to be more recognition, service and investment in the small villages of the area - these are too often left till last when there is no money left in the bank.
FS-Case-315556156	David Pickhaver Torbay Council	yes	I have raised a specific issue in relation to Objective 3: Meeting Future Housing Needs(see below). However, the objectives are sensible and Toray specifically supports Objective 2 Tackling the Climate Emergency.
FS-Case-315622017	Robert Martin Clyst Honiton Parish Council	no	<p>The list of objectives is extensive, but the trick is to balance the attempts to meet one objective rather than another. Our experience is that the main objective is always to meet targets of house-building at the expense of all other objectives</p> <p>Objective 1 could be achieved under the other objective headings; it would be difficult to prove and achieve in isolation for all residents in East Devon. This objective is all about good "Design" which is covered under objective 6. It is Important that planning is seen by all residents in East Devon as more than housing and employment and that these objectives do not lead and determine the Plan and lip-service is paid to the other objectives.</p>
FS-Case-315627773	Ed Moffatt Diocese of Exeter	yes	The objectives are supported but it would help at this point to refer explicitly in some form to the need to secure and encourage social sustainability and connectivity. The issue is picked up later in Chapter 3 but given its strategic importance, it should really included in the headline objectives. For example, Objective 1 could be usefully expanded to "healthy lifestyles, living environments and supportive social connections for all East Devon residents".
FS-Case-315635370	Sarah Davidson	no	Protecting the sustainability of East Devon villages and the local communities
FS-Case-315678300	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	yes	<p>Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requirements create a platform for financially viable development.</p> <p>Furthermore, alongside an objective focusing specifically on housing deliver, another is needed to address the delivery of employment/commercial space within the district. If East Devon District Council wants to create an area where people not only want to live, but businesses want to locate themselves, they must ensure the delivery of an adequate amount of innovate employment/commercial space, in a timely manner.</p>
FS-Case-315685113	Lauren James MMO	no	Improve and support Biodiversity, reduce pollution, support renewable energy, encourage demonstration of enhanced public access to and within the marine area, infrastructure between the terrestrial and marine environment.
FS-Case-315690761	Naome Glanville	no	<p>We should include an objective to support the arts in East Devon.</p> <p>Development of housing should be the MINIMUM to meet people's needs - not to satisfy the profit developers want to make.</p> <p>Further to the pandemic, working practices are changing to more home-working, so improved broadband is a suggested objective for the district.</p>
FS-Case-315694995	John Labrum	yes	<p>But suggest consider the following -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Promote opportunities for leisure activity 2. Promote access to open spaces for exercise 3. Take prompt and appropriate action to prevent ingress of the sea to communities (particularly in view of climate change), such as at Sidmouth
FS-Case-315760844	michael cooke	yes	I'm pleased to see the Climate emergency & Health & Wellbeing are at the front.
FS-Case-315804855	Sophie Minter	no	I would delete Objective 3 and give Objective 8 and 9 higher priority

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-315843780	James Holman	yes	Less emphasis on green issues and huge emphasis on providing enough homes for young people in Devon
FS-Case-315884481	stephen moore Mr	no	The issue of sustainability is glaringly missing. Growth for the sake of it is ultimately pointless and damages all of you objectives.
FS-Case-315937332	Fiona Anderson	no	The climate emergency is an emergency, measures need to be taken in the next 10years ie by 2030 not 2040. Major and irreversible change affecting East Devon will have already happened by 2040.
FS-Case-315939416	linda aucott	no	Existing people on assessed as being in need of council managed social housing should be prioritised in the provision of new housing. There is no excuse for people being on local housing lists in the Exeter/East Devon area in view of the extensive house building which has recently taken place.
FS-Case-315947650	Rosalind Rapley	no	Protection of farm land and rural villages. Protection of countryside from housing development and general damage by roads or building.
FS-Case-315959715	Paul Warren	no	Given the gravity of climate change and environmental concerns, a ten year plan would be more appropriate.
FS-Case-315961800	David & Mrs Wendy Lewis	no	How about: Relief Of Poverty - Objective ? - To provide the support, opportunities and resources to enable people to climb out of poverty Support of the Vulnerable - Objective ? - To identify and support vulnerable residents of East Devon a) who need significant support and encouragement before they can even begin to access all the opportunities provided by this plan, and/or b) who will always need significant support because of their physical, mental or other needs
FS-Case-315963133	George Koopman	yes	Yes, but so not necessarily agree the order
FS-Case-315967632	David Daniel	no	Recreation and biodiversity
FS-Case-315968014	Eleanor Cozens	no	I think something explicit needs adding about recycling household rubbish - improving the amount that is both collected and actually recycled and reducing the amount of landfill waste disposal. I don't think objectives 1 and 8 are enough to cover this.
FS-Case-315991192	Susan Clarke	no	Stop overbuild! Provide far more parking per house. People don't use garages for cars, but for storage as modern houses have no room inside for storage. Houses advertised as 4 bedroom a joke! 2 bedrooms with 2 dressing rooms more like! Usually 2 cars are owned per house minimum, let alone visitors! Roads are so narrow, then cars are parked on footpaths, no chance of east access for emergency vehicles.
FS-Case-316012231	Terry Sweeney	yes	...meet ' people's needs' or 'residents needs'?
FS-Case-316016071	David Hayler none	no	Objective 2 = Climate change = reduce date to 2030 Objective 3 = Housing needs = Remove "industry" from town centre and develop housing (I.e Halses yard to Daisymount and replace with cottage housing similar to Poundbury, Dorchester including local 'village Shop'. make spine road to create oneway system with Mill Street Objective 3 = Housing needs = Remove Bradfords to Heath Park and replace with Garden village with open green spaces Objective 4 - Job creation = Introduce "artistic and creative" atmosphere with studio shops Objective 5 = Town Centre = (a) Reduce high street width to twoway in curved format (b) Pedestrian width to include trees and street furnitue and cafe culture (c) Remove traffic light sysem and introduce 'belisher beacons (d) Designated Performance areas
FS-Case-316019373	Anthony Green	no	Additional comment possibly under objective 1: "To encourage the creation of wealthy and sustainable COMMUNITIES".
FS-Case-316023654	lauren allan	no	Broadly, the objectives need to address the impact of the global pandemic and Brexit. We are too reliant on tourism and importing fruit, veg, and even trees from the EU. We have an opportunity to develop our agricultural skills to supplying the UK, while improving our own resilience at the same time. The adverse impact of tourism with second homes, traffic, stressing our limited health care resources have really got our attention.
FS-Case-316034840	Nicola Baker	yes	These objectives are right but I'm concerned about how they will be met without damaging the natural environment or the already established local communities.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-316036693	Philip Wragg none	no	No you need more & wider objectives - this is too focused on housing provision.
FS-Case-316056741	Helene Jessop RSPB	no	In our view, support for an increase in biodiversity is not sufficient and the Council needs to act positively to conserve and enhance nature. RSPB considers an equal level of commitment to restoring biodiversity in tackling the Nature and Climate Emergency is necessary. We recommend Objective 8 is strengthened to "To protect, restore and enhance our outstanding natural environment and biodiversity". This including ensuring all developments adhere to the mitigation hierarchy (avoid harm as a priority, mitigate where not possible, compensate as a last resort), and deliver biodiversity net gain (BNG). In achieving this, Council policy and decisions should ensure designated sites and species are protected, that biodiversity offsetting is not used when development would destroy irreplaceable habitats, and that BNG contributes to delivery of local nature recovery strategy priorities and objectives. BNG will be required from all new developments once the Environment Bill is law.
FS-Case-316091613	Malcolm Dicken Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust	no	The NHS would like to confirm that Objective 10 would cover provision for accessible and sustainable healthcare.
FS-Case-316125851	Diana jennings	yes	We believe that objective 8 is of the utmost importance. Indeed this objective is vital for achieving objectives 1 and 2.
FS-Case-316127402	Eleanor Rylance	yes	Yes, I would like to see the new local plan articulated primarily around the need to tackle climate change. The old local plan was distressingly quiet on environmental damage and climate change, and put the emphasis on the delivery of houses, rather than on the quality of the houses and communities this plan produced.
FS-Case-316135438	George Williams Greensalde Taylor Hunt	no	Our client supports the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be "sound", in-line with the NPPF as mentioned above. Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requirements create a platform for financially viable development.
FS-Case-316136353	Bill Horner Historic Environment Team, Devon County Council	no	NPPF (185) requires a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. Objective7 therefore needs to be broadened to cover the whole of East Devon's outstanding Historic Environment, not just its Built Heritage. Archaeology and historic landscape are otherwise excluded from this objective. This would balance Objective 8 on the Natural Environment - much of which is historic/cultural as well as 'natural'.
FS-Case-316153559	Jacqueline Green	no	Local community knowledge should play a greater part in planning for sustainability. More emphasis on promoting a green economy. Housing design should be more flexible, to suit households' evolving needs over time.
FS-Case-316158325	Paul Hayward Personal View only	yes	Always a contentious start; are the objectives in the right order of priority and would this ordering have "put off" those who wished to have their say?
FS-Case-316158385	Sarah Jackson	yes	My initial thoughts are that these are largely the right objectives. However, if these are in a priority order of 1 - 10, I am not sure that this is right, however perhaps that is one of the purposes of this consultation. I would also like to see the addition of collaborative "place shaping". While this would draw on elements from most if not all of the other objectives, its focus is more based on giving communities resilience and vibrancy, beyond (but including, of course,) just the highstreet. This would help define and assert the individual characters of the various towns and villages across the district (perhaps giving extra weight to neighbourhood plans) and help shape and define the identity of East Devon as a whole. In short, give the district a strategy for growth that is focused on what our communities need and want, and define the USP of East Devon.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-316159794	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	yes	Our client supports the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be “sound”, in-line with the NPPF as mentioned above. The objectives; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Objective 1: Designing for health and wellbeing · Objective 2: Tackling the climate change emergency · Objective 3: Supporting jobs and the economy · Objective 5: Promoting vibrant town centres · Objective 6: Designing beautiful and healthy spaces and buildings · Objective 7: Our outstanding built heritage · Objective 8: Our outstanding natural environment · Objective 9: Promoting sustainable transport · Objective 10: Connections and Infrastructure Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requ
FS-Case-316160103	Julie Moore	yes	It is important, in the drive for alternative forms of transport to the private car, not to forget that the East Devon area has a large rural environment with relatively poor accessibility by public transport and infrastructure (including fast internet access). Many of these areas have an older population for whom cycling and walking are not viable options for day to day living. These area depend on good access to their market towns and the use of private transport.
FS-Case-316166919	Sheila Dorsett	no	To provide nature corridors for wildlife. This has a huge potential to aid our diversity. Even small patches of wilderness will help. For this reason, I would not support infilling.
FS-Case-316181550	Deborah Griffiths Devon Archaeological Society	no	We feel that Objective 7 should reflect the wider historic environment, including archaeology, not just historic buildings. The National Planning Policy Framework refers to the Historic Environment. If Objective 7 is not widened then there should be a separate Objective to cover conservation, access and enjoyment of archaeological sites and monuments and historic landscapes. Objective 1 (Section 3.5) should include access to the historic environment and landscape as well as the natural environment for health and wellbeing.
FS-Case-316188495	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	no	Our clients support the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be “sound”, in-line with the NPPF as mentioned above. Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requ
FS-Case-316240170	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt		Our clients support the objectives laid out in paragraph 2.1 and believe their reasoning to be “sound”, in-line with the NPPF as mentioned above. Nevertheless, the importance of delivering new homes to meet and ambitiously surpass the housing requirements of the District should be an objective. In doing so, the district will be able to improve affordability, providing housing to those in the greatest need, create jobs and boost the economy. This objective should of course be cognisant of viability, ensuring that policy requirements create a platform for financially viable development.
FS-Case-316255332	Matthew Sherwood	yes	An additional Objective: Protecting established communities from the adverse impacts of new development including increased flood risk, traffic nuisance and danger, environmental degradation and overloading of existing services and infrastructures.
FS-Case-316361805	Tom Clarke MRTPI Theatres Trust	no	Currently there is no reference to meeting the community, cultural and social needs of the community. We would note paragraph 8 of the NPPF states the planning system has a social objective to support communities’ health, social and cultural well-being, and paragraph 20 seeks strategic policies which make provision for community facilities including cultural infrastructure. We recommend insertion of an additional objective to support, provide and protect such uses for the benefit of the community.
FS-Case-316371196	Josie Denning	yes	An additional Objective: Protecting established communities from the adverse impacts of new development including increased flood risk, traffic nuisance and danger, environmental degradation and overloading of existing services and infrastructures.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-316449438	Andy Wiltshire	no	Tipton St John needs a school in the village that is climate and flood resilient!
FS-Case-316474209	Janine Corkery	yes	I don't agree with building any more houses and retail parks, but Climate Change should be extremely high on the agenda.
FS-Case-317286080	Stephen Canham	no	There should be a specific social housing objective delivered by 1) EDDC buying land deevloping and selling houses purely or predominantly for Social Housing 2) a requirement that a proportion of the land on any major development is transferred to EDDC sufficient to build 25% of the total number of dwellings. On this land EDDC would then build and sell the target number of social housing. There are too many examples where developers end up committing to a much lower % of social housing than their original commitment e.g. Goodmores Farm (final 5% vs >20% in outline permission.) resulting in significant overall delivery of the Social Housing target.
FS-Case-324952647	Lawrence Turner Boyer Planning	yes	no comment
FS-Case-324964822	Sarah Jackson	yes	My initial thoughts are that these are largely the right objectives. However, if these are in a priority order of 1 - 10, I am not sure that this is right. However, perhaps that is one of the purposes of this consultation. I would also like to see the addition of an objective named "collaborative place shaping". Whilst this would draw on elements from most, if not all, of the other objectives, its focus is more based on giving communities resilience and vibrancy, beyond (but including, of course,) just the High Street's. This would help define and assert the individual characters of the various towns and villages across the District (perhaps giving extra weight to Neighbourhood Plans) and help shape and define the identity of East Devon as a whole. In short, give the District a strategy for growth that is focused on what our communities need and want and thus define the USP of East Devon.
FS-Case-324977684	Simon Collier Collier Planning	no	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324988465	Simon Collier Collier Planning	no	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324999075	David Morgan Not Applicable	yes	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325010263	Nick Freer David Lock Associates		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325030287	John Withrington N/A	yes	But a further objective is needed. The LP needs a commitment to safeguarding communities from the impact of mass development. This includes prioritising brownfield over greenfield sites, environmental degradation, the risks associated with increased traffic (e.g. congestion and pollution), and the impact on already stretched services (e.g. local schools) and flooding (a particular issue in Feniton). These are health-related issues too, of course.
FS-Case-325041454	East Devon and Tiverton & Honiton CLPs		No objections to these objectives
FS-Case-325070883	Emma Russell	yes	I trust these objectives are not in priority order, and that if they are you will invite comments on their respective priorities.
FS-Case-325077531	I.G. Cann Exmouth Civic Society	no	Please see attached submission.
FS-Case-325085151	Matthew Kendrick Grass Roots Planning	no	See Separate Representations Document
FS-Case-325107229	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325124589	Glynnis Poole LiveWest	yes	Please refer to submitted representations.

Ref No	Respondent	Q1	Question 1 Comment
FS-Case-325131855	Michelle Dobrota-Gibbs N/A		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325156112	Peter Dobbs	no	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325170882	Dorothy Taylor Exmouth Mental Health St John's Court Carers' Group	no	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325726521	Simon Coles Tetra Tech Planning	no	Objective 3. Amend to read: To provide enough high quality new homes in the right places to meet people's needs. It is considered that relevant objectives should acknowledge the need to support the District's towns, be it in terms of housing delivery, attracting investment, built environment, vitality and viability, employment opportunities, sustainable travel and so on.
FS-Case-325752618	Stephen Morgan-Hyland Maddox Planning	yes	Please see accompanying letter.
FS-Case-325812938	Nick Matthews Savills	yes	See attached.
FS-Case-325819281	Nick Matthews Savills	yes	See attached.
FS-Case-325829612	Dan Yeates Savills		No comment.
FS-Case-325831870	Cem Kosaner Lichfields	yes	Please see attached comments.
FS-Case-325838141	Dan Yeates Savills		no comment