

①

New Barn Farm  
Luppitt  
Honiton  
Devon  
Ex14 4TZ

Dear Mrs King

Well, on being presented with the draft plan it looked a bit like being blinded with Science and my suspicions were confirmed on page 80. From front to back the plain truth of the matter is the intention of the plan is to kill life in Luppitt everything is 'reverted'. To ~~the~~ quote. the tranquillity and rural nature development and change of land use proposals, will only be supported where there is no adverse impact on the tranquillity, peace and 'rural nature'. At a glance give the game away as page 60/61 shows forbidding building. They intend to squish all new life come what may. A look at the aims and objectives ~~is~~ bring 'young men dream dreams and old men see ~~the~~ visions'. The fact that they haven't even started a housing needs survey, after six years speak for itself! 56% of the population (it doesn't say if they are men or woman) is not 95% of the vote 6% is not much to go on the, true figure is probably more like 50/50. There are 441 people not 256. Has anyone heard from Budgens, Odle farm or Spurtham farm or the Drew family?

to mind

Balanced  
Community

②

Protection  
is mention unprint<sup>d</sup> times. Please note I  
have singed there should be an independent  
reviews. They foreget the landscape has  
been formed by the farmer particularly  
the hedges, and there seems to be ~~wide~~<sup>wide</sup>  
spread ignorance that food come from the  
Country. England only produces 54%  
of it own food and there ~~is~~ is a war on.  
(I have personally grown 10 acer of wheat  
for bread in the pot). So much of  
this talk 'kill the goose that lays the  
golden egg', and so much of the want's  
have already been done ~~the~~ by the  
A.D.N.B and are beeing done by the  
Devon wild life trust and the Commoners.  
A health worker said to me they  
already have 650 achers of Common land  
not to mention the national trust. Why  
do they want more.

appendix 10 is difficult to  
Identify red form orange area, but I think  
they have not put in orange areas.  
Appendix 11. the church, <sup>rent</sup> tythe map. Why  
not bring in a local tax for the  
graded historic buildings <sup>as</sup> probably  
they have the ~~biggest~~ Carbon foot  
print; Unprinted in the Luppitt packet  
and have incrost the sent-in Services  
and they have <sup>glamed</sup> ~~good~~ Value due to  
the demesne of farming.

New Barn Farm

Luppitt, Hants

RECEIVED

05 MAY 2022

Dear Mrs King,

Here is the final conclusion of page 3:- a significant statistic is only from 100,000 people standard quotient, so 56% is not a significant statistic! A truer picture is to add in the farmers in the National Parks.

They want the power of the National Parks, without the obligations. These farmers have help and grants to maintain their homes and help and grants to assist their farming at a higher rate, in recognition of the work they do in forming the landscape. These people have what that work done for free. In all, this plan is just a re-negotiation of existing Building Regulations (see pages 59, 60, 61) rediscovering the wheel, inciting a few pioneers in areas the AONB said was the hardest valley to earn a living in. A look at the Times Rent Map, why not bring in a local income tax for the listed building people, who probably have the biggest carbon footprint, from driven-in services unreported in the Luppitt Packet, as they have gained and are gaining most from the demise of farming. I feel these "young men who dream dreams" and "old men who see visions" are still waiting for the spirit of the land, in modern parlance the Plan does not allow for the true diversity of people.

Love and let live!! They want the power but don't want any obligations.

Respectful

Farmer of 50 years

Written by [redacted] (P.A.) from Mrs Phumma's dictation.