**From:** richard storer

**Sent:** 11 December 2022 15:37

**To:** Planning Policy

**Subject:** WH01 Planning Application Objection

**Categories:** Reg.18 consultation

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing in response to the proposed planning application: WH01 at Hayes End.

The proposed development is on a relatively small plot of land which contains a number of protected trees which I am worried could be damaged by development in this area. TPOs mean something; any further removal of trees necessary for the development would further erode the tree canopy and general quality of the contribution to a woodland village. The proposed site for the development, currently a mixture of grassland and woodland, also serves as an important habitat for local wildlife including at least two species of bats and fallow deer which are routinely sighted in this area; rabbits, the occasional grass snake and a wide selection of birds which enhance the actual site but also the surrounding gardens and area.

As our property sits adjacent to the proposed site, I am also worried about our property being overlooked. Additionally, we already have issues with drainage in our garden across where surface streams of water flow at times of heavy rainfall and are concerned that further development uphill from our property will compound this issue.

Another significant concern is around the proposed access to the new development. As no new access route has been proposed, I am concerned about the increased traffic through Hayes End, causing an increase in noise and posing risk to residents who frequently cross the road to make use of the private gardens. The narrow road leading to the proposed site already struggles to accommodate 2-way traffic and further properties in the vicinity will only exacerbate the issue. Vehicles would need to climb the narrow strip of land to the side of the road. The narrow road passes within a pavements width of the front doors of the existing affordable houses which house young children and represents a serious risk to their health.

In summary we believe that a disproportionate amount of damage would be done to the site and its current advantages when considering that the site will only accommodate only a small number of new dwellings. There must be better sites which can be developed and improved without having such a negative impact on the environment, present residents and community at large.

Yours faithfully

Richard and Judith Storer