

[REDACTED]

From: Mike and Rach [REDACTED]
Sent: 07 December 2022 14:22
To: Planning Policy
Subject: OBJECTION TO THE INCLUSION OF THE FOLLOWING AREAS FOR YET MORE DEVELOPMENT (LYMP 04A, 09, 10A and 14)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

To whom it may concern;

I would like to object strongly to the inclusion of the sites to the North East of Exmouth (Exmo_04a, Lymp_09, Lymp_10, Lymp_14) for development in the local plan.

In my opinion, this continued development of the Local Plan is not sustainable and must be challenged.

The Hullam road is an extremely busy road which has no pavement. The safety of this highway must be considered for vehicle and pedestrian users of the existing dwellings, the already approved Goodmores development (which will add significant traffic) before any consideration can be made.

The majority of housing in this area will be used as a commuter belt for Exeter, where employment for the vast majority will be sought. This will add significant traffic to the Hullam Road. Why not consider an area nearer to the employment centre therefore reducing the need for road use and helping to find a sustainable solution that reduces pollution and not add to it?

I would object for the following reasons;

- **Traffic pollution and road safety**
- **Pedestrian safety**
- **Drainage/flooding risk - the drainage system can not cope with the existing housing and more housing will reduce the natural soak ways further leading to localised flooding and surface water freezing in winter leading to increased risk of accidents**
- **The increased traffic will lead to further pollution and traffic congestion**
- **The loss of the vital fields, trees and wildlife - irreplaceable destruction of our natural habitat**
- **Too close to the Nationally significant and protected pebble beds**
- **Lypstone and Exmouth will effectively merge with a. Loss of identity for communities**

The Goodmores development is surely a sufficient contribution to the housing challenge. At very least, the full impact of Goodmores should be observed and understood before any further decisions should be taken on adjacent plots.

I do not accept that there are not more suitable alternatives that better meet the needs of the people who will ultimately buy the housing and would encourage further investigation of these sites.

It is too convenient to develop green field sites, which can never be replaced. Please protect the irreplaceable countryside we have left and work harder to find more suitable sites.

Thank you, Mike

Mike Thompson
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

