

From: Tony Gratton [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2023 15:36
To: Planning Policy
Subject: East Devon Local Plan 2020-2040 - Lymptstone - Objections

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Lymptstone living at [REDACTED], and am writing regarding my objections to the East Devon Local Plan 2020-2040.

Since moving to Lymptstone in 2007, there has been a huge amount of development in East Devon, Exmouth, and Lymptstone in particular. I note on the current plan that Exmouth is shown as a principal development centre, and that the bulk of the development in general in East Devon is happening in the West of the area. This is very unbalanced, particularly considering the overcrowding on the A376. The proposed Local Plan policies do not address the A376 already being at capacity, and are making the situation regarding the A376 worse. The Lymptstone built-up area boundaries in black are not the parish boundaries, so it appears that Lymptstone will receive more than its fair share of development without the associated funds. There are regular sewage discharges onto Exmouth beach indicating that the infrastructure is already overstrained, and the huge amount of proposed development in this area will only make this worse. Developments on the proposed scale for Lymptstone are completely out of kilter with the size of the village, and associated infrastructure, and do not take into account the massive development already undertaken.

GH/ED/72 - 131 houses - Fields to North Meeting Lane and West Nutwell Road

I object on the following grounds:

Access to the A376 from Meeting Lane is currently dangerous, and adding a minimum of 262 cars to this from this development alone makes it worse.

Meeting Lane is unable to handle the additional traffic from 131 houses, amounting to at least 262 extra cars. Lymptstone railway station has a tiny car park, and the village car park is full, resulting in cars parking on the narrow Burgmanns Hill on weekdays.

Children have no way of walking safely to school, if they were to receive a place, so parents would be forced to drive them via Meeting Lane, and other village roads which are ill-equipped for the additional traffic.

Infrastructure in Lymptstone is already overstretched as the school is full, the GP surgery is full, the lanes are ill-equipped for the additional traffic, and the flood risk would be made worse by run-off from the site.

GH/ED/73 - 46 houses - Fields between Meeting Lane and Strawberry Hill

I object on the following grounds:

Access to the A376 from Meeting Lane is currently dangerous, and adding a minimum of 98 cars to this from this development alone makes it worse.

Meeting Lane is unable to handle the additional traffic from 46 houses, amounting to at least 98 extra cars. Lymptstone railway station has a tiny car park, and the village car park is full, resulting in cars parking on the narrow Burgmanns Hill on weekdays.

Children have no way of walking safely to school, if they were to receive a place, so parents would be forced to drive them via Meeting Lane, and other village roads which are ill-equipped for the additional traffic.

Infrastructure in Lymptstone is already overstretched as the school is full, the GP surgery is full, the lanes are ill-equipped for the additional traffic, and the flood risk would be made worse by run-off from the site.

Lymptstone 07 - 100 houses - Opposite Courtlands

I object on the following grounds:

Removes the green wedge between Lypstone and Exmouth.

Access to the A376 is terrible (either at the junction of Courtlands Lane, or via a new junction which would be unsighted over the brow of the hill).

Infrastructure in Lypstone is already overstretched as the school is full, the GP surgery is full, and the flood risk would be made worse by run-off from the site.

Courtlands Lane is unable to handle the additional traffic from 100 houses, amounting to at least 200 extra cars. Lypstone railway station has a tiny car park, and the village car park is full, resulting in cars parking on the narrow Burgmanns Hill on weekdays.

Children have no way of walking safely to school, if they were to receive a place, so parents would be forced to drive them via the lanes which are ill-equipped for this.

The developer of this site purposefully destroyed the views from the footpath, as it was cited as a reason why previous development was refused. This has been done by creating an artificial bank which has been planted with fast-growing non-native trees. This is disgusting, and you have allowed it to happen.

Lypstone 09 - 54 houses - Junction of Estuary View Hulham Road

I object on the following grounds:

Local infrastructure in Lypstone (sewerage, roads, school, GP surgery) is already overstretched to be able to accommodate a development on this scale.

The A376 is already overcrowded, and the massive scale of proposed developments in Lypstone and Exmouth will make this significantly worse.

Run-off from the proposed development increases the flood risk in Lypstone village.

Lypstone 10A - 75 houses - Upper Hulham Road

I object on the following grounds:

Local infrastructure in Lypstone (sewerage, roads, school, GP surgery) is already overstretched to be able to accommodate a development on this scale.

The A376 is already overcrowded, and the massive scale of proposed developments in Lypstone and Exmouth will make this significantly worse.

Run-off from the proposed development increases the flood risk in Lypstone village.

Lypstone 14 - 59 houses - Land behind Kings Garden Centre

I object on the following grounds:

Local infrastructure in Lypstone (sewerage, roads, school, GP surgery) is already overstretched to be able to accommodate a development on this scale.

The A376 is already overcrowded, and the massive scale of proposed developments in Lypstone and Exmouth will make this significantly worse.

Run-off from the proposed development increases the flood risk in Lypstone village.

I trust that my objections will be taken into account and the plan redrawn with more developments in the East, Middle and North of the area to present a more balanced approach.

Yours sincerely,
Antony Gratton