



7<sup>th</sup> January 2013

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: **BRCL\_12** and **BRCL\_29**

We suggest that the following concerns be addressed when reviewing the above planning proposals

1. The availability of **health services**
2. **Educational capacity**: the existing primary and secondary schools are full or very close to full
3. **Roads cannot support the existing traffic**, especially Town Hill, Town End and near Clyst Vale school at school run times
4. On the above roads there are usually **no footpaths**, jeopardising the safety of pedestrians, especially young school children
5. The **sewage pumping station** sometimes cannot support existing flow, with resultant discharge onto agricultural land
6. Efforts should be made to **ensure new houses are designed in keeping with this historic village**
7. **Loss of grade 1 agricultural land** – food currently grown locally will now need to be transported in or even imported. Surely this is not sensible?
8. Efforts should be made to **protect existing hedgerows**
9. The land at site **BRCL\_29 is considerably higher than the adjacent lane**. Any new houses should be built at a sufficient distance to maintain the privacy of the existing houses on the lane

Your faithfully

Giles and Sarah Bradley