

From: elaine reed [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 January 2023 17:40
To: Planning Policy
Subject: East Devon Local Plan Consultation

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

Dear Sirs

Re: Objections to Proposed Development Sites

I write to register my objection to the proposed development of the following four proposed sites:

LYMPSTONE SITE: LP_GH/ED/72 - 131 proposed homes.

My objections are as follows:

- 1 The site is within the Coastal Preservation Area, which means that any proposed building works would have an adverse effect on the quality of water in the estuary. Building materials, soil and waste from any development would cause this. The site should only be used for agricultural purposes, and is presently 'home' to a wide variety of wildlife which should not be disturbed.
- 2 The area forms part of the Green Wedge.
- 3 Although the proposed development site is mainly within Woodbury the impact of such a development would have severe consequences for the village of Lymptstone.
- 4 The A376 Exmouth Road is an extremely busy main road, which is very congested during the rush hour already. Further development would cause additional pollution and congestion at all times of the day and night.
- 5 Lymptstone Primary School does not have the capacity to cope with an increased demand in places should this development get the go-ahead.
- 5 The same applies to the Village Doctor's Surgery.

SITE: LP_GH/ED/73 (46 homes)

- 1 Once again this site is also not suitable for development as it is situated within a Coastal Preservation Area and is also within the Green Wedge; therefore the same objections as above apply here.
- 2 It is outside the Built-Up Area Boundary as specified in the Neighbourhood Plan.
- 3 The same points apply regarding the increase in pollution and congestion on the Exmouth Road.
- 4 It will have a negative impact on the Village of Lymptstone.

SITE: LP_GH/ED/75 - 6 New Homes - Grange Close

- 1 Although this is a much smaller site, it is still within the Coastal Preservation Area and also within the Green Wedge, so any development would be detrimental to the surrounding area.
- 2 The site is small and would be situated at the end of a small cul de sac which would have an adverse effect on the current residents and also be totally unsuitable for heavy construction traffic.

SITE: LP_LYMP_07 - 100 Homes

- 1 An application for development on this site has already been refused and an appeal was also not successful.
- 2 Once again, the Primary School could not accommodate a further increase in places, therefore children would have to apply to schools further afield which would result in further traffic congestion in the local area.
- 3 As mentioned above, this would also have an adverse impact on the Village Surgery.
- 4 Once again, the proposed site is within Green Wedge land between Lympstone and Exmouth, and the village of Lympstone would lose its identity.

I therefore object to all of the four proposed developments as outlined above. The Neighbourhood Plan relating to the village of Lympstone, which was adopted with agreed planning, has several years to run. It was adopted to stop unsustainable development on sites which were not suitable for development. EDDC appear to have ignored this.

Lympstone Village is proud of its community. It should remain as it is without further increase in its population, which its present infrastructure cannot support. Developing any or all of the proposed sites will have a huge adverse impact on the community.

Surely large developments of the sort outlined above would be best built where present infrastructures are already in place. The Goodmores development site has caused (and is continuing to cause) massive disruption both to traffic and local residents, and the impact of this estate once completed is yet to be seen.

Please register my objection to each of the four proposed development sites.

Yours faithfully

Elaine Reed
(Resident of Lympstone)