

From: Jamie Wadhams [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 January 2023 20:14
To: Planning Policy
Subject: draft-local-plan-consultation feedback

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

To whom it may concern,

I fully understand extra housing is needed across the UK, but to succeed it must be spread as evenly. Further, small villages like Lympstone have particular considerations in this respect and these include;

- Old infrastructure, particularly within the older parts of the village (sewers, roads, parking, seriously limited roadside pavements et al.) are not able to cope with increased demands, and any move to propose significant development sites must take this on board.
- Significant new housing anywhere within the Lympstone boundary will undoubtedly lead to even more traffic for narrow roads in the lower village meaning more congestion and vehicular chaos requiring mounting of the few, already narrow pavements in the process of gaining access to school, village hall, community centres, shops, doctors surgery, water frontage, pubs and the like with the knock-on effects on to public safety.
- The very limited parking facilities will be further overwhelmed to the major detriment of those local residents who have no personal parking within their property and in many cases are not allowed to accommodate their own personal parking within the boundaries of their properties due to planning restrictions.
- Under no circumstances must any local development be granted which leads to Lympstone becoming part of Exmouth, Exeter (or any other area) either now or in the future. The village must retain its own identity. Equally, developments favoured by other nominated areas must not be allowed to compromise Lympstone boundaries by default.

- The preferred sites highlighted (in particular sites 72,73,07) by EDDC each amount to a very considerable percentage increase in housing. However, **all** sites will try to latch onto village facilities such as schooling, surgery and the like. These facilities will not be able to absorb an increase of new residents, so requiring said residents to go further afield, adding further to problems of regular congestion of the A376.

I suspect most of the above issues and many more in various forms have already been advised to EDDC by others and have most probably already been realised by EDDC Planning to date.

Overall Lympstone is not a suitable location to entertain such large development proposals. Expansion of housing on this scale, whilst meeting obligations imposed by Government, is likely to jeopardise the basic needs/protection of the village.

Please confirm by return email to verify that this set of comments have found their way to the correct recipients to be considered as part of the overall exercise to review the proposals for Lympstone.

Yours faithfully

J Wadhams

[REDACTED]