

Filtered Data Export

Full name: Ben Ingham

Organisation (where relevant): EDDC

Other party name (if relevant): -

Proposal: 4. Development at the West End

1. To which part of the Development at the West End chapter does your representation relate?: Policy

1(a). Please write down the paragraph, policy or figure number that your representation relates to.: WS01

2. Do you consider that this part of the Development at the West End chapter is legally compliant?: No

2(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development at the West End chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.: I believe the process used to identify growth rates is flawed. The second new community could be developed much faster (700 dwellings per annum), embracing sustainability and coherent infrastructure. If this was done, fewer dwellings would be needed in other communities where the infrastructure is already exhausted and will become harm communities.

2(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development at the West End chapter legally compliant, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Introduction chapter legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: The Strategic Planning Committee were not allowed to discuss the overall aim of the eEDLP before debating and formulating policy. This meant officers controlled the outcomes with negligible input from councillors. Primarily, the committee were a tick box exercise following an officer generated checklist.

3. Do you consider that this part of the Development at the West End chapter is sound?: No

3(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development at the West End chapter is not sound. Please be as precise as possible.: Councillors were forced down a bias journey only identify limited build out of a second community and excessive development at communities with weak and outdated infrastructure. This fails existing communities and misses the chance fast track the second new community and other adjacent large new developments (1500 dwellings) that could start tomorrow.

3(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development at the West End chapter sound, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Development at the West End chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: Reject the eEDLP.

Instruct EDDC to:

Revisit second community roll out, adjacent large sites for potential development, THEN consider other sites. They did the opposite. Contrived.

4. Do you consider that this part of the Development at the West End chapter complies with the duty to cooperate?: No

4(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development at the West End chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.: Officer led strategic thinking with contrived objectives, therefore not really democratic or a reflection of public opinion.

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?: Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.: Yes

Full name: Ben Ingham

Organisation (where relevant): EDDC

Other party name (if relevant): -

Proposal: 5. Development in the Towns and Villages

1. To which part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter does your representation relate?: Policy

1(a). Please write down the paragraph, policy or figure number that your representation relates to.: SD01

2. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is legally compliant?: No

2(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible.: The selection process was flawed:

Officers insisted Lymp 07 should be a primary option for selection for housing allocation although it is in a green wedge and coastal protection area. When you look at this allocation on a map of Exmouth, it is not directly adjacent to current housing and looks innocuous. But they were adamant. At committee it was the first of the allocations to be selected, with emphasis on hitting housing targets. This was selected but others not in landscape designations were not even considered.

2(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter legally compliant, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Introduction chapter legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: EDDC should:

Consider the four large sites within ED first

THEN consider housing allocations adjacent to higher tier communities.

3. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is sound?: No

3(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter is not sound. Please be as precise as possible.: The method deployed by officers failed to allow councillors to identify the big allocations. They were ONLY considered when the final housing number had been achieved and were therefore rejected. That is ethically wrong and drives development in the wrong locations.

At best, this is the lazy way to do things. Any other motivation I do not understand.

3(c). Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound, in respect of any matters you have identified above. You will need to say why each modification will make this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording for the relevant policy or paragraph. Please be as precise as possible.: Reject the eEDLP

4. Do you consider that this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter complies with the duty to cooperate?: No

4(b). If no, please give details of why you consider this part of the Development in the Towns and Villages chapter fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.: No. Local opinion was ignored and not taken into account. Lymp 07 was previously dismissed at an appeal public enquiry. The inspector identified the weight of public opinion as being important to his decision.

5. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?: Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

6. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary.: To be available to the inspector for any clarification