

[REDACTED]

From: Robert coleman [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 January 2023 14:56
To: Planning Policy
Cc: Rob Coleman
Subject: Areas considered in Lympstone - 500 homes

Categories: Reg.18 consultation

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to object against the below proposed sites being considered for development within/nearby the village of Lympstone. We live on Courtlands Lane and have done so extremely happily for the past 4 years. Lympstone is a small but perfectly balanced community, with few, but enough amenities to service the close community we share with our village neighbours.

In my opinion, any ideas for new houses within the Green Wedge or Costal Preservation Areas should not be considered for development. These areas have been highlighted for a reason and should be preserved at all costs. Several secondary proposed sites have already been turned down for planning so it baffles why they are considered here at all!

In reflection, to our small village community, our traffic routes are similarly small but adequate to currently give us the access that our community currently has. Any increase in development within the Lympstone area will create traffic chaos within the lanes and place further strain upon the busy A376. Furthermore, the lanes are used heavily by pedestrians and cyclists which would put them in increasing danger. We, amongst others have moved to the countryside to be in a rural location with low volumes of traffic.

In addition, the larger planned areas are away from any infrastructure such as schools, shops and doctors. The smaller areas proposed within the village would put increased strain on the small supplies we currently have. One should also consider emergency services access, in addition to the potential for making this currently sustainable community a totally unsustainable for the future.

Please stop trying to build extensively in or around Lympstone. This village should be respected as its own community and left apart from Exmouth or other nearby villages in it's own right.

Other considerations include; destruction of prime agricultural land, spoiling of views/heritage sites, impact on sewage system, increased risk of flooding and detrimental impact on local wildlife.

The proposed developments that I object to are: Exmouth - LP _EXMO_23, Exmouth - LP _LYMP_07, Exmouth - LP _LYMP_01, Exmouth - LP _GH/ED/73 and Exmouth - LP _GH/ED/72.

Furthermore I am in complete support of the following rejected areas (red areas); Exmouth - LP _LYMP_12, Exmouth - LP _LYMP_02, Exmouth - LP _LYMP_04, Exmouth - LP _LYMP_11, Exmouth - LP _GH/ED/71, Exmouth - LP _GH/ED/74, Exmouth - LP _WOOD_39 and Exmouth - LP _WOOD_44.

Kind Regards,
Charlotte Coleman